

## **LITMAN GREGORY FUNDS TRUST**

Litman Gregory Masters Equity Fund - Institutional Class – MSEFX  
Investor Class – MSENX

Litman Gregory Masters International Fund - Institutional Class – MSILX  
Investor Class – MNILX

Litman Gregory Masters Smaller Companies Fund - Institutional Class – MSSFX

Litman Gregory Masters Alternative Strategies Fund - Institutional Class – MASFX  
Investor Class – MASNX

### **STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

Dated April 30, 2018

This Statement of Additional Information (“SAI”) is not a prospectus, and it should be read in conjunction with the prospectus dated April 30, 2018, as it may be amended from time to time, of Litman Gregory Masters Equity Fund (the “Equity Fund”), Litman Gregory Masters International Fund (the “International Fund”), Litman Gregory Masters Smaller Companies Fund (the “Smaller Companies Fund”), and Litman Gregory Masters Alternative Strategies Fund (the “Alternative Strategies Fund,” and collectively with the Equity Fund, the International Fund, and the Smaller Companies Fund, the “Funds”), each a series of the Litman Gregory Funds Trust (the “Trust”), formerly known as the Masters’ Select Funds Trust until August 2011 and the Masters’ Select Investment Trust until December 1997. Litman Gregory Fund Advisors, LLC (the “Advisor” or “Litman Gregory”) is the investment advisor of the Funds. The Advisor has retained certain investment managers as sub-advisors (each, a “Sub-Advisor,” and collectively, the “Sub-Advisors”), each responsible for portfolio management of a segment of a Fund’s total assets. A copy of the Funds’ prospectus and most recent annual report may be obtained from the Trust without charge at 1676 N. California Blvd., Suite 500, Walnut Creek, California 94596, telephone 1-800-960-0188.

The Funds’ audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 are incorporated by reference to the Funds’ Annual Report for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>FUND HISTORY</u>	3
<u>INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES, POLICIES AND RISKS</u>	3
<u>BOARD OF TRUSTEES</u>	34
<u>PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS DISCLOSURE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES</u>	43
<u>THE ADVISOR AND THE SUB-ADVISORS</u>	44
<u>ADDITIONAL PORTFOLIO MANAGER INFORMATION</u>	47
<u>PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES</u>	69
<u>ADMINISTRATOR</u>	90
<u>PORTFOLIO TRANSACTIONS AND BROKERAGE</u>	91
<u>PORTFOLIO TURNOVER</u>	94
<u>NET ASSET VALUE</u>	94
<u>TAXATION</u>	96
<u>DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS</u>	99
<u>ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING PROGRAM</u>	100
<u>GENERAL INFORMATION</u>	100
<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	101
<u>APPENDIX</u>	102

## FUND HISTORY

The Trust was organized as a Delaware statutory trust on August 1, 1996 and is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the “1940 Act”), as an open-end management investment company. The Trust consists of four separate series: the Equity Fund, the International Fund, the Smaller Companies Fund, and the Alternative Strategies Fund.

The **Equity Fund** commenced operations on December 31, 1996. On April 30, 2009, the existing unnamed class of shares was redesignated as the Institutional Class, and the Investor Class commenced operations.

The **International Fund** commenced operations on December 1, 1997. On April 30, 2009, the existing unnamed class of shares was redesignated as the Institutional Class, and the Investor Class commenced operations.

The **Smaller Companies Fund** commenced operations on June 30, 2003. On April 30, 2009, the existing unnamed class of shares was redesignated as the Institutional Class.

The **Alternative Strategies Fund** commenced operations on September 30, 2011. Both the Institutional Class and the Investor Class commenced operations on that date.

## INVESTMENT OBJECTIVES, POLICIES AND RISKS

The investment objective of each Fund is fundamental and therefore may be changed only with the favorable vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding voting securities (as defined in the 1940 Act) of such Fund. Each Fund’s investment objective is set forth in the Funds’ prospectus. There is no assurance that each Fund will achieve its investment objective. The discussion below supplements information contained in the prospectus as to the investment policies of each Fund.

Investment policies or descriptions that are described as percentages of “the Fund’s net assets” are measured as percentages of the Fund’s net assets plus borrowings for investment purposes. The investment policies of the Equity Fund, International Fund, and Smaller Companies Fund with respect to “80% of the Fund’s net assets” may be changed by the Board of Trustees of the Trust (the “Board”) without shareholder approval, but shareholders would be given at least 60 days’ notice if any change occurs.

### Cash Position

When a Fund’s Sub-Advisor believes that market conditions are unfavorable for profitable investing, or when the Sub-Advisor is otherwise unable to locate attractive investment opportunities, a Fund’s cash or similar investments may increase. In other words, the Funds do not always stay fully invested in stocks and bonds. Cash or similar investments generally are a residual—they represent the assets that remain after a portfolio manager has committed available assets to desirable investment opportunities. However, the Advisor or a Fund’s Sub-Advisor may also temporarily increase a Fund’s cash position to protect its assets or maintain liquidity. Partly because the Sub-Advisors act independently of each other, the cash positions of the Funds may vary significantly.

When a Fund’s investments in cash or similar investments increase, it may not participate in market advances or declines to the same extent that it would if the Fund remained more fully invested in stocks or bonds.

### Equity Securities

The Funds may invest in equity securities consistent with its investment objective and strategies. Common stocks, preferred stocks and convertible securities are examples of equity securities.

All investments in equity securities are subject to market risks that may cause their prices to fluctuate over time. Historically, the equity markets have moved in cycles and the value of the securities in a Fund's portfolio may fluctuate substantially from day to day. Owning an equity security can also subject a Fund to the risk that the issuer may discontinue paying dividends.

To the extent a Fund invests in the equity securities of small- or medium-size companies, it will be exposed to the risks of small- and medium-size companies. Such companies often have limited product lines or services, have narrower markets for their goods and/or services, and more limited managerial and financial resources than larger, more established companies. In addition, because these companies are not well-known to the investing public, they may not have significant institutional ownership and may be followed by relatively few security analysts, and there will normally be less publicly available information when compared to larger companies. Adverse publicity and investor perceptions, whether or not based on fundamental analysis, can decrease the price and liquidity of securities held by the Fund. As a result, as compared to larger-sized companies, the performance of smaller-sized companies can be more volatile and they face greater risk of business failure, which could increase the volatility of the Fund's portfolio.

*Common Stock.* A common stock represents a proportionate share of the ownership of a company and its value is based on the success of the company's business, the cash a company generates, and the value of a company's assets. However, over short periods of time, the price of any company, whether successful or not, may increase or decrease in price by a meaningful percentage. In addition to the general risks set forth above, investments in common stocks are subject to the risk that in the event a company in which a Fund invests is liquidated, the holders of preferred stock and creditors of that company will be paid in full before any payments are made to the Fund as a holder of that company's common stock. It is possible that all assets of that company will be exhausted before any payments are made to the Fund.

*Preferred Stock.* Preferred stocks are equity securities that often pay dividends at a specific rate and have a preference over common stocks in dividend payments and liquidation of assets. A preferred stock has a blend of the characteristics of a bond and common stock. It can offer the higher yield of a bond and has priority over common stock in equity ownership, but does not have the seniority of a bond and, unlike common stock, its participation in the issuer's growth may be limited. Although the dividend is set at a fixed annual rate, in some circumstances it can be changed or omitted by the issuer.

### **Convertible Securities and Warrants**

Each Fund may invest in convertible securities and warrants. A convertible security is a fixed-income security (a debt instrument or a preferred stock) which may be converted at a stated price within a specified period of time into a certain quantity of the common stock of the same or a different issuer. Convertible securities are senior to common stock in an issuer's capital structure, but are usually subordinated to similar non-convertible securities. While providing a fixed-income stream (generally higher in yield than the income derivable from common stock but lower than that afforded by a similar non-convertible security), a convertible security also affords an investor the opportunity, through its conversion feature, to participate in the capital appreciation upon a market price advance in the convertible security's underlying common stock.

A warrant gives the holder the right to purchase at any time during a specified period a predetermined number of shares of common stock at a fixed price. Unlike convertible debt securities or preferred stock, warrants do not pay a fixed dividend. Investments in warrants involve certain risks, including the possible lack of a liquid market for resale of the warrants, potential price fluctuations as a result of speculation or other factors, and failure of the price of the underlying security to reach or have reasonable prospects of reaching a level at which the warrant can be prudently exercised (in which event the warrant may expire without being exercised, resulting in a loss of a Fund's entire investment therein).

## **Other Corporate Debt Securities**

Each Fund may invest in non-convertible debt securities of foreign and domestic companies over a cross-section of industries. The debt securities in which each Fund may invest will be of varying maturities and may include corporate bonds, debentures, notes and other similar corporate debt instruments. The value of a longer-term debt security fluctuates more widely in response to changes in interest rates than do shorter-term debt securities.

## **Risks of Investing in Debt Securities**

There are a number of risks generally associated with an investment in debt securities (including convertible securities). Yields on short-, intermediate-, and long-term securities depend on a variety of factors, including the general condition of the money and bond markets, the size of a particular offering, the maturity of the obligation, and the rating of the issue.

Debt securities with longer maturities tend to produce higher yields and are generally subject to potentially greater capital appreciation and depreciation than obligations with short maturities and lower yields. The market prices of debt securities usually vary, depending upon available yields. An increase in interest rates will generally reduce the value of such portfolio investments, and a decline in interest rates will generally increase the value of such portfolio investments. The ability of each Fund to achieve its investment objective also depends on the continuing ability of the issuers of the debt securities in which each Fund invests to meet their obligations for the payment of interest and principal when due.

## **Risks of Investing in Lower-Rated Debt Securities**

Each Fund may invest a portion of its net assets in debt securities rated below “Ba1” by Moody’s, below “BB+” by Standard & Poor’s (“S&P”) or below investment grade by other recognized rating agencies, or in unrated securities of comparable quality under certain circumstances. Securities with ratings below “Baa” by Moody’s and/or “BBB” by S&P are commonly referred to as “junk bonds.” Such bonds are subject to greater market fluctuations and risk of loss of income and principal than higher rated bonds for a variety of reasons, including the following:

**Sensitivity to Interest Rate and Economic Changes.** The economy and interest rates affect high yield securities differently from other securities. For example, the prices of high yield bonds have been found to be less sensitive to interest rate changes than higher-rated investments, but more sensitive to adverse economic changes or individual corporate developments. Also, during an economic downturn or substantial period of rising interest rates, highly leveraged issuers may experience financial stress which would adversely affect their ability to service their principal and interest obligations, to meet projected business goals, and to obtain additional financing. If the issuer of a bond defaults, each Fund may incur additional expenses to seek recovery. In addition, periods of economic uncertainty and changes can be expected to result in increased volatility of market prices of high yield bonds and a Fund’s asset values.

**Payment Expectations.** High yield bonds present certain risks based on payment expectations. For example, high yield bonds may contain redemption and call provisions. If an issuer exercises these provisions in a declining interest rate market, a Fund would have to replace the security with a lower yielding security, resulting in a decreased return for investors. Conversely, a high yield bond’s value will decrease in a rising interest rate market, as will the value of a Fund’s assets. If a Fund experiences unexpected net redemptions, it may be forced to sell its high yield bonds without regard to their investment merits, thereby decreasing the asset base upon which a Fund’s expenses can be spread and possibly reducing a Fund’s rate of return.

**Liquidity and Valuation.** To the extent that there is no established retail secondary market, there may be thin trading of high yield bonds, and this may impact a Sub-Advisor’s ability to accurately value high yield bonds and a Fund’s assets and hinder a Fund’s ability to dispose of the bonds. Adverse publicity and investor perceptions, whether or not based on fundamental analysis, may decrease the values and liquidity of high yield bonds, especially in a thinly traded market.

**Credit Ratings.** Credit ratings evaluate the safety of principal and interest payments, not the market value risk of high yield bonds. Also, since credit rating agencies may fail to timely change the credit ratings to reflect subsequent events, a Sub-Advisor must monitor the issuers of high yield bonds in a Fund's portfolio to determine if the issuers will have sufficient cash flow and profits to meet required principal and interest payments, and to assure the bonds' liquidity so a Fund can meet redemption requests. A Fund will not necessarily dispose of a portfolio security when its rating has been changed.

### **Exchange-Traded Notes**

The Funds may invest in exchange-traded notes ("ETNs"). ETNs are senior, unsecured, unsubordinated debt securities whose returns are linked to the performance of a particular market benchmark or strategy minus applicable fees. ETNs are traded on an exchange (e.g., the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE")) during normal trading hours. However, investors can also hold the ETN until maturity. At maturity, the issuer pays to the investor a cash amount equal to the principal amount, subject to the day's market benchmark or strategy factor.

ETNs do not make periodic coupon payments or provide principal protection. ETNs are subject to credit risk and the value of the ETN may drop due to a downgrade in the issuer's credit rating, despite the underlying market benchmark or strategy remaining unchanged. The value of an ETN may also be influenced by time to maturity, level of supply and demand for the ETN, volatility and lack of liquidity in underlying assets, changes in the applicable interest rates, changes in the issuer's credit rating, and economic, legal, political, or geographic events that affect the referenced underlying asset. When a Fund invests in ETNs, it will bear its proportionate share of any fees and expenses borne by the ETN. A Fund's decision to sell its ETN holdings may be limited by the availability of a secondary market. In addition, although an ETN may be listed on an exchange, the issuer may not be required to maintain the listing and there can be no assurance that a secondary market will exist for an ETN.

ETNs are also subject to tax risk. The tax treatment of ETNs is unclear. No statutory, juridical or administrative authority directly discusses how ETNs should be treated in this context for U.S. federal income tax purposes. No assurance can be given that the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") will accept, or a court will uphold, how the Fund characterizes and treats ETNs for tax purposes. Further, the IRS and Congress are considering proposals that would change the timing and character of income and gains from ETNs.

An ETN that is tied to a specific market benchmark or strategy may not be able to replicate and maintain exactly the composition and relative weighting of securities, commodities or other components in the applicable market benchmark or strategy. Some ETNs that use leverage can, at times, be relatively illiquid and, thus, they may be difficult to purchase or sell at a fair price. Leveraged ETNs are subject to the same risk as other instruments that use leverage in any form.

The market value of ETN shares may differ from their market benchmark or strategy. This difference in price may be due to the fact that the supply and demand in the market for ETN shares at any point in time is not always identical to the supply and demand in the market for the securities, commodities or other components underlying the market benchmark or strategy that the ETN seeks to track. As a result, there may be times when an ETN share trades at a premium or discount to its market benchmark or strategy.

### **Participation Notes (International Fund and Alternative Strategies Fund)**

The International Fund and the Alternative Strategies Fund may invest in participation notes ("P-Notes"). Some countries, especially emerging markets countries, do not permit foreigners to participate directly in their securities markets or otherwise present difficulties for efficient foreign investment. The International Fund and the Alternative Strategies Fund may use P-Notes to establish a position in such markets as a substitute for direct investment. The International Fund and the Alternative Strategies Fund may also invest in P-Notes, as an alternative to investing directly in the underlying security, if Litman Gregory determines that P-Notes offer greater liquidity

than the underlying security. P-Notes are issued by banks or broker-dealers and are designed to track the return of a particular underlying equity or debt security, currency, or market. When the P-Note matures, the issuer of the P-Note will pay to, or receive from, the International Fund or the Alternative Strategies Fund the difference between the nominal value of the underlying instrument at the time of purchase and that instrument's value at maturity. Investments in P-Notes involve the same risks associated with a direct investment in the underlying security, currency, or market that they seek to replicate, including, as applicable, foreign, emerging, and frontier risks. In addition, P-Notes are generally traded over-the-counter and are subject to counterparty risk. Counterparty risk is the risk that the issuer of the P-Note will not fulfill its contractual obligation to complete the transaction with the International Fund or the Alternative Strategies Fund. P-Notes constitute general unsecured contractual obligations of the banks or broker-dealers that issue them, and the International Fund and the Alternative Strategies Fund would be relying on the creditworthiness of such banks or broker-dealers and would have no rights under a P-Note against the issuer of the underlying assets. In addition, P-Notes may trade at a discount to the value of the underlying securities or markets that they seek to replicate.

### **Short-Term Investments**

Each Fund may invest in any of the following short-term securities and instruments:

**Bank Certificates or Deposits, Bankers' Acceptances and Time Deposits.** Each Fund may acquire certificates of deposit, bankers' acceptances and time deposits. Certificates of deposit are negotiable certificates issued against funds deposited in a commercial bank for a definite period of time and earning a specified return. Bankers' acceptances are negotiable drafts or bills of exchange, normally drawn by an importer or exporter to pay for specific merchandise, which are "accepted" by a bank, meaning in effect that the bank unconditionally agrees to pay the face value of the instrument on maturity. Certificates of deposit and bankers' acceptances acquired by a Fund will be dollar-denominated obligations of domestic or foreign banks or financial institutions which at the time of purchase have capital, surplus and undivided profits in excess of \$100 million (including assets of both domestic and foreign branches), based on latest published reports, or less than \$100 million if the principal amount of such bank obligations are fully insured by the U.S. Government. If a Fund holds instruments of foreign banks or financial institutions, it may be subject to additional investment risks that are different in some respects from those incurred by a fund that invests only in debt obligations of U.S. domestic issuers. See "Foreign Investments" below. Such risks include those related to future political and economic developments, the possible imposition of withholding taxes by the particular country in which the issuer is located on interest income payable on the securities, the possible seizure or nationalization of foreign deposits, the possible establishment of exchange controls and the possible adoption of other foreign governmental restrictions that might adversely affect the payment of principal and interest on these securities.

Domestic banks and foreign banks are subject to different governmental regulations with respect to the amount and types of loans that may be made and interest rates that may be charged. In addition, the profitability of the banking industry depends largely upon the availability and cost of funds for the purpose of financing lending operations under prevailing money market conditions. General economic conditions as well as exposure to credit losses arising from possible financial difficulties of borrowers play an important part in the operations of the banking industry.

As a result of federal and state laws and regulations, domestic banks are, among other things, required to maintain specified levels of reserves, limited in the amount they can loan to a single borrower, and subject to other regulations designed to promote financial soundness. However, such laws and regulations do not necessarily apply to foreign bank obligations that a Fund may acquire.

In addition to purchasing certificates of deposit and bankers' acceptances, to the extent permitted under its investment objectives and policies stated above and in its prospectus, a Fund may make interest-bearing time or other interest-bearing deposits in commercial or savings banks. Time deposits are non-negotiable deposits maintained at a banking institution for a specified period of time at a specified interest rate.

**Savings Association Obligations.** Each Fund may invest in certificates of deposit (interest-bearing time deposits) issued by savings banks or savings and loan associations that have capital, surplus and undivided profits in excess of \$100 million, based on latest published reports, or less than \$100 million if the principal amount of such obligations is fully insured by the U.S. Government.

**Commercial Paper, Short-Term Notes and Other Corporate Obligations.** Each Fund may invest a portion of its assets in commercial paper and short-term notes. Commercial paper consists of unsecured promissory notes issued by corporations. Issues of commercial paper and short-term notes will normally have maturities of less than nine months and fixed rates of return, although such instruments may have maturities of up to one year.

Commercial paper and short-term notes in which a Fund may invest will consist of issues rated at the time of purchase “AA-2” or higher by S&P, “Prime-1” or “Prime-2” by Moody’s, or similarly rated by another nationally recognized statistical rating organization or, if unrated, will be determined by a Sub-Advisor to be of comparable quality. These rating symbols are described in Appendix A.

Corporate obligations include bonds and notes issued by corporations to finance longer-term credit needs than supported by commercial paper. While such obligations generally have maturities of ten years or more, a Fund may purchase corporate obligations that have remaining maturities of one year or less from the date of purchase and that are rated “AA” or higher by S&P or “Aa” or higher by Moody’s.

#### **Loan Participations and Assignments (Bank Debt) (Alternative Strategies Fund)**

The Alternative Strategies Fund may invest in bank debt, which includes interests in loans to companies or their affiliates undertaken to finance a capital restructuring or in connection with recapitalizations, acquisitions, leveraged buyouts, refinancings or other financially leveraged transactions and may include loans which are designed to provide temporary or bridge financing to a borrower pending the sale of identified assets, the arrangement of longer-term loans or the issuance and sale of debt obligations. These loans, which may bear fixed or floating rates, have generally been arranged through private negotiations between a corporate borrower and one or more financial institutions (“Lenders”), including banks. The Fund’s investment may be in the form of participations in loans (“Participations”) or of assignments of all or a portion of loans from third parties (“Assignments”).

The Fund has the right to receive payments of principal, interest and any fees to which it is entitled only from the Lender selling a Participation and only upon receipt by the Lender of the payments from the borrower. In connection with purchasing Participations, the Fund generally will have no right to enforce compliance by the borrower with the terms of the loan agreement relating to the loan, nor any rights of set-off against the borrower, and the Fund may not benefit directly from any collateral supporting the loan in which it has purchased the Participation. Thus, the Fund assumes the credit risk of both the borrower and the Lender that is selling the Participation. In addition, in connection with purchasing Participations, the Fund generally will have no role in terms of negotiating or effecting amendments, waivers and consents with respect to the loans underlying the Participations. In the event of the insolvency of the Lender, the Fund may be treated as a general creditor of the Lender and may not benefit from any set-off between the Lender and the borrower.

In certain cases, the rights and obligations acquired by the Fund through the purchase of an Assignment may differ from, and be more limited than, those held by the assigning selling institution. Assignments are sold strictly without recourse to the selling institutions, and the selling institutions will generally make no representations or warranties to the Fund about the underlying loan, the borrowers, the documentation of the loans or any collateral securing the loans.

Investments in Participations and Assignments involve additional risks, including the risk of nonpayment of principal and interest by the borrower, the risk that any loan collateral may become impaired and that the Fund may obtain less than the full value for the loan interests sold because they may be illiquid. Purchasers of loans depend primarily upon the creditworthiness of the borrower for payment of interest and repayment of principal. If scheduled interest or principal payments are not made, the value of the instrument may be adversely affected.



Investments in loans through direct assignment of a financial institution's interests with respect to a loan may involve additional risks. For example, if a loan is foreclosed, the Fund could become part owner of any collateral, and would bear the costs and liabilities associated with owning and disposing of the collateral.

A loan is often administered by a bank or other financial institution that acts as agent for all holders. The agent administers the terms of the loan, as specified in the loan agreement. Unless, under the terms of the loan or other indebtedness, the Fund has direct recourse against the borrower, the Fund may have to rely on the agent to apply appropriate credit remedies against a borrower. If assets held by the agent for the benefit of the Fund were determined to be subject to the claims of the agent's general creditors, the Fund might incur certain costs and delays in realizing payment on the loan or loan participation and could suffer a loss of principal or interest.

Interests in loans are also subject to additional liquidity risks. Loans are generally subject to legal or contractual restrictions on resale. Loans are not currently listed on any securities exchange or automatic quotation system, but are traded by banks and other institutional investors engaged in loan syndication. As a result, no active market may exist for some loans, and to the extent a secondary market exists for other loans, such market may be subject to irregular trading activity, wide bid/ask spreads and extended trade settlement periods. Consequently, the Fund may have difficulty disposing of Assignments or Participations in response to a specific economic event such as deterioration in the creditworthiness of the borrower, which can result in a loss. In such market situations, it may be more difficult for the Fund to assign a value to Assignments or Participations when valuing the Fund's securities and calculating its net asset value ("NAV").

The Alternative Strategies Fund limits the amount of its assets that it will invest in any one issuer or in issuers within the same industry (see "Investment Restrictions" below). For purposes of these limits, the Fund will generally treat the corporate borrower as the "issuer" of indebtedness held by the Fund. In the case of Participations where a bank or other lending institution serves as a financial intermediary between the Fund and the corporate borrower, if the Participation does not shift to the Fund the direct debtor-creditor relationship with the corporate borrower, Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") interpretations require the Fund, in appropriate circumstances, to treat both the lending bank or other lending institution and the corporate borrower as "issuers" for the purpose of determining whether the Fund has invested more than 5% of its total assets in a single issuer. Treating a financial intermediary as an issuer of indebtedness may restrict the Fund's ability to invest in indebtedness related to a single financial intermediary, or a group of intermediaries engaged in the same industry, even if the underlying borrowers represent many different companies and industries.

### **Money Market Funds**

Each Fund may under certain circumstances invest a portion of its assets in money market funds. The 1940 Act generally prohibits a Fund from investing more than 5% of the value of its total assets in any one investment company or more than 10% of the value of its total assets in investment companies as a group, and also restricts its investment in any investment company to 3% of the voting securities of such investment company. There are some exceptions, however, to these limitations pursuant to various rules promulgated by the SEC. The Advisor and the Sub-Advisors will not impose advisory fees on assets of a Fund invested in a money market mutual fund. However, an investment in a money market mutual fund will involve payment by a Fund of its pro rata share of advisory and administrative fees charged by such fund.

### **Municipal Securities (Alternative Strategies Fund)**

The Alternative Strategies Fund may invest in municipal securities. Municipal securities are issued by the states, territories and possessions of the United States, their political subdivisions (such as cities, counties and towns) and various authorities (such as public housing or redevelopment authorities), instrumentalities, public corporations and special districts (such as water, sewer or sanitary districts) of the states, territories, and possessions of the United States or their political subdivisions. In addition, municipal securities include securities issued by or on behalf of public authorities to finance various privately operated facilities, such as industrial development bonds, that are backed only by the assets and revenues of the non-governmental user (such as hospitals and airports).

Municipal securities are issued to obtain funds for a variety of public purposes, including general financing for state and local governments, or financing for specific projects or public facilities. Municipal securities are classified as general obligation or revenue bonds or notes. General obligation securities are secured by the issuer's pledge of its full faith, credit and taxing power for the payment of principal and interest. Revenue securities are payable from revenue derived from a particular facility, class of facilities, or the proceeds of a special excise tax or other specific revenue source, but not from the issuer's general taxing power. The Fund will not invest more than 25% of its total assets in a single type of revenue bond. Private activity bonds and industrial revenue bonds do not carry the pledge of the credit of the issuing municipality, but generally are guaranteed by the corporate entity on whose behalf they are issued.

Shareholders of the Alternative Strategies Fund should be aware that certain deductions and exemptions may be designated "tax preference items," which must be added back to taxable income for purposes of calculating a shareholder's federal alternative minimum tax ("AMT"), if applicable to such shareholder. Tax preference items may include tax-exempt interest on private activity bonds. To the extent that the Alternative Strategies Fund invests in private activity bonds, its shareholders may be required to report that portion of the Fund's distributions attributable to income from the bonds as a tax preference item in determining their federal AMT, if any. Shareholders are encouraged to consult their tax advisors in this regard.

Municipal leases are entered into by state and local governments and authorities to acquire equipment and facilities such as fire and sanitation vehicles, telecommunications equipment, and other assets. Municipal leases (which normally provide for title to the leased assets to pass eventually to the government issuer) have evolved as a means for governmental issuers to acquire property and equipment without meeting the constitutional and statutory requirements for the issuance of debt. The debt-issuance limitations of many state constitutions and statutes are deemed to be inapplicable because of the inclusion in many leases or contracts of "non-appropriation" clauses that provide that the governmental issuer has no obligation to make future payments under the lease or contract unless money is appropriated for such purpose by the appropriate legislative body on a yearly or other periodic basis.

### **Government Obligations**

Each Fund may make short-term investments in U.S. Government obligations. Such obligations include Treasury bills, certificates of indebtedness, notes and bonds, and issues of such entities as the Government National Mortgage Association ("GNMA"), Export-Import Bank of the United States, Tennessee Valley Authority, Resolution Funding Corporation, Farmers Home Administration, Federal Home Loan Banks, Federal Intermediate Credit Banks, Federal Farm Credit Banks, Federal Land Banks, Federal Housing Administration, Federal National Mortgage Association ("FNMA"), Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation ("FHLMC"), and the Student Loan Marketing Association ("SLMA").

Some of these obligations, such as those of the GNMA, are supported by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Treasury; others, such as those of the Export-Import Bank of United States, are supported by the right of the issuer to borrow from the Treasury; others, such as those of the FNMA, are supported by the discretionary authority of the U.S. Government to purchase the agency's obligations; still others, such as those of the SLMA, are supported only by the credit of the instrumentality. No assurance can be given that the U.S. Government would provide financial support to U.S. Government-sponsored instrumentalities if it is not obligated to do so by law.

Each Fund may invest in sovereign debt obligations of foreign countries. A sovereign debtor's willingness or ability to repay principal and interest in a timely manner may be affected by a number of factors, including its cash flow situation, the extent of its foreign reserves, the availability of sufficient foreign exchange on the date a payment is due, the relative size of the debt service burden to the economy as a whole, the sovereign debtor's policy toward principal international lenders and the political constraints to which it may be subject. Emerging market

governments could default on their sovereign debt. Such sovereign debtors also may be dependent on expected disbursements from foreign governments, multilateral agencies and other entities abroad to reduce principal and interest arrearages on their debt. The commitments on the part of these governments, agencies and others to make such disbursements may be conditioned on a sovereign debtor's implementation of economic reforms and/or economic performance and the timely service of such debtor's obligations. Failure to meet such conditions could result in the cancellation of such third parties' commitments to lend funds to the sovereign debtor, which may further impair such debtor's ability or willingness to service its debt in a timely manner.

### **Zero Coupon Securities**

Each Fund may invest up to 35% of its net assets in zero coupon securities issued by the U.S. Treasury. Zero coupon Treasury securities are U.S. Treasury notes and bonds that have been stripped of their unmatured interest coupons and receipts, or certificates representing interests in such stripped debt obligations or coupons. Because a zero coupon security pays no interest to its holder during its life or for a substantial period of time, it usually trades at a deep discount from its face or par value and will be subject to greater fluctuations of market value in response to changing interest rates than debt obligations of comparable maturities that make current distributions of interest.

### **Variable and Floating Rate Instruments**

Each Fund may acquire variable and floating rate instruments. Such instruments are frequently not rated by credit rating agencies; however, unrated variable and floating rate instruments purchased by a Fund will be determined by a Sub-Advisor under guidelines established by the Board to be of comparable quality at the time of the purchase to rated instruments eligible for purchase by a Fund. In making such determinations, a Sub-Advisor will consider the earning power, cash flow and other liquidity ratios of the issuers of such instruments (such issuers include financial, merchandising, bank holding and other companies) and will monitor their financial condition. An active secondary market may not exist with respect to particular variable or floating rate instruments purchased by a Fund. The absence of such an active secondary market could make it difficult for a Fund to dispose of the variable or floating rate instrument involved in the event that the issuer of the instrument defaults on its payment obligation or during periods in which a Fund is not entitled to exercise its demand rights, and a Fund could, for these or other reasons, suffer a loss to the extent of the default. Variable and floating rate instruments may be secured by bank letters of credit.

### **Asset-Backed Securities (Alternative Strategies Fund)**

The Alternative Strategies Fund may invest in asset-backed securities. Asset-backed securities are securities issued by trusts and special purpose entities that are backed by pools of assets, such as automobile and credit-card receivables and home equity loans, which pass through the payments on the underlying obligations to the security holders (less servicing fees paid to the originator or fees for any credit enhancement). Typically, the originator of the loan or accounts receivable paper transfers it to a specially created trust, which repackages it as securities with a minimum denomination and a specific term. The securities are then privately placed or publicly offered. For example, the Fund may invest in collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs"), which include collateralized bond obligations ("CBOs"), collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs"), and other similarly structured entities. CBOs and CLOs are types of asset-backed securities. A CBO is a trust, which is backed by a diversified pool of high-risk, below investment grade fixed-income securities. A CLO is a trust typically collateralized by a pool of loans, which may include, among others, domestic and foreign senior secured loans, senior unsecured loans, and subordinate corporate loans, including loans that may be rated below investment grade or equivalent unrated loans.

The value of an asset-backed security is affected by, among other things, changes in the market's perception of the asset backing the security, the creditworthiness of the servicing agent for the loan pool, the originator of the loans and the financial institution providing any credit enhancement. Payments of principal and interest passed through to holders of asset-backed securities are frequently supported by some form of credit enhancement, such as a letter of credit, surety bond, limited guarantee by another entity or by having a priority to certain of the borrower's other assets. The degree of credit enhancement varies, and generally applies to only a portion of the asset-backed security's par value. Value is also affected if any credit enhancement has been exhausted.

## **Mortgage-Related Securities**

Each Fund may invest in mortgage-related securities. Mortgage-related securities are derivative interests in pools of mortgage loans made to U.S. residential home buyers, including mortgage loans made by savings and loan institutions, mortgage bankers, commercial banks and others. Pools of mortgage loans are assembled as securities for sale to investors by various governmental, government-related and private organizations. Each Fund may also invest in debt securities which are secured with collateral consisting of U.S. mortgage-related securities, and in other types of U.S. mortgage-related securities.

The effects of the sub-prime mortgage crisis that began to unfold in 2007 continue to manifest in nearly all sub-divisions of the financial services industry. Sub-prime mortgage-related losses and write downs among investment banks and similar institutions reached significant levels in 2008. The impact of these losses among traditional banks, investment banks, broker-dealers and insurers has forced a number of such institutions into either liquidation or combination, while also drastically increasing the volatility of their stock prices. In some cases, the U.S. government has acted to bail out select institutions, such as insurers; however the risks associated with investment in stocks of such insurers has nonetheless increased substantially.

While the U.S. Department of the Treasury, Federal Reserve Board and Congress have taken steps to address problems in the financial markets and with financial institutions, there can be no assurance that the risks associated with investments in financial services company issuers will decrease as a result of these steps.

**U.S. Mortgage Pass-Through Securities.** Interests in pools of mortgage-related securities differ from other forms of debt securities, which normally provide for periodic payment of interest in fixed amounts with principal payments at maturity or specified call dates. Instead, these securities provide a monthly payment that consists of both interest and principal payments. In effect, these payments are a “pass-through” of the monthly payments made by the individual borrowers on their residential mortgage loans, net of any fees paid to the issuer or guarantor of such securities. Additional payments are caused by repayments of principal resulting from the sale of the underlying residential property, refinancing or foreclosure, net of fees or costs which may be incurred. Some mortgage-related securities (such as securities issued by GNMA) are described as “modified pass-throughs.” These securities entitle the holder to receive all interest and principal payments owed on the mortgage pool, net of certain fees, at the scheduled payment dates regardless of whether or not the mortgagor actually makes the payment.

The principal governmental guarantor of U.S. mortgage-related securities is GNMA, a wholly-owned United States Government corporation within the Department of Housing and Urban Development. GNMA is authorized to guarantee, with the full faith and credit of the United States Government, the timely payment of principal and interest on securities issued by institutions approved by GNMA (such as savings and loan institutions, commercial banks and mortgage bankers) and backed by pools of mortgages insured by the Federal Housing Agency or guaranteed by the Veterans Administration.

Government-related guarantors include FNMA and FHLMC. FNMA is a government-sponsored corporation owned entirely by private stockholders and subject to general regulation by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development. FNMA purchases conventional residential mortgages not insured or guaranteed by any government agency from a list of approved seller/services which include state and federally chartered savings and loan associations, mutual savings banks, commercial banks and credit unions and mortgage bankers. FHLMC is a government-sponsored corporation created to increase availability of mortgage credit for residential housing and owned entirely by private stockholders. FHLMC issues participation certificates which represent interests in conventional mortgages from FHLMC’s national portfolio. Pass-through securities issued by FNMA and participation certificates issued by FHLMC are guaranteed as to timely payment of principal and interest by FNMA and FHLMC, respectively, but are not backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government.

Although the underlying mortgage loans in a pool may have maturities of up to 30 years, the actual average life of the pool certificates typically will be substantially less because the mortgages will be subject to normal principal amortization and may be prepaid prior to maturity. Prepayment rates vary widely and may be affected by changes in market interest rates. In periods of falling interest rates, the rate of prepayment tends to increase, thereby shortening the actual average life of the pool certificates. Conversely, when interest rates are rising, the rate of prepayments tends to decrease, thereby lengthening the actual average life of the certificates. Accordingly, it is not possible to predict accurately the average life of a particular pool.

**Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (“CMOs”).** A domestic or foreign CMO in which a Fund may invest is a hybrid between a mortgage-backed bond and a mortgage pass-through security. Like a bond, interest is paid, in most cases, semiannually. CMOs may be collateralized by whole mortgage loans, but are more typically collateralized by portfolios of mortgage pass-through securities guaranteed by GNMA, FHLMC, FNMA or equivalent foreign entities.

CMOs are structured into multiple classes, each bearing a different stated maturity. Actual maturity and average life depend upon the prepayment experience of the collateral. CMOs provide for a modified form of call protection through a de facto breakdown of the underlying pool of mortgages according to how quickly the loans are repaid. Monthly payment of principal and interest received from the pool of underlying mortgages, including prepayments, is first returned to the class having the earliest maturity date or highest maturity. Classes that have longer maturity dates and lower seniority will receive principal only after the higher class has been retired.

### **Real Estate Investment Trusts**

Each Fund may invest in real estate investment trusts (“REITs”). REITs are pooled investment vehicles that invest primarily in either real estate or real estate-related loans. REITs involve certain unique risks in addition to those risks associated with investing in the real estate industry in general (such as possible declines in the value of real estate, lack of availability of mortgage funds, or extended vacancies of property). Equity REITs may be affected by changes in the value of the underlying property owned by the REITs, while mortgage REITs may be affected by the quality of any credit extended and changes in interest rates. REITs whose underlying assets are concentrated in properties used by a particular industry, such as health care, are also subject to risks associated with such industry. REITs are dependent upon management skills, are not diversified, and are subject to heavy cash flow dependency, risks of default by borrowers, and self-liquidation. REITs are also subject to the possibilities of failing to qualify for preferential tax treatment under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), and failing to maintain their exemptions from registration under the 1940 Act.

REITs (especially mortgage REITs) are also subject to interest rate risks, including prepayment risk. When interest rates decline, the value of a REIT’s investment in fixed rate obligations can be expected to rise. Conversely, when interest rates rise, the value of a REIT’s investment in fixed rate obligations can be expected to decline. If the REIT invests in adjustable rate mortgage loans the interest rates on which are reset periodically, yields on a REIT’s investments in such loans will gradually align themselves to reflect changes in market interest rates. This causes the value of such investments to fluctuate less dramatically in response to interest rate fluctuations than would investments in fixed rate obligations. REITs may have limited financial resources, may trade less frequently and in a limited volume, and may be subject to more abrupt or erratic price movements than more widely held securities. A Fund’s investment in a REIT may require a Fund to accrue and distribute income not yet received or may result in a Fund making distributions that constitute a return of capital to a Fund’s shareholders for federal income tax purposes. In addition, distributions by a Fund from REITs will not qualify for the corporate dividends-received deduction, or, generally, for treatment as qualified dividend income.

Investments in REITs by a Fund may subject its shareholders to multiple levels of fees and expenses as a Fund’s shareholders will directly bear the fees and expenses of a Fund and will also indirectly bear a portion of the fees and expenses of the REITs in which a Fund invests.

## Foreign Investments and Currencies

Each Fund may invest in securities of foreign issuers that are not publicly traded in the United States (the International Fund will invest substantially all of its assets in securities of foreign issuers). Each Fund may also invest in depositary receipts and in foreign currency futures contracts and may purchase and sell foreign currency on a spot basis.

**Depositary Receipts.** Depositary Receipts (“DRs”) include American Depositary Receipts (“ADRs”), European Depositary Receipts (“EDRs”), Global Depositary Receipts (“GDRs”) or other forms of depositary receipts. DRs are receipts typically issued in connection with a U.S. or foreign bank or trust company which evidence ownership of underlying securities issued by a foreign corporation.

**Forward Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts (Alternative Strategies Fund).** The Alternative Strategies Fund may use forward foreign currency exchange contracts for hedging purposes as well as investment purposes. A forward foreign currency contract involves an obligation to purchase or sell a specific amount of currency at a future date or date range at a specific price. In the case of a cancelable forward contract, the holder has the unilateral right to cancel the contract at maturity by paying a specified fee. Forward foreign currency exchange contracts differ from foreign currency futures contracts in certain respects. Unlike futures contracts, forward contracts:

- Do not have standard maturity dates or amounts (*i.e.*, the parties to the contract may fix the maturity date and the amount).
- Are traded in the inter-bank markets conducted directly between currency traders (usually large commercial banks) and their customers, as opposed to futures contracts which are traded only on exchanges regulated by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (“CFTC”).
- Do not require an initial margin deposit.
- May be closed by entering into a closing transaction with the currency trader who is a party to the original forward contract, as opposed to a commodities exchange.

**Foreign Currency Hedging Strategies (Alternative Strategies Fund).** A “settlement hedge” or “transaction hedge” is designed to protect the Fund against an adverse change in foreign currency values between the date a security is purchased or sold and the date on which payment is made or received. Entering into a forward contract for the purchase or sale of the amount of foreign currency involved in an underlying security transaction for a fixed amount of U.S. dollars “locks in” the U.S. dollar price of the security. The Fund may also use forward contracts to purchase or sell a foreign currency when it anticipates purchasing or selling securities denominated in foreign currency, even if it has not yet selected the specific investments.

The Fund may use forward contracts to hedge against a decline in the value of existing investments denominated in foreign currency. Such a hedge, sometimes referred to as a “position hedge,” would tend to offset both positive and negative currency fluctuations, but would not offset changes in security values caused by other factors. The Fund could also hedge the position by selling another currency expected to perform similarly to the currency in which the Fund’s investment is denominated. This type of hedge, sometimes referred to as a “proxy hedge,” could offer advantages in terms of cost, yield, or efficiency, but generally would not hedge currency exposure as effectively as a direct hedge into U.S. dollars. Proxy hedges may result in losses if the currency used to hedge does not perform similarly to the currency in which the hedged securities are denominated.

Transaction and position hedging do not eliminate fluctuations in the underlying prices of the securities that the Fund owns or intends to purchase or sell. They simply establish a rate of exchange that one can achieve at some future point in time. Additionally, these techniques tend to minimize the risk of loss due to a decline in the value of the hedged currency and to limit any potential gain that might result from the increase in value of such currency.

The Fund may enter into forward contracts to shift its investment exposure from one currency into another. Such transactions may call for the delivery of one foreign currency in exchange for another foreign currency, including currencies in which its securities are not then denominated. This may include shifting exposure from U.S. dollars to a foreign currency, or from one foreign currency to another foreign currency. This type of strategy, sometimes known as a “cross-hedge,” will tend to reduce or eliminate exposure to the currency that is sold, and increase exposure to the currency that is purchased. Cross-hedges protect against losses resulting from a decline in the hedged currency, but will cause the Fund to assume the risk of fluctuations in the value of the currency it purchases. Cross hedging transactions also involve the risk of imperfect correlation between changes in the values of the currencies involved.

It is difficult to forecast with precision the market value of portfolio securities at the expiration or maturity of a forward or futures contract. Accordingly, the Fund may have to purchase additional foreign currency on the spot market if the market value of a security it is hedging is less than the amount of foreign currency it is obligated to deliver. Conversely, the Fund may have to sell on the spot market some of the foreign currency it received upon the sale of a security if the market value of such security exceeds the amount of foreign currency it is obligated to deliver.

**Risks of Investing in Foreign Securities.** Investments in foreign securities involve certain inherent risks, including the following:

*Political and Economic Factors.* Individual foreign economies of certain countries may differ favorably or unfavorably from the United States’ economy in such respects as growth of gross national product, rate of inflation, capital reinvestment, resource self-sufficiency, diversification and balance of payments position. The internal politics of certain foreign countries may not be as stable as those of the United States. Governments in certain foreign countries also continue to participate to a significant degree, through ownership interest or regulation, in their respective economies. Action by these governments could include restrictions on foreign investment, nationalization, expropriation of goods or imposition of taxes, and could have a significant effect on market prices of securities and payment of interest. The economies of many foreign countries are heavily dependent upon international trade and are accordingly affected by the trade policies and economic conditions of their trading partners. Enactment by these trading partners of protectionist trade legislation could have a significant adverse effect upon the securities markets of such countries.

The European financial markets have continued to experience volatility because of concerns about economic downturns and about high and rising government debt levels of several countries in the European Union and Europe generally. These events have adversely affected the exchange rate of the Euro and the European securities markets, and may spread to other countries in Europe, including countries that do not use the Euro. These events may affect the value and liquidity of certain of the Funds’ investments. Responses to the financial problems by European Union governments, central banks and others, including austerity measures and reforms, may not work, may result in social unrest and may limit future growth and economic recovery or have other unintended consequences. Further defaults or restructurings by governments and others of their debt could have additional adverse effects on economies, financial markets and asset valuations around the world.

In a public referendum in June 2016, the United Kingdom (“UK”) voted to leave the European Union (the “EU”), a process now commonly referred to as “Brexit”. On March 29, 2017, UK Prime Minister Theresa May delivered a letter invoking Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty and notifying the European Council of the UK’s decision to withdraw from the EU. The letter triggered the two-year withdrawal negotiation process. It is anticipated that the UK will leave the EU on or before March 29, 2019. It is unclear how withdrawal negotiations will be conducted and what the potential consequences may be. In addition, it is possible that measures could be taken to revote on the issue of Brexit, or that portions of the UK could seek to separate and remain a part of the EU. As a result of the political divisions within the UK and between the UK and the EU that the referendum vote has highlighted and the uncertain consequences of a Brexit, the UK and European economies and the broader global economy could be significantly impacted, which may result in increased volatility and illiquidity, and potentially lower economic growth on markets in the UK, Europe and globally that could potentially have an adverse effect on the value of the Funds’ investments.

*Currency Fluctuations.* Each Fund may invest in securities denominated in foreign currencies. Accordingly, a change in the value of any such currency against the U.S. dollar will result in a corresponding change in the U.S. dollar value of a Fund's assets denominated in that currency. Such changes will also affect a Fund's income. The value of a Fund's assets may also be affected significantly by currency restrictions and exchange control regulations enacted from time to time.

*Market Characteristics.* The Sub-Advisors expect that many foreign securities in which a Fund invests will be purchased in over-the-counter markets or on exchanges located in the countries in which the principal offices of the issuers of the various securities are located, if that is the best available market. Foreign exchanges and markets may be more volatile than those in the United States. While growing in volume, they usually have substantially less volume than U.S. markets, and a Fund's portfolio securities may be less liquid and more volatile than U.S. Government securities. Moreover, settlement practices for transactions in foreign markets may differ from those in United States markets, and may include delays beyond periods customary in the United States. Foreign security trading practices, including those involving securities settlement where Fund assets may be released prior to receipt of payment or securities, may expose a Fund to increased risk in the event of a failed trade or the insolvency of a foreign broker-dealer.

Transactions in options on securities, futures contracts, futures options and currency contracts may not be regulated as effectively on foreign exchanges as similar transactions in the United States, and may not involve clearing mechanisms and related guarantees. The value of such positions also could be adversely affected by the imposition of different exercise terms and procedures and margin requirements than in the United States. The value of a Fund's positions may also be adversely impacted by delays in its ability to act upon economic events occurring in foreign markets during non-business hours in the United States.

*Legal and Regulatory Matters.* Certain foreign countries may have less supervision of securities markets, brokers and issuers of securities, and less financial information available to issuers, than is available in the United States.

*Taxes.* The interest payable on certain of a Fund's foreign portfolio securities may be subject to foreign withholding or other taxes, thus reducing the net amount of income available for distribution to a Fund's shareholders.

*Costs.* To the extent that each Fund invests in foreign securities, its expense ratio is likely to be higher than those of investment companies investing only in domestic securities, since the cost of maintaining the custody of foreign securities is higher.

*Emerging markets.* Some of the securities in which each Fund may invest may be located in developing or emerging markets, which entail additional risks, including less social, political and economic stability; smaller securities markets and lower trading volume, which may result in a less liquidity and greater price volatility; national policies that may restrict a Fund's investment opportunities, including restrictions on investment in issuers or industries, or expropriation or confiscation of assets or property; and less developed legal structures governing private or foreign investment.

In considering whether to invest in the securities of a foreign company, a Sub-Advisor considers such factors as the characteristics of the particular company, differences between economic trends and the performance of securities markets within the U.S. and those within other countries, and also factors relating to the general economic, governmental and social conditions of the country or countries where the company is located. The extent to which a Fund will be invested in foreign companies and countries and depository receipts will fluctuate from time to time within the limitations described in the prospectus, depending on a Sub-Advisor's assessment of prevailing market, economic and other conditions.



## Options on Securities and Securities Indices

**Purchasing Put and Call Options.** Each Fund may purchase covered “put” and “call” options with respect to securities which are otherwise eligible for purchase by a Fund and with respect to various stock indices subject to certain restrictions. Each Fund will engage in trading of such derivative securities primarily for hedging purposes.

If a Fund purchases a put option, a Fund acquires the right to sell the underlying security at a specified price at any time during the term of the option (for “American-style” options) or on the option expiration date (for “European-style” options). Purchasing put options may be used as a portfolio investment strategy when a Sub-Advisor perceives significant short-term risk but substantial long-term appreciation for the underlying security. The put option acts as an insurance policy, as it protects against significant downward price movement while it allows full participation in any upward movement. If a Fund is holding a stock which it feels has strong fundamentals, but for some reason may be weak in the near term, a Fund may purchase a put option on such security, thereby giving itself the right to sell such security at a certain strike price throughout the term of the option. Consequently, a Fund will exercise the put only if the price of such security falls below the strike price of the put. The difference between the put’s strike price and the market price of the underlying security on the date a Fund exercises the put, less transaction costs, will be the amount by which a Fund will be able to hedge against a decline in the underlying security. If during the period of the option the market price for the underlying security remains at or above the put’s strike price, the put will expire worthless, representing a loss of the price a Fund paid for the put, plus transaction costs. If the price of the underlying security increases, the profit a Fund realizes on the sale of the security will be reduced by the premium paid for the put option less any amount for which the put may be sold.

If a Fund purchases a call option, it acquires the right to purchase the underlying security at a specified price at any time during the term of the option. The purchase of a call option is a type of insurance policy to hedge against losses that could occur if a Fund has a short position in the underlying security and the security thereafter increases in price. Each Fund will exercise a call option only if the price of the underlying security is above the strike price at the time of exercise. If during the option period the market price for the underlying security remains at or below the strike price of the call option, the option will expire worthless, representing a loss of the price paid for the option, plus transaction costs. If the call option has been purchased to hedge a short position of a Fund in the underlying security and the price of the underlying security thereafter falls, the profit a Fund realizes on the cover of the short position in the security will be reduced by the premium paid for the call option less any amount for which such option may be sold.

Prior to exercise or expiration, an option may be sold when it has remaining value by a purchaser through a “closing sale transaction,” which is accomplished by selling an option of the same series as the option previously purchased. Each Fund generally will purchase only those options for which a Sub-Advisor believes there is an active secondary market to facilitate closing transactions.

**Writing Call Options.** Each Fund may write covered call options. A call option is “covered” if a Fund owns the security underlying the call or has an absolute right to acquire the security without additional cash consideration (or, if additional cash consideration is required, cash or cash equivalents in such amount as are held in a segregated account by the Custodian). The writer of a call option receives a premium and gives the purchaser the right to buy the security underlying the option at the exercise price. The writer has the obligation upon exercise of the option to deliver the underlying security against payment of the exercise price during the option period. If the writer of an exchange-traded option wishes to terminate his obligation, he may effect a “closing purchase transaction.” This is accomplished by buying an option of the same series as the option previously written. A writer may not effect a closing purchase transaction after it has been notified of the exercise of an option.

Effecting a closing transaction in the case of a written call option will permit a Fund to write another call option on the underlying security with either a different exercise price, expiration date or both. Also, effecting a closing transaction will permit the cash or proceeds from the concurrent sale of any securities subject to the option to be used for other investments of a Fund. If a Fund desires to sell a particular security from its portfolio on which it has written a call option, it will effect a closing transaction prior to or concurrent with the sale of the security.

Each Fund will realize a gain from a closing transaction if the cost of the closing transaction is less than the premium received from writing the option or if the proceeds from the closing transaction are more than the premium paid to purchase the option. Each Fund will realize a loss from a closing transaction if the cost of the closing transaction is more than the premium received from writing the option or if the proceeds from the closing transaction are less than the premium paid to purchase the option. However, because increases in the market price of a call option will generally reflect increases in the market price of the underlying security, any loss to a Fund resulting from the repurchase of a call option is likely to be offset in whole or in part by appreciation of the underlying security owned by a Fund.

**Stock Index Options.** Each Fund may also purchase put and call options with respect to the S&P 500 and other stock indices. Such options may be purchased as a hedge against changes resulting from market conditions in the values of securities which are held in a Fund's portfolio or which it intends to purchase or sell, or when they are economically appropriate for the reduction of risks inherent in the ongoing management of a Fund.

The distinctive characteristics of options on stock indices create certain risks that are not present with stock options generally. Because the value of an index option depends upon movements in the level of the index rather than the price of a particular stock, whether a Fund will realize a gain or loss on the purchase or sale of an option on an index depends upon movements in the level of stock prices in the stock market generally rather than movements in the price of a particular stock. Accordingly, successful use by a Fund of options on a stock index would be subject to a Sub-Advisor's ability to predict correctly movements in the direction of the stock market generally. This requires different skills and techniques than predicting changes in the price of individual stocks.

Index prices may be distorted if trading of certain stocks included in the index is interrupted. Trading of index options also may be interrupted in certain circumstances, such as if trading were halted in a substantial number of stocks included in the index. If this were to occur, a Fund would not be able to close out options which it had purchased, and if restrictions on exercise were imposed, a Fund might be unable to exercise an option it holds, which could result in substantial losses to a Fund. It is the policy of each Fund to purchase put or call options only with respect to an index which a Sub-Advisor believes includes a sufficient number of stocks to minimize the likelihood of a trading halt in the index.

**Risks of Investing in Options.** There are several risks associated with transactions in options on securities and indices. Options may be more volatile than the underlying instruments and, therefore, on a percentage basis, an investment in options may be subject to greater fluctuation than an investment in the underlying instruments themselves. There are also significant differences between the securities and options markets that could result in an imperfect correlation between these markets, causing a given transaction not to achieve its objective. In addition, a liquid secondary market for particular options may be absent for reasons which include the following: there may be insufficient trading interest in certain options; restrictions may be imposed by an exchange on opening transactions or closing transactions or both; trading halts, suspensions or other restrictions may be imposed with respect to particular classes or series of option of underlying securities; unusual or unforeseen circumstances may interrupt normal operations on an exchange; the facilities of an exchange or clearing corporation may not at all times be adequate to handle current trading volume; or one or more exchanges could, for economic or other reasons, decide or be compelled at some future date to discontinue the trading of options (or a particular class or series of options), in which event the secondary market on that exchange (or in that class or series of options) would cease to exist, although outstanding options that had been issued by a clearing corporation as a result of trades on that exchange would continue to be exercisable in accordance with their terms.

A decision as to whether, when and how to use options involves the exercise of skill and judgment, and even a well-conceived transaction may be unsuccessful to some degree because of market behavior or unexpected events. The extent to which a Fund may enter into options transactions may be limited by the requirements of the Code with respect to qualification of a Fund as a regulated investment company. See "Dividends and Distributions" and "Taxation."

In addition, when trading options on foreign exchanges, many of the protections afforded to participants in United States option exchanges will not be available. For example, there may be no daily price fluctuation limits in such exchanges or markets, and adverse market movements could therefore continue to an unlimited extent over a period of time. Although the purchaser of an option cannot lose more than the amount of the premium plus related transaction costs, this entire amount could be lost. Moreover, a Fund as an option writer could lose amounts substantially in excess of its initial investment, due to the margin and collateral requirements typically associated with such option writing. See “Dealer Options” below.

**Dealer Options.** Each Fund may engage in transactions involving dealer options as well as exchange-traded options. Certain risks are specific to dealer options. While a Fund might look to a clearing corporation to exercise exchange-traded options, if a Fund were to purchase a dealer option it would need to rely on the dealer from which it purchased the option to perform if the option were exercised. Failure by the dealer to do so would result in the loss of the premium paid by a Fund as well as loss of the expected benefit of the transaction.

Exchange-traded options generally have a continuous liquid market while dealer options may not. Consequently, a Fund may generally be able to realize the value of a dealer option it has purchased only by exercising or reselling the option to the dealer who issued it. Similarly, when a Fund writes a dealer option, a Fund may generally be able to close out the option prior to its expiration only by entering into a closing purchase transaction with the dealer to whom a Fund originally wrote the option. While a Fund will seek to enter into dealer options only with dealers who will agree to and which are expected to be capable of entering into closing transactions with a Fund, there can be no assurance that a Fund will at any time be able to liquidate a dealer option at a favorable price at any time prior to expiration. Unless a Fund, as a covered dealer call option writer, is able to effect a closing purchase transaction, it will not be able to liquidate securities (or other assets) used as cover until the option expires or is exercised. In the event of insolvency of the other party, a Fund may be unable to liquidate a dealer option. With respect to options written by a Fund, the inability to enter into a closing transaction may result in material losses to a Fund. For example, because a Fund must maintain a secured position with respect to any call option on a security it writes, a Fund may not sell the assets which it has segregated to secure the position while it is obligated under the option. This requirement may impair a Fund’s ability to sell portfolio securities at a time when such sale might be advantageous.

The Staff of the SEC has taken the position that purchased dealer options are illiquid securities. A Fund may treat the cover used for written dealer options as liquid if the dealer agrees that a Fund may repurchase the dealer option it has written for a maximum price to be calculated by a predetermined formula. In such cases, the dealer option would be considered illiquid only to the extent the maximum purchase price under the formula exceeds the intrinsic value of the option. Accordingly, each Fund will treat dealer options as subject to a Fund’s limitation on illiquid securities. If the SEC changes its position on the liquidity of dealer options, each Fund will change its treatment of such instruments accordingly.

**Foreign Currency Options.** Each Fund may buy or sell put and call options on foreign currencies. A put or call option on a foreign currency gives the purchaser of the option the right to sell or purchase a foreign currency at the exercise price until the option expires. Each Fund will use foreign currency options separately or in combination to control currency volatility. Among the strategies employed to control currency volatility is an option collar. An option collar involves the purchase of a put option and the simultaneous sale of call option on the same currency with the same expiration date but with different exercise (or “strike”) prices. Generally, the put option will have an out-of-the-money strike price, while the call option will have either an at-the-money strike price or an in-the-money strike price. Foreign currency options are derivative securities. Currency options traded on U.S. or other exchanges may be subject to position limits that may limit the ability of a Fund to reduce foreign currency risk using such options.

As with other kinds of option transactions, the writing of an option on foreign currency will constitute only a partial hedge, up to the amount of the premium received. Each Fund could be required to purchase or sell foreign currencies at disadvantageous exchange rates, thereby incurring losses. The purchase of an option on foreign currency may constitute an effective hedge against exchange rate fluctuations; however, in the event of exchange rate movements adverse to a Fund’s position, a Fund may forfeit the entire amount of the premium plus related transaction costs.

**Spread Transactions.** Each Fund may purchase covered spread options from securities dealers. These covered spread options are not presently exchange-listed or exchange-traded. The purchase of a spread option gives a Fund the right to put a security that it owns at a fixed dollar spread or fixed yield spread in relationship to another security that a Fund does not own, but which is used as a benchmark. The risk to a Fund, in addition to the risks of dealer options described above, is the cost of the premium paid as well as any transaction costs. The purchase of spread options will be used to protect a Fund against adverse changes in prevailing credit quality spreads, *i.e.*, the yield spread between high quality and lower quality securities. This protection is provided only during the life of the spread options.

### **Forward Currency Contracts**

Each Fund may enter into forward currency contracts in anticipation of changes in currency exchange rates. A forward currency contract is an obligation to purchase or sell a specific currency at a future date, which may be any fixed number of days from the date of the contract agreed upon by the parties, at a price set at the time of the contract. For example, a Fund might purchase a particular currency or enter into a forward currency contract to preserve the U.S. dollar price of securities it intends to or has contracted to purchase. Alternatively, it might sell a particular currency on either a spot or forward basis to hedge against an anticipated decline in the dollar value of securities it intends to or has contracted to sell. Although this strategy could minimize the risk of loss due to a decline in the value of the hedged currency, it could also limit any potential gain from an increase in the value of the currency.

### **Credit Default Swap Agreements (Alternative Strategies Fund)**

The Alternative Strategies Fund may enter into credit default swap agreements. The “buyer” in a credit default swap contract is obligated to pay the “seller” a periodic stream of payments over the term of the contract in return for a contingent payment upon the occurrence of a credit event with respect to an underlying reference obligation. Generally, a credit event means bankruptcy, failure to pay, obligation acceleration or modified restructuring. The Fund may be either the buyer or the seller in the transaction. As a seller, the Fund receives a fixed rate of income throughout the term of the contract, which typically is between one month and five years, provided that no credit event occurs. If a credit event occurs, the Fund typically must pay the contingent payment to the buyer, which is typically the “par value” (full notional value) of the reference obligation. If the Fund writes a credit default swap, it would normally be required to segregate liquid assets equal in value to the notional value of the contract. The contingent payment may be a cash settlement or by physical delivery of the reference obligation in return for payment of the face amount of the obligation. The value of the reference obligation received by the Fund as a seller if a credit event occurs, coupled with the periodic payments previously received, may be less than the full notional value it pays to the buyer, resulting in a loss of value to the Fund. If the reference obligation is a defaulted security, physical delivery of the security will cause the Fund to hold a defaulted security. If the Fund is a buyer and no credit event occurs, the Fund will lose its periodic stream of payments over the term of the contract. However, if a credit event occurs, the buyer typically receives full notional value for a reference obligation that may have little or no value.

In a single name credit default swap the underlying asset or reference obligation is a bond of one particular issuer or reference entity. There are generally two sides to the swap trade: a buyer of protection and a seller of protection. If the reference entity of a credit default swap experiences what is known as a credit event (such as a bankruptcy, downgrade, etc.), then the buyer of protection (who pays a premium for that protection) can receive payment from the seller of protection. This is desirable because the price of those bonds will experience a decrease in value due to the negative credit event. There is also the option of physical, rather than cash, trade settlement in which the underlying bond or reference obligation actually changes hands, from buyer of protection to seller of protection.

The major tradable indexes for credit default swaps are: CDX, ABX, CMBX and LCDX. The CDX indexes are broken out between investment grade, high yield, high volatility, crossover and emerging market. For example, the CDX.NA.HY is an index based on a basket of North American (NA) single-name high yield credit default swaps. The crossover index includes names that are split rated, meaning they are rated “investment grade” by one agency, and “below investment grade” by another.

The CDX index rolls over every six months, and its 125 names enter and leave the index as appropriate. For example, if one of the names is upgraded from below investment grade to investment grade, it will move from the high yield index to the investment grade index when the rebalance occurs.

The ABX and CMBX are baskets of credit default swaps on two securitized products: asset-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities. The ABX is based on asset-backed securities home equity loans and the CMBX on commercial mortgage-backed securities. There are five separate ABX indexes for ratings ranging from ‘AAA’ to ‘BBB-’. The CMBX also has the same breakdown of five indexes by ratings, but is based on a basket of 25 credit default swaps, which reference commercial mortgage-backed securities.

The LCDX is a credit derivative index with a basket made up of single-name, loan-only credit default swaps. The loans referred to are leveraged loans. The basket is made up of 100 names. Although a bank loan is considered secured debt, the names that usually trade in the leveraged loan market are lower quality credits (if they could issue in the normal investment grade markets, they would). Therefore, the LCDX index is used mostly by those looking for exposure to high-yield debt.

All of the aforementioned indexes are issued by the Credit Default Swaps Index Company and administered by Markit. For these indexes to work, they must have sufficient liquidity. Therefore, the issuer has commitments from the largest dealers (large investment banks) to provide liquidity in the market.

#### **Total Return Swap Agreements (Alternative Strategies Fund)**

The Alternative Strategies Fund may enter into total return swap agreements. Total return swap agreements are contracts in which one party agrees to make periodic payments to another party based on the change in market value of the assets underlying the contract, which may include a specified security, basket of securities or securities indices during the specified period, in return for periodic payments based on a fixed or variable interest rate or the total return from other underlying assets. Total return swap agreements may be used to obtain exposure to a security or market without owning or taking physical custody of such security or investing directly in such market. Total return swap agreements may effectively add leverage to the Fund’s portfolio because, in addition to its total net assets, the Fund would be subject to investment exposure on the notional amount of the swap.

Total return swap agreements are subject to the risk that a counterparty will default on its payment obligations to the Fund thereunder. Swap agreements also bear the risk that the Fund will not be able to meet its obligation to the counterparty. Generally, the Fund will enter into total return swaps on a net basis (*i.e.*, the two payment streams are netted against one another with the Fund receiving or paying, as the case may be, only the net amount of the two payments). The net amount of the excess, if any, of the Fund’s obligations over its entitlements with respect to each total return swap will be accrued on a daily basis, and an amount of liquid assets having an aggregate NAV at least equal to the accrued excess will be segregated by the Fund. If the total return swap transaction is entered into on other than a net basis, the full amount of the Fund’s obligations will be accrued on a daily basis, and the full amount of the Fund’s obligations will be segregated by the Fund in an amount equal to or greater than the market value of the liabilities under the total return swap agreement or the amount it would have cost the Fund initially to make an equivalent direct investment, plus or minus any amount the Fund is obligated to pay or is to receive under the total return swap agreement.

## **Futures Contracts and Related Options**

Each Fund may invest in futures contracts and options on futures contracts as a hedge against changes in market conditions or interest rates. A Fund may trade in such derivative securities for bona fide hedging purposes and otherwise in accordance with the rules of the CFTC. A Fund will segregate liquid assets in a separate account with its custodian when required to do so by CFTC guidelines in order to cover its obligation in connection with futures and options transactions.

No price is paid or received by a Fund upon the purchase or sale of a futures contract. When it enters into a domestic futures contract, a Fund will be required to deposit in a segregated account with its custodian an amount of cash or U.S. Treasury bills equal to approximately 5% of the contract amount. This amount is known as initial margin. The margin requirements for foreign futures contracts may be different.

The nature of initial margin in futures transactions is different from that of margin in securities transactions. Futures contract margin does not involve the borrowing of funds by the customer to finance the transactions. Rather, the initial margin is in the nature of a performance bond or good faith deposit on the contract which is returned to a Fund upon termination of the futures contract, assuming all contractual obligations have been satisfied. Subsequent payments (called variation margin) to and from the broker will be made on a daily basis as the price of the underlying stock index fluctuates, to reflect movements in the price of the contract making the long and short positions in the futures contract more or less valuable. For example, when a Fund has purchased a stock index futures contract and the price of the underlying stock index has risen, that position will have increased in value and a Fund will receive from the broker a variation margin payment equal to that increase in value. Conversely, when a Fund has purchased a stock index futures contract and the price of the underlying stock index has declined, the position will be less valuable and a Fund will be required to make a variation margin payment to the broker.

At any time prior to expiration of a futures contract, a Fund may elect to close the position by taking an opposite position, which will operate to terminate a Fund's position in the futures contract. A final determination of variation margin is made on closing the position. Additional cash is paid by or released to a Fund, which realizes a loss or a gain.

In addition to amounts segregated or paid as initial and variation margin, a Fund must segregate liquid assets with its custodian equal to the market value of the futures contracts, in order to comply with SEC requirements intended to ensure that a Fund's use of futures is unleveraged. The requirements for margin payments and segregated accounts apply to both domestic and foreign futures contracts.

**Stock Index Futures Contracts.** Each Fund may invest in futures contracts on stock indices. Currently, stock index futures contracts can be purchased or sold with respect to the S&P 500 Stock Price Index on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, the Major Market Index on the Chicago Board of Trade, the New York Stock Exchange Composite Index on the New York Futures Exchange and the Value Line Stock Index on the Kansas City Board of Trade. Foreign financial and stock index futures are traded on foreign exchanges including the London International Financial Futures Exchange, the Singapore International Monetary Exchange, the Sydney Futures Exchange Limited and the Tokyo Stock Exchange.

**Interest Rate or Financial Futures Contracts.** Each Fund may invest in interest rate or financial futures contracts. Bond prices are established in both the cash market and the futures market. In the cash market, bonds are purchased and sold with payment for the full purchase price of the bond being made in cash, generally within five business days after the trade. In the futures market, a contract is made to purchase or sell a bond in the future for a set price on a certain date. Historically, the prices for bonds established in the futures markets have generally tended to move in the aggregate in concert with cash market prices, and the prices have maintained fairly predictable relationships.

The sale of an interest rate or financial futures contract by a Fund would create an obligation by a Fund, as seller, to deliver the specific type of financial instrument called for in the contract at a specific future time for a specified price. A futures contract purchased by a Fund would create an obligation by a Fund, as purchaser, to take delivery of the specific type of financial instrument at a specific future time at a specific price. The specific securities delivered or taken, respectively, at settlement date, would not be determined until at or near that date. The determination would be in accordance with the rules of the exchange on which the futures contract sale or purchase was made.

Although interest rate or financial futures contracts by their terms call for actual delivery or acceptance of securities, in most cases the contracts are closed out before the settlement date without delivery of securities. Closing out of a futures contract sale is effected by a Fund's entering into a futures contract purchase for the same aggregate amount of the specific type of financial instrument and the same delivery date. If the price in the sale exceeds the price in the offsetting purchase, a Fund is paid the difference and thus realizes a gain. If the offsetting purchase price exceeds the sale price, a Fund pays the difference and realizes a loss. Similarly, the closing out of a futures contract purchase is effected by a Fund's entering into a futures contract sale. If the offsetting sale price exceeds the purchase price, a Fund realizes a gain, and if the purchase price exceeds the offsetting sale price, a Fund realizes a loss.

Each Fund will deal only in standardized contracts on recognized exchanges. Each exchange guarantees performance under contract provisions through a clearing corporation, a nonprofit organization managed by the exchange membership. Domestic interest rate futures contracts are traded in an auction environment on the floors of several exchanges – principally, the Chicago Board of Trade and the Chicago Mercantile Exchange. A public market now exists in domestic futures contracts covering various financial instruments including long-term United States Treasury bonds and notes, GNMA modified pass-through mortgage-backed securities, three-month United States Treasury bills, and 90-day commercial paper. Each Fund may trade in any futures contract for which there exists a public market, including, without limitation, the foregoing instruments. International interest rate futures contracts are traded on the London International Financial Futures Exchange, the Singapore International Monetary Exchange, the Sydney Futures Exchange Limited and the Tokyo Stock Exchange.

*Interest Rate Caps, Floors and Collars (Alternative Strategies Fund).* The Alternative Strategies Fund may use interest rate caps, floors and collars for the same purposes or similar purposes as for which it uses interest rate futures contracts and related options. Interest rate caps, floors and collars are similar to interest rate swap contracts because the payment obligations are measured by changes in interest rates as applied to a notional amount and because they are generally individually negotiated with a specific counterparty. The purchase of an interest rate cap entitles the purchaser, to the extent that a specific index exceeds a specified interest rate, to receive payments of interest on a notional principal amount from the party selling the interest rate cap. The purchase of an interest rate floor entitles the purchaser, to the extent that a specified index falls below specified interest rates, to receive payments of interest on a notional principal amount from the party selling the interest rate floor. The purchase of an interest rate collar entitles the purchaser, to the extent that a specified index exceeds or falls below a specified interest rate, to receive payments of interest on a notional principal amount from the party selling the interest rate collar.

**Foreign Currency Futures Contracts.** Each Fund may use foreign currency future contracts for hedging purposes. A foreign currency futures contract provides for the future sale by one party and purchase by another party of a specified quantity of a foreign currency at a specified price and time. A public market exists in futures contracts covering several foreign currencies, including the Australian dollar, the Canadian dollar, the British pound, the Japanese yen, the Swiss franc, and certain multinational currencies such as the European Currency Unit (“ECU”). Other foreign currency futures contracts are likely to be developed and traded in the future. Each Fund will only enter into futures contracts and futures options which are standardized and traded on a U.S. or foreign exchange, board of trade, or similar entity, or quoted on an automated quotation system.

**Risks of Transactions in Futures Contracts.** There are several risks related to the use of futures as a hedging device. One risk arises because of the imperfect correlation between movements in the price of the futures contract and movements in the price of the securities which are the subject of the hedge. The price of the future may move more or less than the price of the securities being hedged. If the price of the future moves less than the price of the securities which are the subject of the hedge, the hedge will not be fully effective, but if the price of the securities being hedged has moved in an unfavorable direction, a Fund would be in a better position than if it had not hedged at all. If the price of the securities being hedged has moved in a favorable direction, this advantage will be partially offset by the loss on the future. If the price of the future moves more than the price of the hedged securities, a Fund will experience either a loss or a gain on the future which will not be completely offset by movements in the price of the securities which are subject to the hedge.

To compensate for the imperfect correlation of movements in the price of securities being hedged and movements in the price of the futures contract, a Fund may buy or sell futures contracts in a greater dollar amount than the dollar amount of securities being hedged if the historical volatility of the prices of such securities has been greater than the historical volatility over such time period of the future. Conversely, a Fund may buy or sell fewer futures contracts if the historical volatility of the price of the securities being hedged is less than the historical volatility of the futures contract being used. It is possible that, when a Fund has sold futures to hedge its portfolio against a decline in the market, the market may advance while the value of securities held in a Fund's portfolio may decline. If this occurs, a Fund will lose money on the future and also experience a decline in value in its portfolio securities. However, the Advisor believes that over time the value of a diversified portfolio will tend to move in the same direction as the market indices upon which the futures are based.

Where futures are purchased to hedge against a possible increase in the price of securities before a Fund is able to invest its cash (or cash equivalents) in securities (or options) in an orderly fashion, it is possible that the market may decline instead. If a Fund then decides not to invest in securities or options at that time because of concern as to possible further market decline or for other reasons, it will realize a loss on the futures contract that is not offset by a reduction in the price of securities purchased.

In addition to the possibility that there may be an imperfect correlation, or no correlation at all, between movements in the futures and the securities being hedged, the price of futures may not correlate perfectly with movement in the stock index or cash market due to certain market distortions. All participants in the futures market are subject to margin deposit and maintenance requirements. Rather than meeting additional margin deposit requirements, investors may close futures contracts through offsetting transactions, which could distort the normal relationship between the index or cash market and futures markets. In addition, the deposit requirements in the futures market are less onerous than margin requirements in the securities market. Therefore, increased participation by speculators in the futures market may also cause temporary price distortions. As a result of price distortions in the futures market and the imperfect correlation between movements in the cash market and the price of securities and movements in the price of futures, a correct forecast of general trends by a Sub-Advisor may still not result in a successful hedging transaction over a very short time frame.

Positions in futures may be closed out only on an exchange or board of trade which provides a secondary market for such futures. Although a Fund may intend to purchase or sell futures only on exchanges or boards of trade where there appears to be an active secondary market, there is no assurance that a liquid secondary market on an exchange or board of trade will exist for any particular contract or at any particular time. In such event, it may not be possible to close a futures position, and in the event of adverse price movements, a Fund would continue to be required to make daily cash payments of variation margin. When futures contracts have been used to hedge portfolio securities, such securities will not be sold until the futures contract can be terminated. In such circumstances, an increase in the price of the securities, if any, may partially or completely offset losses on the futures contract. However, as described above, there is no guarantee that the price of the securities will in fact correlate with the price movements in the futures contract and thus provide an offset to losses on a futures contract.

Most United States futures exchanges limit the amount of fluctuation permitted in futures contract prices during a single trading day. The daily limit establishes the maximum amount that the price of a futures contract may vary either up or down from the previous day's settlement price at the end of a trading session. Once the daily limit has been reached in a particular type of futures contract, no trades may be made on that day at a price beyond that



limit. The daily limit governs only price movement during a particular trading day and therefore does not limit potential losses, because the limit may prevent the liquidation of unfavorable positions. Futures contract prices have occasionally moved to the daily limit for several consecutive trading days with little or no trading, thereby preventing prompt liquidation of futures positions and subjecting some futures traders to substantial losses.

Successful use of futures by a Fund is also subject to a Sub-Advisor's ability to predict correctly movements in the direction of the market. For example, if a Fund has hedged against the possibility of a decline in the market adversely affecting stocks held in its portfolio and stock prices increase instead, a Fund will lose part or all of the benefit of the increased value of the stocks which it has hedged because it will have offsetting losses in its futures positions. In addition, in such situations, if a Fund has insufficient cash, it may have to sell securities to meet daily variation margin requirements. Such sales of securities may be, but will not necessarily be, at increased prices which reflect the rising market. Each Fund may have to sell securities at a time when it may be disadvantageous to do so.

In the event of the bankruptcy of a broker through which a Fund engages in transactions in futures contracts or options, a Fund could experience delays and losses in liquidating open positions purchased or sold through the broker, and incur a loss of all or part of its margin deposits with the broker.

**Options on Futures Contracts.** As described above, each Fund may purchase options on the futures contracts they can purchase or sell. A futures option gives the holder, in return for the premium paid, the right to buy (call) from or sell (put) to the writer of the option a futures contract at a specified price at any time during the period of the option. Upon exercise, the writer of the option is obligated to pay the difference between the cash value of the futures contract and the exercise price. Like the buyer or seller of a futures contract, the holder or writer of an option has the right to terminate its position prior to the scheduled expiration of the option by selling, or purchasing an option of the same series, at which time the person entering into the closing transaction will realize a gain or loss. There is no guarantee that such closing transactions can be effected.

Investments in futures options involve some of the same considerations as investments in futures contracts (for example, the existence of a liquid secondary market). In addition, the purchase of an option also entails the risk that changes in the value of the underlying futures contract will not be fully reflected in the value of the option. Depending on the pricing of the option compared to either the futures contract upon which it is based, or upon the price of the securities being hedged, an option may or may not be less risky than ownership of the futures contract or such securities. In general, the market prices of options can be expected to be more volatile than the market prices on the underlying futures contracts. Compared to the purchase or sale of futures contracts, however, the purchase of call or put options on futures contracts may frequently involve less potential risk to a Fund because the maximum amount at risk is limited to the premium paid for the options (plus transaction costs).

**Restrictions on the Use of Futures Contracts and Related Options.** Each Fund may engage in transactions in futures contracts or related options primarily as a hedge against changes resulting from market conditions in the values of securities held in a Fund's portfolio or which it intends to purchase and where the transactions are economically appropriate to the reduction of risks inherent in the ongoing management of each Fund. A Fund may not purchase or sell futures or purchase related options for purposes other than bona fide hedging if, immediately thereafter, more than 25% of its total assets would be hedged. A Fund also may not purchase or sell futures or purchase related options if, immediately thereafter, the sum of the amount of margin deposits on a Fund's existing futures positions and premiums paid for such options would exceed 5% of the market value of a Fund's total assets.

These restrictions, which are derived from current federal regulations regarding the use of options and futures by mutual funds, are not "fundamental restrictions" and may be changed by the Trustees of the Trust if applicable law permits such a change and the change is consistent with the overall investment objective and policies of each Fund.

The extent to which a Fund may enter into futures and options transactions may be limited by the Code requirements for qualification of a Fund as a regulated investment company. See "Taxation."

### **Exclusion from Definition of Commodity Pool Operator**

The Funds are operated by a person who has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term “commodity pool operator” under the Commodity Exchange Act of 1936, as amended (“CEA”), pursuant to Rule 4.5 under the CEA promulgated by the CFTC. Therefore, neither the Funds nor the Advisor is subject to registrations or regulation as a commodity pool operator under the CEA. Effective December 31, 2012, in order to claim the Rule 4.5 exclusion, each Fund is limited in its ability to invest in certain financial instruments regulated under the CEA (“commodity interests”), including futures, options and certain swaps (including securities futures, broad-based stock index futures and financial futures contracts). In the event that the Funds’ investments in commodity interests are not within the thresholds set forth in the Rule 4.5 exclusion, the Advisor may be required to register as a “commodity pool operator” and/or “commodity trading advisor” with the CFTC with respect to the Funds, which may increase the Funds’ expenses and adversely affect the Funds’ total returns. The Advisor’s eligibility to claim the 4.5 exclusion with respect to the Funds will be based upon, among other things, the level and scope of the Funds’ investments in commodity interests, the purposes of such investments and the manner in which the Funds hold out their use of commodity interests. As a result, in the future, the Funds will be more limited in their ability to invest in commodity interests than in the past, which may negatively impact on the ability of the Advisor to manage the Funds and the Funds’ performance.

### **Repurchase Agreements**

Each Fund may enter into repurchase agreements with respect to its portfolio securities. Pursuant to such agreements, a Fund acquires securities from financial institutions such as banks and broker-dealers as are deemed to be creditworthy by the Advisor or a Sub-Advisor, subject to the seller’s agreement to repurchase and a Fund’s agreement to resell such securities at a mutually agreed upon date and price. The repurchase price generally equals the price paid by a Fund plus interest negotiated on the basis of current short-term rates (which may be more or less than the rate on the underlying portfolio security). Securities subject to repurchase agreements will be held by the Custodian or in the Federal Reserve/Treasury Book-Entry System or an equivalent foreign system. The seller under a repurchase agreement will be required to maintain the value of the underlying securities at not less than 102% of the repurchase price under the agreement. If the seller defaults on its repurchase obligation, a Fund holding the repurchase agreement will suffer a loss to the extent that the proceeds from a sale of the underlying securities are less than the repurchase price under the agreement. Bankruptcy or insolvency of such a defaulting seller may cause a Fund’s rights with respect to such securities to be delayed or limited. Repurchase agreements are considered to be loans under the 1940 Act, and the total repurchase agreements of a Fund are limited to 33-1/3% of its total assets.

### **Reverse Repurchase Agreements**

Each Fund may enter into reverse repurchase agreements. A Fund typically will invest the proceeds of a reverse repurchase agreement in money market instruments or repurchase agreements maturing not later than the expiration of the reverse repurchase agreement. A Fund may use the proceeds of reverse repurchase agreements to provide liquidity to meet redemption requests when sale of a Fund’s securities is disadvantageous.

Each Fund causes its custodian to segregate liquid assets, such as cash, U.S. Government securities or other high-grade liquid debt securities equal in value to its obligations (including accrued interest) with respect to reverse repurchase agreements. In segregating such assets, the custodian either places such securities in a segregated account or separately identifies such assets and renders them unavailable for investment. Such assets are marked to market daily to ensure full collateralization is maintained.

## **Dollar Roll Transactions**

Each Fund may enter into dollar roll transactions. A dollar roll transaction involves a sale by a Fund of a security to a financial institution concurrently with an agreement by a Fund to purchase a similar security from the institution at a later date at an agreed-upon price. The securities that are repurchased will bear the same interest rate as those sold, but generally will be collateralized by different pools of mortgages with different prepayment histories than those sold. During the period between the sale and repurchase, a Fund will not be entitled to receive interest and principal payments on the securities sold. Proceeds of the sale will be invested in additional portfolio securities of a Fund, and the income from these investments, together with any additional fee income received on the sale, may or may not generate income for a Fund exceeding the yield on the securities sold.

At the time a Fund enters into a dollar roll transaction, it causes its custodian to segregate liquid assets such as cash, U.S. Government securities or other high-grade liquid debt securities having a value equal to the purchase price for the similar security (including accrued interest) and subsequently marks the assets to market daily to ensure that full collateralization is maintained.

## **When-Issued Securities, Forward Commitments and Delayed Settlements**

Each Fund may purchase securities on a “when-issued,” forward commitment or delayed settlement basis. In this event, the Custodian will set aside, and the Fund will identify on its books, cash or liquid portfolio securities equal to the amount of the commitment in a separate account. Normally, the Custodian will set aside portfolio securities to satisfy a purchase commitment. In such a case, a Fund may be required subsequently to place additional assets in the separate account in order to assure that the value of the account remains equal to the amount of a Fund’s commitment. It may be expected that a Fund’s net assets will fluctuate to a greater degree when it sets aside portfolio securities to cover such purchase commitments than when it sets aside cash.

Each Fund does not intend to engage in these transactions for speculative purposes but only in furtherance of its investment objectives. Because a Fund will set aside cash or liquid portfolio securities to satisfy its purchase commitments in the manner described, a Fund’s liquidity and the ability of a Sub-Advisor to manage it may be affected in the event a Fund’s forward commitments, commitments to purchase when-issued securities and delayed settlements ever exceeded 15% of the value of its net assets.

Each Fund will purchase securities on a when-issued, forward commitment or delayed settlement basis only with the intention of completing the transaction. If deemed advisable as a matter of investment strategy, however, a Fund may dispose of or renegotiate a commitment after it is entered into, and may sell securities it has committed to purchase before those securities are delivered to a Fund on the settlement date. In these cases a Fund may realize a taxable capital gain or loss. When a Fund engages in when-issued, forward commitment and delayed settlement transactions, it relies on the other party to consummate the trade. Failure of such party to do so may result in a Fund’s incurring a loss or missing an opportunity to obtain a price credited to be advantageous.

The market value of the securities underlying a when-issued purchase, forward commitment to purchase securities, or a delayed settlement and any subsequent fluctuations in their market value is taken into account when determining the market value of a Fund starting on the day a Fund agrees to purchase the securities. A Fund does not earn interest on the securities it has committed to purchase until they are paid for and delivered on the settlement date.

## **Zero-Coupon, Step-Coupon and Pay-in-Kind Securities**

Each Fund may invest in zero-coupon, step-coupon and pay-in-kind securities. These securities are debt securities that do not make regular cash interest payments. Zero-coupon and step-coupon securities are sold at a deep discount to their face value. Pay-in-kind securities pay interest through the issuance of additional securities. Because these securities do not pay current cash income, the price of these securities can be volatile when interest rates fluctuate. While these securities do not pay current cash income, the Code requires the holders of these securities to include in income each year the portion of the original issue discount (or deemed discount) and other non-cash income on the securities accruing that year. A Fund may be required to distribute a portion of that discount and income and may be required to dispose of other portfolio securities, which may occur in periods of adverse market prices, in order to generate cash to meet these distribution requirements.

## **Inflation-Linked and Inflation-Indexed Securities**

The Alternative Strategies Fund may invest in inflation-linked bonds. The principal amount of these bonds increases with increases in the price index used as a reference value for the bonds. In addition, the amounts payable as coupon interest payments increase when the price index increases because the interest amount is calculated by multiplying the principal amount (as adjusted) by a fixed coupon rate.

Although inflation-indexed securities protect their holders from long-term inflationary trends, short-term increases in inflation may result in a decline in value. The values of inflation-linked securities generally fluctuate in response to changes to real interest rates, which are in turn tied to the relationship between nominal interest rates and the rate of inflation. If inflation were to rise at a rate faster than nominal interest rates, real interest rates might decline, leading to an increase in value of the inflation-linked securities. In contrast, if nominal interest rates increased at a faster rate than inflation, real interest rates might rise, leading to a decrease in the value of inflation-linked securities. If inflation is lower than expected during a period the Fund holds inflation-linked securities, the Fund may earn less on such bonds than on a conventional bond. If interest rates rise due to reasons other than inflation (for example, due to changes in currency exchange rates), investors in inflation-linked securities may not be protected to the extent that the increase is not reflected in the price index used as a reference for the securities. There can be no assurance that the price index used for an inflation-linked security will accurately measure the real rate of inflation in the prices of goods and services. Inflation-linked and inflation-indexed securities include Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities issued by the U.S. government (see the section "U.S. Government Securities" for additional information), but also may include securities issued by state, local and non-U.S. governments and corporations and supranational entities.

## **Borrowing**

Each of the Equity Fund, International Fund and Smaller Companies Fund is authorized to borrow money from banks from time to time for temporary, extraordinary or emergency purposes or for clearance of transactions in amounts up to 20% of the value of its total assets at the time of such borrowing. The Alternative Strategies Fund is authorized to borrow money from banks in amounts up to 33-1/3% of its total assets. Each Fund is authorized to borrow money in amounts up to 5% of the value of its total assets at the time of such borrowings for temporary purposes and is authorized to borrow money in excess of the 5% limit as permitted by the 1940 Act. The 1940 Act requires a Fund to maintain continuous asset coverage (*i.e.*, total assets including borrowings less liabilities exclusive of borrowings) of at least 300% of the amount borrowed. If the 300% asset coverage declines as a result of market fluctuations or other reasons, a Fund may be required to sell some of its portfolio holdings within three days to reduce the debt and restore the 300% asset coverage, even though it may be disadvantageous from an investment standpoint to sell securities at that time. The use of borrowing by the Fund involves special risk considerations that may not be associated with other funds having similar objectives and policies. Since substantially all of the Fund's assets fluctuate in value, whereas the interest obligation resulting from a borrowing will be fixed by the terms of the Fund's agreement with its lender, the asset value per share of the Fund will tend to increase more when its portfolio securities increase in value and to decrease more when its portfolio assets decrease in value than would otherwise be the case if the Fund did not borrow funds. In addition, interest costs on borrowings may fluctuate with changing market rates of interest and may partially offset or exceed the return earned on borrowed funds. Under adverse market conditions, the Fund might have to sell portfolio securities to meet interest or principal payments at a time when fundamental investment considerations would not favor such sales.

## **Lending Portfolio Securities**

Each Fund may lend its investment securities to approved institutional borrowers who need to borrow securities in order to complete certain transactions, such as covering short sales, avoiding failures to deliver securities or completing arbitrage operations. By lending its investment securities, a Fund attempts to increase its net investment income through the receipt of interest on the loan. Any gain or loss in the market price of the securities loaned that might occur during the term of the loan would belong to the Fund. Each Fund may lend its

investment securities so long as the terms, structure and the aggregate amount of such loans are not inconsistent with the 1940 Act or the rules and regulations or interpretations of the SEC thereunder, which currently require that (i) the loan collateral must be equal to at least 100% of the value of the loaned securities, and the borrower must increase such collateral such that it remains equal to 100% of the value of the loaned securities whenever the price of the loaned securities increases (*i.e.*, mark to market on a daily basis); (ii) the Fund must be able to terminate the loan at any time; (iii) the Fund must receive reasonable interest on the loan, as well as any dividends, interest or other distributions payable on the loaned securities, and any increase in market value; (iv) the Fund may pay reasonable custodial fees in connection with the lending of portfolio securities, which fees must be negotiated by the Fund and the custodian and be approved by the Board; and (v) although the voting rights may pass with the lending of securities, the Board must be obligated to call the loan in time to vote the securities if a material event affecting the investment on loan is to occur.

The primary risk in securities lending is default by the borrower as the value of the borrowed security rises, resulting in a deficiency in the collateral posted by the borrower. The Funds seek to minimize this risk by computing the value of the security loaned on a daily basis and requiring additional collateral if necessary.

The Board has appointed State Street Bank and Trust Company, the Funds' custodian, as securities lending agent for the Funds' securities lending activity. The securities lending agent maintains a list of broker-dealers, banks or other institutions that it has determined to be creditworthy. The Funds will only enter into loan arrangements with borrowers on this list and will not lend its securities to be sold short.

### **Short Sales**

Each Fund is authorized to make short sales of securities which it does not own or have the right to acquire. In a short sale, a Fund sells a security that it does not own, in anticipation of a decline in the market value of the security. To complete the sale, a Fund must borrow the security (generally from the broker through which the short sale is made) in order to make delivery to the buyer. Each Fund is then obligated to replace the security borrowed by purchasing it at the market price at the time of replacement. Each Fund is said to have a "short position" in the securities sold until it delivers them to the broker. The period during which a Fund has a short position can range from one day to more than a year. Until the security is replaced, the proceeds of the short sale are retained by the broker, and a Fund is required to pay to the broker a negotiated portion of any dividends or interest that accrue during the period of the loan. To meet current margin requirements, a Fund is also required to deposit with the broker additional cash or securities so that the total deposit with the broker is maintained daily at 150% of the current market value of the securities sold short (100% of the current market value if a security is held in the account that is convertible or exchangeable into the security sold short within 90 days without restriction other than the payment of money).

Short sales by a Fund create opportunities to increase a Fund's return but, at the same time, involve specific risk considerations and may be considered a speculative technique. Since each Fund in effect profits from a decline in the price of the securities sold short without the need to invest the full purchase price of the securities on the date of the short sale, a Fund's NAV per share will tend to increase more when the securities it has sold short decrease in value, and to decrease more when the securities it has sold short increase in value, than would otherwise be the case if it had not engaged in such short sales. The amount of any gain will be decreased, and the amount of any loss increased, by the amount of any premium, dividends or interest a Fund may be required to pay in connection with the short sale. Furthermore, under adverse market conditions a Fund might have difficulty purchasing securities to meet its short sale delivery obligations, and might have to sell portfolio securities to raise the capital necessary to meet its short sale obligations at a time when fundamental investment considerations would not favor such sales.

### **Illiquid Securities**

Each Fund may not invest more than 15% of the value of its net assets in illiquid securities, including restricted securities that are not deemed to be liquid by the Sub-Advisor. The Advisor and the Sub-Advisors will monitor the amount of illiquid securities in a Fund's portfolio, under the supervision of the Board, to ensure compliance with a Fund's investment restrictions. In accordance with procedures approved by the Board, these

securities may be valued using techniques other than market quotations, and the values established for these securities may be different than what would be produced through the use of another methodology or if they had been priced using market quotations. Illiquid securities and other portfolio securities that are valued using techniques other than market quotations, including “fair valued” securities, may be subject to greater fluctuation in their value from one day to the next than would be the case if market quotations were used. In addition, there is no assurance that a Fund could sell a portfolio security for the value established for it at any time, and it is possible that a Fund would incur a loss because a portfolio security is sold at a discount to its established value.

Historically, illiquid securities have included securities subject to contractual or legal restrictions on resale because they have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), securities which are otherwise not readily marketable and repurchase agreements having a maturity of longer than seven days. Securities which have not been registered under the Securities Act are referred to as private placement or restricted securities and are purchased directly from the issuer or in the secondary market. Mutual funds do not typically hold a significant amount of these restricted or other illiquid securities because of the potential for delays on resale and uncertainty in valuation. Limitations on resale may have an adverse effect on the marketability of portfolio securities and a Fund might be unable to dispose of restricted or other illiquid securities promptly or at reasonable prices and might thereby experience difficulty satisfying redemption within seven days. A Fund might also have to register such restricted securities in order to dispose of them, resulting in additional expense and delay. Adverse market conditions could impede such a public offering of securities.

In recent years, however, a large institutional market has developed for certain securities that are not registered under the Securities Act, including repurchase agreements, commercial paper, foreign securities, municipal securities and corporate bonds and notes. Institutional investors depend on an efficient institutional market in which the unregistered security can be readily resold or on an issuer’s ability to honor a demand for repayment. The fact that there are contractual or legal restrictions on resale to the general public or to certain institutions may not be indicative of the liquidity of such investments. If such securities are subject to purchase by institutional buyers in accordance with Rule 144A promulgated by the SEC under the Securities Act, the Sub-Advisor, pursuant to procedures adopted by the Board, may determine that such securities are not illiquid securities notwithstanding their legal or contractual restrictions on resale. In all other cases, however, securities subject to restrictions on resale will be deemed illiquid.

### **Exchange-Traded Funds**

The Funds may invest in exchange-traded funds (“ETFs”), which are a type of index fund bought and sold on a securities exchange. An ETF trades like common stock and represents a fixed portfolio of securities designed to track a particular market index. A Fund could purchase an ETF to temporarily gain exposure to a portion of the U.S. or a foreign market while awaiting purchase of underlying securities. The risks of owning an ETF generally reflect the risks of owning the underlying securities they are designed to track, although lack of liquidity in an ETF could result in it being more volatile and ETFs have management fees that increase their costs. ETFs are also subject to other risks, including the risk that their prices may not correlate perfectly with changes in the underlying index and the risk of possible trading halts due to market conditions or other reasons that, in the view of the exchange upon which an ETF trades, would make trading in the ETF inadvisable. An exchange-traded sector fund may also be adversely affected by the performance of that specific sector or group of industries on which it is based. Investments in ETFs are generally subject to limits in the 1940 Act on investments in other investment companies.

### **Merger Arbitrage (Alternative Strategies Fund)**

The Alternative Strategies Fund may utilize merger arbitrage as an investment strategy. Merger arbitrage is a highly specialized investment approach designed to profit from the successful completion of mergers, takeovers, tender offers, leveraged buyouts, spin-offs, liquidations and other corporate reorganizations. The most common arbitrage activity, and the approach the Fund generally will use, involves purchasing the shares of an announced acquisition target company at a discount to their expected value upon completion of the acquisition. The Sub-

Advisors may sell securities short when the terms of a proposed acquisition call for the exchange of common stock and/or other securities. In such a case, the common stock of the company to be acquired may be purchased and, at approximately the same time, an equivalent amount of the acquiring company's common stock and/or other securities may be sold short. The Fund generally engages in active and frequent trading of portfolio securities to achieve its principal investment strategies.

### **Convertible Arbitrage (Alternative Strategies Fund)**

The Alternative Strategies Fund may utilize convertible arbitrage as an investment strategy. Convertible Arbitrage is a specialized strategy that seeks to profit from mispricings between a firm's convertible securities and its underlying equity. The most common convertible arbitrage approach matches a long position in the convertible security with a short position in the underlying common stock. The Fund seeks to purchase convertible securities at discounts to their expected future values and sell short shares of the underlying common stock in order to mitigate equity market movements. As stock prices rise and the convertible security becomes more equity sensitive, the Fund will sell short additional common shares in order to maintain the relationship between the convertible security and the underlying common stock. As stock prices fall, the Fund will typically buy back a portion of shares which it had sold short. Positions are typically designed to earn income from coupon or dividend payments, and from the short sale of common stock.

### **Capital Structure Arbitrage (Alternative Strategies Fund)**

The Alternative Strategies Fund may utilize capital structure arbitrage as an investment strategy. This strategy attempts to take advantage of relative pricing discrepancies between related debt and/or equity securities. For example, the Fund may purchase a senior secured security of an issuer and sell short an unsecured security of the same issuer. In this example the trade would be profitable if credit quality spreads widened or if the issuer went bankrupt and the recovery rate for the senior debt was higher. Another example might involve the Fund purchasing one class of common stock while selling short a different class of common stock of the same issuer. It is expected that, over time, the relative mispricing of the securities will disappear, at which point the position will be liquidated.

### **Initial Public Offerings**

The Funds may purchase securities of companies in initial public offerings ("IPOs"). By definition, IPOs have not traded publicly until the time of their offerings. Special risks associated with IPOs may include a limited number of shares available for trading, unseasoned trading, lack of investor knowledge of the company, and limited operating history, all of which may contribute to price volatility. Many IPOs are issued by undercapitalized companies of small- or micro-cap size. The effect of IPOs on a Fund's performance depends on a variety of factors, including the number of IPOs the Fund invests in relative to the size of the Fund and whether and to what extent a security purchased in an IPO appreciates or depreciates in value. As a Fund's asset base increases, IPOs often have a diminished effect on such Fund's performance.

### **Risks of Investing in Small Companies**

Each Fund may, and the Smaller Companies Fund will, invest in securities of small companies. Additional risks of such investments include the markets on which such securities are frequently traded. In many instances the securities of smaller companies are traded only over-the-counter or on a regional securities exchange, and the frequency and volume of their trading is substantially less than is typical of larger companies. Therefore, the securities of smaller companies may be subject to greater and more abrupt price fluctuations. When making large sales, a Fund may have to sell portfolio holdings at discounts from quoted prices or may have to make a series of small sales over an extended period of time due to the trading volume of smaller company securities. Investors should be aware that, based on the foregoing factors, an investment in the Funds may be subject to greater price fluctuations than an investment in a fund that invests exclusively in larger, more established companies. A Sub-Advisor's research efforts may also play a greater role in selecting securities for a Fund than in a fund that invests in larger, more established companies.

## **Risks of Increased Reliance on Data Analytics**

In recent years, the asset management business has become increasingly dependent on data analytics to support portfolio management, investment operations and compliance. The Advisor's and Sub-Advisors' regulators have also substantially increased the extent and complexity of the data analytic component of compliance requirements. A failure to source accurate data from third parties or to correctly analyze, integrate or apply data could result in operational, trade or compliance errors, could cause portfolio losses, and could lead to regulatory concerns.

## **Investment Restrictions**

The Trust (on behalf of each Fund) has adopted the following restrictions as fundamental policies, which may not be changed without the favorable vote of the holders of a "majority of the outstanding voting securities," as defined in the 1940 Act, of a Fund. Under the 1940 Act, the "vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding voting securities" means the vote of the holders of the lesser of (i) 67% of the shares of a Fund represented at a meeting at which the holders of more than 50% of its outstanding shares are represented or (ii) more than 50% of the outstanding shares of a Fund.

As a matter of fundamental policy, each Fund is diversified; *i.e.*, as to 75% of the value of its total assets: (i) no more than 5% of the value of its total assets may be invested in the securities of any one issuer (other than U.S. Government securities); and (ii) a Fund may not purchase more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of an issuer. Each Fund's investment objective is also fundamental.

The following fundamental investment restrictions pertain to the Equity Fund, International Fund, and Smaller Companies Fund.

Each Fund may not:

1. Issue senior securities, borrow money or pledge its assets, except that (i) a Fund may borrow on an unsecured basis from banks for temporary or emergency purposes or for the clearance of transactions in amounts not exceeding 20% of its total assets (not including the amount borrowed), provided that it will not make investments while borrowings in excess of 5% of the value of its total assets are outstanding; and (ii) this restriction shall not prohibit a Fund from engaging in options, futures and foreign currency transactions or short sales.
2. Purchase securities on margin, except such short-term credits as may be necessary for the clearance of transactions.
3. Act as underwriter (except to the extent a Fund may be deemed to be an underwriter in connection with the sale of securities in its investment portfolio).
4. Invest 25% or more of its net assets, calculated at the time of purchase and taken at market value, in any one industry (other than U.S. Government securities).
5. Purchase or sell real estate or interests in real estate or real estate limited partnerships (although a Fund may purchase and sell securities which are secured by real estate and securities of companies which invest or deal in real estate).
6. Purchase or sell commodities or commodity futures contracts, except that a Fund may purchase and sell stock index futures contracts and currency and financial futures contracts and related options in accordance with any rules of the CFTC.



7. Invest in oil and gas limited partnerships or oil, gas or mineral leases.

8. Make loans of money (except for purchases of debt securities consistent with the investment policies of a Fund and except for repurchase agreements).

9. Make investments for the purpose of exercising control or management.

With respect to the restriction on investments in oil and gas limited partnerships specified in restriction 7, only direct investment in oil and gas limited partnerships are prohibited. Therefore, the Funds may invest in publicly traded master limited partnerships, public limited partnerships or other investment vehicles that invest in oil and gas limited partnerships.

Each of the Equity Fund, International Fund, and Smaller Companies Fund observes the following non-fundamental restrictions, which may be changed by a vote of the Board at any time:

Each Fund may not:

1. Invest in the securities of other investment companies or purchase any other investment company's voting securities or make any other investment in other investment companies except to the extent permitted by federal law. (Generally, the 1940 Act prohibits a Fund from investing more than 5% of the value of its total assets in any one investment company or more than 10% of the value of its total assets in investment companies as a group, and also restricts its investment in any investment company to 3% of the voting securities of such investment company. There are some exceptions, however, to these limitations pursuant to various rules promulgated by the SEC.)

2. Invest more than 15% of its net assets in securities that are restricted as to disposition or otherwise are illiquid or have no readily available market (except for securities that are determined by the Sub-Advisor, pursuant to procedures adopted by the Board, to be liquid).

The following fundamental investment restrictions pertain to the Alternative Strategies Fund.

The Alternative Strategies Fund may not:

1. Issue senior securities, except as otherwise permitted by its fundamental policy on borrowing.

2. Borrow money, except that it may (a) borrow from banks (as defined in the 1940 Act) in amounts up to 33-1/3% of its total assets (including the amount borrowed), (b) borrow amounts equal to an additional 5% of its total assets for temporary purposes, (c) engage in transactions in mortgage dollar rolls and reverse repurchase agreements, make leveraged investments, and engage in other transactions that may entail the use of leverage, where, if necessary to comply with Section 18(f) of the 1940 Act, the Fund sets aside in a segregated account, and marks to market daily, liquid securities, such as cash, U.S. government securities, or high-grade debt obligations, equal to the Fund's potential obligation or economic exposure under these transactions and instruments.

3. Purchase securities on margin, except such short-term credits as may be necessary for the clearance of transactions.

4. Act as underwriter (except to the extent the Fund may be deemed to be an underwriter in connection with the sale of securities in its investment portfolio).

5. Invest 25% or more of its net assets, calculated at the time of purchase and taken at market value, in any one industry (other than U.S. Government securities).

6. Purchase or sell real estate or interests in real estate, except that (i) the Fund may purchase securities backed by real estate or interests therein, or issued by companies, including real estate investment trusts, which invest in real estate or interests therein; and (ii) the Fund may acquire and dispose of real estate or interests in real estate acquired through the exercise of its rights as a holder of debt obligations secured by real estate or interests therein. (For purposes of this restriction, investments by a Fund in mortgage-backed securities and other securities representing interests in mortgage pools shall not constitute the purchase or sale of real estate or interests in real estate or real estate mortgage loans).

7. Purchase or sell commodities or commodity futures contracts, except that the Fund may purchase and sell stock index futures contracts and currency and financial futures contracts and related options in accordance with any rules of the CFTC.

8. Make loans of money (except for purchases of debt securities consistent with the investment policies of the Fund and except for repurchase agreements).

9. Make investments for the purpose of exercising control or management.

The Alternative Strategies Fund observes the following non-fundamental restrictions, which may be changed by a vote of the Board at any time:

The Alternative Strategies Fund may not:

1. Invest in the securities of other investment companies or purchase any other investment company's voting securities or make any other investment in other investment companies except to the extent permitted by federal law. (Generally, the 1940 Act prohibits the Fund from investing more than 5% of the value of its total assets in any one investment company or more than 10% of the value of its total assets in investment companies as a group, and also restricts its investment in any investment company to 3% of the voting securities of such investment company. There are some exceptions, however, to these limitations pursuant to various rules promulgated by the SEC.)

2. Invest more than 15% of its net assets in securities that are restricted as to disposition or otherwise are illiquid or have no readily available market (except for securities that are determined by a Sub-Advisor, pursuant to procedures adopted by the Board, to be liquid).

## **BOARD OF TRUSTEES**

The overall management of the business and affairs of the Trust is vested with its Board, which is responsible for protecting the interests of shareholders. The Trustees are experienced executives who meet throughout the year to oversee the activities of the Funds, review the compensation arrangements between the Advisor and the Sub-Advisors, review contractual arrangements with companies that provide services to the Funds, including the Advisor, Sub-Advisors, and the Funds' administrator, custodian and transfer agent, and review the Funds' performance. The day-to-day operations of the Trust are delegated to its officers, subject to a Fund's investment objectives and policies and to general supervision by the Board. A majority of the Trustees are not otherwise affiliated with the Advisor or any of the Sub-Advisors.

### Independent Trustees\*

<u>Name, Address and Year Born</u>	<u>Position(s) Held with the Trust</u>	<u>Term of Office and Length of Time Served</u>	<u>Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years</u>	<u># of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee</u>	<u>Other Directorships Held by Trustee During Past Five Years</u>
Julie Allecta 1676 N. California Blvd., Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 (born 1946)	Independent Trustee	Open-ended term; served since June 2013	Member of Governing Council, Policy Committee Chair and Executive Committee member, Independent Directors Council (education for investment company independent directors) since 2014; Director, Northern California Society of Botanical Artists (botanical art) since 2014; and Retired Partner, Paul Hastings LLP (law firm) from 1999 to 2009.	4	Forward Funds (17 portfolios)  Salient MS Trust (4 portfolios)
Frederick A. Eigenbrod, Jr., Ph.D. 1676 N. California Blvd., Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 (born 1941)	Independent Trustee	Open-ended term; served since inception	Vice President, RoutSource Consulting Services (organizational planning and development) since 2002.	4	None
Harold M. Shefrin, Ph.D. 1676 N. California Blvd., Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 (born 1948)	Independent Trustee	Open-ended term; served since February 2005	Professor, Department of Finance, Santa Clara University since 1979.	4	SA Funds – Investment Trust (10 portfolios)

### Interested Trustees & Officers

<u>Name, Address and Year Born</u>	<u>Position(s) Held with the Trust</u>	<u>Term of Office and Length of Time Served</u>	<u>Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years</u>	<u># of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee</u>	<u>Other Directorships Held by Trustee/ Officer During Past Five Years</u>
Jeremy DeGroot** 1676 N. California Blvd., Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 (born 1963)	Chairman of the Board, Trustee and President	Open-ended term; served as a Chairman since March 2017, Trustee since December 2008 and President since 2014	Chief Investment Officer of Litman Gregory Asset Management, LLC since 2008; and Co-Chief Investment Officer of Litman Gregory Asset Management, LLC from 2003 to 2008.	4	None

<u>Name, Address and Year Born</u>	<u>Position(s) Held with the Trust</u>	<u>Term of Office and Length of Time Served</u>	<u>Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years</u>	<u># of Portfolios in Fund Complex Overseen by Trustee</u>	<u>Other Directorships Held by Trustee/ Officer During Past Five Years</u>
Taylor M. Welz** 1676 N. California Blvd., Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 (born 1959)	Trustee	Open-ended term; served since inception	CPA/PFS, CFP; President, Chief Compliance Officer & Sole Owner, Welz Financial Services, Inc. (investment advisory services and retirement planning), since 2007; and Partner and Chief Compliance Officer, Bowman & Company LLP (certified public accountants) from 1987 to 2007.	4	None
Stephen Savage 1676 N. California Blvd., Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 (born 1961)	Secretary	Open-ended term; served since 2014	Chief Executive Officer of the Advisor since 2015; Managing Partner of the Advisor since 2010; Partner of the Advisor from 2003 to 2010.	N/A	None
John Coughlan 1676 N. California Blvd., Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 (born 1956)	Treasurer and Chief Compliance Officer	Open-ended term; served as Treasurer since inception, and as Chief Compliance Officer since September 2004	Chief Operating Officer and Chief Compliance Officer of the Advisor since 2004.	N/A	None

\* Denotes Trustees who are not “interested persons” of the Trust, as such term is defined under the 1940 Act (the “Independent Trustees”).

\*\* Denotes Trustees who are “interested persons” of the Trust, as such term is defined under the 1940 Act, because of their relationship with the Advisor (the “Interested Trustees”).

In addition, Jack Chee and Rajat Jain, each a Senior Research Analyst at the Advisor, are each an Assistant Secretary of the Trust.

## **Additional Information Concerning Our Board of Trustees**

### *The Role of the Board*

The Board oversees the management and operations of the Trust. Like most mutual funds, the day-to-day management and operation of the Trust is performed by various service providers to the Trust, such as the Advisor, the Sub-Advisors, and the Funds’ distributor, administrator, custodian, and transfer agent, each of which is discussed in greater detail in this SAI. The Board has appointed senior employees of certain of these service providers as officers of the Trust, with the responsibility to monitor and report to the Board on the Trust’s operations. In conducting this oversight, the Board receives regular reports from these officers and service providers regarding the Trust’s operations. For example, investment officers report on the performance of the Funds. The Board has

appointed a Chief Compliance Officer who administers the Trust's compliance program and regularly reports to the Board as to compliance matters. Some of these reports are provided as part of formal "Board Meetings," which are typically held quarterly, in person, and involve the Board's review of recent Trust operations. From time to time, one or more members of the Board may also meet with management in less formal settings, between formal "Board Meetings," to discuss various topics. In all cases, however, the role of the Board and of any individual Trustee is one of oversight and not of management of the day-to-day affairs of the Trust and its oversight role does not make the Board a guarantor of the Trust's investments, portfolio pricing, operations or activities.

#### *Board Structure, Leadership*

The Board has structured itself in a manner that it believes allows it to perform its oversight function effectively. It has established three standing committees, an Audit Committee, a Nominating Committee and a Qualified Legal Compliance Committee, which are discussed in greater detail under "*Board of Trustees – Board Committees*" below. Each of the three standing committees of the Board is comprised entirely of Independent Trustees. The Board does not currently have a designated lead Independent Trustee. The Independent Trustees have engaged their own independent counsel to advise them on matters relating to their responsibilities in connection with the Trust. The Board reviews its leadership structure periodically as part of its annual self-assessment process and believes that its structure is appropriate to enable the Board to exercise its oversight of the Trust.

Presently, Mr. DeGroot serves as the Chairman of the Board and President of the Trust and Chief Investment Officer of the Advisor. Mr. DeGroot is an "interested person" of the Trust, as defined in the 1940 Act, by virtue of his employment relationship with the Advisor. In developing the Board's structure, the Board has determined that Mr. DeGroot's history with the Trust, familiarity with the Funds' investment objectives and extensive experience in the field of investments qualifies him to serve as the Chairman of the Board. The Board has also determined that the function and composition of the Audit Committee and Nominating Committees are appropriate means to address any potential conflicts of interest that may arise from the Chairman's status as an Interested Trustee.

#### *Board Oversight of Risk Management*

As part of its oversight function, the Board receives and reviews various risk management reports and assessments and discusses these matters with appropriate management and other personnel. Risk management is a broad concept comprised of many disparate elements (such as, for example, investment risk, issuer and counterparty risk, compliance risk, operational risk, valuation risk and business continuity risk). Consequently, Board oversight of different types of risks is handled in different ways. In the course of providing oversight, the Board and its committees receive reports on the Trust's activities regarding the Trust's investment portfolios and its financial accounting and reporting. The Board also receives periodic reports as to how the Advisor conducts service provider oversight and how it monitors for other risks, such as derivatives risk, business continuity risks and risks that might be present with individual Sub-Advisors or specific investment strategies. The Audit Committee meets regularly with the Chief Compliance Officer to discuss compliance and operational risks. The Audit Committee's meetings with the Treasurer and the Trust's independent registered public accounting firm also contribute to its oversight of certain internal control risks. The full Board receives reports from the Advisor as to investment risks as well as other risks that may be also discussed in the Audit Committee.

The Board receives regular reports from a "Valuation Committee," composed of the following senior employees of the Advisor: John Coughlan, Jeremy DeGroot, Jack Chee and Rajat Jain. The Valuation Committee operates pursuant to the Trust's Valuation Procedures, as approved by the Board. The Valuation Committee reports to the Board on the valuation of the Funds' portfolio securities, reviews the performance of each approved pricing service, and recommends to the Board for approval pricing agents for the valuation of Fund holdings.

The Trust believes that the Board's role in risk oversight must be evaluated on a case-by-case basis and that its existing role in risk oversight is appropriate. However, not all risks that may affect the Trust can be identified or processes and controls developed to eliminate or mitigate their occurrence or effects, and some risks are beyond any control of the Trust, the Advisor or its affiliates or other service providers.

*Information about Each Trustee's Qualification, Experience, Attributes or Skills*

The Board believes that each of the Trustees has the qualifications, experience, attributes and skills ("Trustee Attributes") appropriate to their continued service as Trustees of the Trust in light of the Trust's business and structure. Each of the Trustees has a demonstrated record of business and professional accomplishment that indicates that they have the ability to critically review, evaluate and assess information provided to them. Certain of these business and professional experiences are set forth in detail in the charts above. In addition, certain of the Trustees have served on boards for organizations other than the Trust, and each of the Trustees has served on the Board of the Trust for a number of years. They therefore have substantial boardroom experience and, in their service to the Trust, have gained substantial insight as to the operation of the Trust and have demonstrated a commitment to discharging oversight duties as Trustees in the interest of shareholders.

In addition to the information provided in the charts above, certain additional information concerning each particular Trustee and certain of their Trustee Attributes is provided below. The information provided below, and in the charts above, is not all-inclusive. Many Trustee Attributes involve intangible elements, such as intelligence, work ethic, and the ability to work together, to communicate effectively, to exercise judgment, to ask incisive questions, to manage people and problems, and to develop solutions. The Board annually conducts a self-assessment wherein the effectiveness of the Board and individual Trustees is reviewed. In conducting its annual self-assessment, the Board has determined that the Trustees have the appropriate attributes and experience to continue to serve effectively as Trustees of the Trust.

The summaries set forth below as to the qualifications, attributes, and skills of the Trustees are furnished in response to disclosure requirements imposed by the SEC, do not constitute any representation or guarantee that the Board or any Trustee has any special expertise or experience, and do not impose any greater or additional responsibility or obligation on, or change any standard of care applicable to, any such person or the Board as a whole than otherwise would be the case.

Mr. DeGroot's Trustee Attributes include his position as principal and Chief Investment Officer of Litman Gregory Asset Management, LLC ("LGAM"). In this position, Mr. DeGroot is responsible for overseeing Sub-Advisor due diligence, asset class research and portfolio tactical allocation decisions. Mr. DeGroot is also Portfolio Manager of the Alternative Strategies Fund and Co-Portfolio Manager of the Equity Fund, the International Fund and the Smaller Companies Fund. He is frequently quoted in the national media in the areas of asset allocation and manager selection. He holds the Chartered Financial Analyst® (CFA®) designation. Mr. DeGroot also has prior experience as an economics consultant and economist.

Ms. Allecta's Trustee Attributes include her significant professional experience in the legal field as counsel to various mutual funds and private funds. Ms. Allecta also has mutual fund board experience, having served on the board of trustees of Forward Funds since 2012, the advisory board of Forward Funds since 2010, and the board of trustees of the Salient MS Trust since 2015. Ms. Allecta has also been a member of the Governing Council of the Independent Directors Council since 2014.

Mr. Eigenbrod's Trustee Attributes include his significant business advisory experience serving on the Board of Directors for Right Management Consultants providing management and organizational development consulting service as an independent consultant and executive coach.

Mr. Shefrin's Trustee Attributes include his distinguished academic career as a Professor at Santa Clara University, where he teaches finance. Mr. Shefrin also has a number of years of mutual fund board experience, having served on the board of trustees of SA Funds—Investment Trust since 1999.

Mr. Welz’s Trustee Attributes include many years of financial reporting, auditing and investment advisory experience. Mr. Welz is a Certified Public Accountant and also serves as the President, Chief Compliance Officer and Sole Owner of Welz Financial Services, Inc., an investment advisory services and retirement planning firm.

**Board Committees**

The Board has three standing committees as described below:

**Audit Committee**

**Members**

Julie Allecta  
 Frederick A. Eigenbrod, Jr., Ph.D.  
 Harold M. Shefrin, Ph.D. (Chairman)

**Description**

Responsible for advising the full Board with respect to accounting, auditing and financial matters affecting the Trust.

**Committee Meetings  
 During Fiscal Year Ended  
 December 31, 2017**

3

**Qualified Legal Compliance Committee**

**Members**

Julie Allecta  
 Frederick A. Eigenbrod, Jr., Ph.D.  
 Harold M. Shefrin, Ph.D.

**Description**

Responsible for the receipt, review and consideration of any report made or referred to it by an attorney of evidence of a material violation of applicable U.S. federal or state securities law, material breach of a fiduciary duty under U.S. federal or state law or a similar material violation by the Trust or by any officer, Trustee, employee or agent of the Trust

**Committee Meetings  
 During Fiscal Year Ended  
 December 31, 2017**

0

**Nominating Committee**

**Members**

Julie Allecta  
 Frederick A. Eigenbrod, Jr., Ph.D. (Chairman)  
 Harold M. Shefrin, Ph.D.

**Description**

Responsible for evaluating the size and compensation of the Board and seeking and reviewing candidates for consideration as nominees for Trustees.

**Committee Meetings  
 During Fiscal Year Ended  
 December 31, 2017**

0

## Trustee Ownership of Fund Shares

As of December 31, 2017, the Trustees owned the following dollar range of shares of the Funds <sup>(1)</sup>:

Name of Trustee	Equity Fund	International Fund	Smaller Companies Fund	Alternative Strategies Fund	Aggregate Dollar Range of Equity Securities in all Registered Investment Companies Overseen by Trustee in Family of Investment Companies <sup>(2)</sup>
<b>Independent Trustees</b>					
Julie Allecta	E	A	A	A	E
Frederick A. Eigenbrod, Jr., Ph.D.	E	D	A	E	E
Harold M. Shefrin, Ph.D.	A	E	A	A	E
<b>Interested Trustees</b>					
Jeremy DeGroot	E	E	E	E	E
Taylor M. Welz <sup>(3)</sup>	E	D	D	E	E

<sup>(1)</sup> Dollar Range of Equity Securities in the Fund:

A=None

B=\$1-\$10,000

C=\$10,001-\$50,000

D=\$50,001-\$100,000

E= Over \$100,000

<sup>(2)</sup> As of December 31, 2017, the Trustees each oversaw four registered investment companies in the fund complex.

<sup>(3)</sup> Effective December 12, 2017, Mr. Welz became an Interested Trustee.

## Trustee Interest in Investment Advisor, Distributor or Affiliates

As of December 31, 2017, the Independent Trustees, and their respective immediate family members, did not own any securities beneficially or of record in the Advisor, the Sub-Advisors, ALPS Distributors, Inc. (the "Distributor") or any of their respective affiliates. Further, the Independent Trustees and their respective immediate family members did not have a direct or indirect interest, the value of which exceeds \$120,000, in the Advisor, the Sub-Advisors, the Distributor, or any of their respective affiliates during the two most recently completed calendar years.

## Compensation

For the year ended December 31, 2017 each Independent Trustee received an annual fee of \$90,000, allocated \$9,000 per Fund with the remaining balance pro-rated quarterly based on each Fund's assets, plus expenses incurred by the Trustees in connection with attendance at meetings of the Board and its committees.

As of April 2, 2018, to the best of the knowledge of the Trust, the Board and the officers of the Funds, as a group, owned of record less than 1% of the outstanding shares of the Equity Fund, the International Fund, the Smaller Companies Fund, and the Alternative Strategies Fund.



The table below illustrates the annual compensation paid to each Trustee of the Trust during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017:

Name of Person, Position	Aggregate Compensation from						
	Equity Fund	International Fund	Smaller Companies Fund	Alternative Strategies Fund	Pension or Retirement Benefits Accrued as Part of Fund Expenses	Estimated Annual Benefits Upon Retirement	Total Compensation from Trust Paid to Trustees
<b>Independent Trustees</b>							
Julie Allecta, Trustee	\$17,650	\$ 22,200	\$ 13,933	\$ 36,216	None	None	\$ 90,000
Frederick A. Eigenbrod, Jr., Ph.D. Trustee	\$17,650	\$ 22,200	\$ 13,933	\$ 36,216	None	None	\$ 90,000
Harold M. Shefrin, Ph.D. Trustee	\$17,650	\$ 22,200	\$ 13,933	\$ 36,216	None	None	\$ 90,000
<b>Interested Trustees</b>							
Jeremy DeGroot, President and Trustee*	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Taylor M. Welz, Trustee* ^	\$17,650	\$ 22,200	\$ 13,933	\$ 36,216	None	None	\$ 90,000

\* As of December 31, 2017, Messrs. DeGroot and Welz were Interested Trustees because of their relationship with the Advisor and accordingly served on the Board without compensation.

^ Effective December 12, 2017, Mr. Welz became an Interested Trustee.

#### Control Persons and Principal Shareholders

A principal shareholder is any person who owns (either of record or beneficially) 5% or more of the outstanding shares of any class of any of the Funds. A control person is one who owns, either directly or indirectly, more than 25% of the voting securities of a Fund or acknowledges the existence of such control. A control person can have a significant impact on the outcome of a shareholder vote. As of April 2, 2018, the shareholders indicated below were considered to be either a control person or principal shareholder of the Funds.<sup>1</sup>

#### Litman Gregory Masters Equity Fund – Institutional Class

Name and Address	Shares	% Ownership	Type of Ownership
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104-4151	7,879,962.792	44.98%	Record
National Financial Services, Corp. 499 Washington Blvd. Jersey City, NJ 07310-2010	2,623,794.112	14.98%	Record
TD Ameritrade Inc. P.O. Box 2226 Omaha, NE 68103-2226	1,018,422.063	5.81%	Record

<sup>1</sup> The Funds have no information regarding the beneficial owners of Fund shares owned through accounts with financial intermediaries.

**Litman Gregory Masters Equity Fund – Investor Class**

<u>Name and Address</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>% Ownership</u>	<u>Type of Ownership</u>
National Financial Services, Corp. 499 Washington Blvd. Jersey City, NJ 07310-1995	2,366.214	70.61%	Record
TD Ameritrade, Inc. P.O. Box 2226 Omaha, NE 68103-2226	536.679	16.01%	Record
Lea M. Stevens Family Trust 177 Brett Rd. Fairfield, CT 06824-1718	393.812	11.75%	Record

**Litman Gregory Masters International Fund – Institutional Class**

<u>Name and Address</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>% Ownership</u>	<u>Type of Ownership</u>
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104-4151	11,110,374.723	30.60%	Record
Mac & Co. 500 Grant Street, Room 151-1010 Pittsburgh, PA 15219-2502	5,743,093.722	15.82%	Record
National Financial Services, Corp. 499 Washington Blvd. Jersey City, NJ 07310-1995	4,189,912.467	11.54%	Record

**Litman Gregory Masters International Fund – Investor Class**

<u>Name and Address</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>% Ownership</u>	<u>Type of Ownership</u>
TD Ameritrade, Inc. P.O. Box 2226 Omaha, NE 68103-2226	76,743.593	46.46%	Record
National Financial Services, Corp. 499 Washington Blvd. Jersey City, NH 07310-1995	69,945.617	42.34%	Record
Pershing LLC 1 Pershing Plaza Jersey City, NJ 07399-0001	11,425.620	6.92%	Record

### Litman Gregory Masters Smaller Companies Fund – Institutional Class

<u>Name and Address</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>% Ownership</u>	<u>Type of Ownership</u>
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104-4151	433,920.190	31.32%	Record
National Financial Services, Corp. 499 Washington Blvd. Jersey City, NJ 07310-1995	276,685.962	19.97%	Record
TD Ameritrade, Inc. P.O. Box 2226 Omaha, NE 68103-2226	138,964.539	10.03%	Record

### Litman Gregory Masters Alternative Strategies Fund – Institutional Class

<u>Name and Address</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>% Ownership</u>	<u>Type of Ownership</u>
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104-4151	75,095,694.940	47.38%	Record
National Financial Services, Corp. 499 Washington Blvd. Jersey City, NJ 07310-1995	33,022,117.780	20.83%	Record
TD Ameritrade Inc. P.O. Box 2226 Omaha, NE 68103-2226	12,291,329.301	7.75%	Record

### Litman Gregory Masters Alternative Strategies Fund – Investor Class

<u>Name and Address</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>% Ownership</u>	<u>Type of Ownership</u>
Charles Schwab & Co., Inc. 101 Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94104-4151	9,040,023.441	50.43%	Record
National Financial Services, Corp. 499 Washington Blvd. Jersey City, NJ 07310-1995	5,501,602.869	30.69%	Record
TD Ameritrade Inc. P.O. Box 2226 Omaha, NE 68103-2226	1,582,443.709	8.83%	Record

### PORTFOLIO HOLDINGS DISCLOSURE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

The Board has adopted policies to ensure that any disclosure of information about the Funds' portfolio holdings is in the best interest of Fund shareholders; and to make clear that information about the Funds' portfolio holdings should not be distributed to any person unless:

- The disclosure is required to respond to a regulatory request, court order or other legal proceedings;

- The disclosure is to a mutual fund rating or statistical agency or person performing similar functions who has signed a confidentiality agreement with the Trust;
- The disclosure is made to internal parties involved in the investment process, administration or custody of the Funds, including but not limited to the Advisor, the Sub-Advisors and the Board;
- The disclosure is (a) in connection with a quarterly, semi-annual or annual report that is available to the public or (b) relates to information that is otherwise available to the public ( *e.g.*, portfolio information that is available on a Fund’s website); or
- The disclosure is made pursuant to prior written approval of the Chief Compliance Officer of the Advisor or the Funds, or the President of the Trust.

The Funds make their portfolio holdings publicly available on the Funds’ website 15 days after the end of each calendar quarter.

The Funds do not have any individualized ongoing arrangements to make available information about the Funds’ portfolio securities to any person other than the disclosures made, as described above, to internal parties involved in the Funds’ investment process, administration or custody of the Funds. To the extent required to perform services for the Funds or the Advisor, the Funds’ or the Advisor’s legal counsel or the Funds’ auditors may obtain portfolio holdings information. Such information is provided subject to confidentiality requirements.

### **THE ADVISOR AND THE SUB-ADVISORS**

The Advisor is a registered investment advisor with the SEC under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the “Advisers Act”). The Advisor is wholly owned by LGAM. Craig Litman, Kenneth Gregory and certain other senior employees of LGAM own approximately 85% of LGAM, and the remainder of LGAM is owned by a private equity firm.

Subject to the supervision of the Board, investment management and related services are provided by the Advisor to each of the Funds, pursuant to an investment advisory agreement (the “Advisory Agreement”). The Trust, on behalf of the Funds, and the Advisor are parties to the Advisory Agreement. Shareholders are not parties to, or intended (or “third party”) beneficiaries of, the Advisory Agreement. Rather, the Trust and its respective investment series are the sole intended beneficiaries of the Advisory Agreement. Neither this SAI nor the Prospectus is intended to give rise to any contract rights or other rights in any shareholder, other than any rights conferred by federal or state securities laws that may not be waived.

In addition, the assets of each Fund are divided into segments by the Advisor, and individual selection of securities in each segment is provided by a Sub-Advisor approved by the Board pursuant, in each case, to an investment sub-advisory agreement (each, a “Management Agreement”). Under the Advisory Agreement, the Advisor has agreed to (i) furnish each Fund with advice and recommendations with respect to the selection and continued employment of Sub-Advisors to manage the actual investment of each Fund’s assets; (ii) direct the allocation of each Fund’s assets among such Sub-Advisors; (iii) oversee the investments made by such Sub-Advisors on behalf of each Fund, subject to the ultimate supervision and direction of the Board; (iv) oversee the actions of the Sub-Advisors with respect to voting proxies for each Fund, filing Section 13 ownership reports with the SEC for each Fund, and taking other actions on behalf of each Fund; (v) maintain the books and records required to be maintained by each Fund except to the extent arrangements have been made for such books and records to be maintained by the administrator, another agent of each Fund or a Sub-Advisor; (vi) furnish reports, statements and other data on securities, economic conditions and other matters related to the investment of each Fund’s assets that each Fund’s administrator or distributor or the officers of the Trust may reasonably request; and (vii) render to the Board such periodic and special reports with respect to each Fund’s investment activities as the Board may reasonably request, including at least one in-person appearance annually before the Board.

The Advisor has agreed, at its own expense, to maintain such staff and employ or retain such personnel and consult with such other persons as it shall from time to time determine to be necessary to the performance of its obligations under the Advisory Agreement. Personnel of the Advisor may serve as officers of the Trust provided they do so without compensation from the Trust. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the staff and personnel of the Advisor shall be deemed to include persons employed or retained by the Advisor to furnish statistical information, research, and other factual information, advice regarding economic factors and trends, information with respect to technical and scientific developments, and such other information, advice and assistance as the Advisor or the Board may desire and reasonably request. With respect to the operation of each Fund, the Advisor has agreed to be responsible for (i) providing the personnel, office space and equipment reasonably necessary for the operation of the Trust and each Fund including the provision of persons qualified to serve as officers of the Trust; (ii) compensating the Sub-Advisors selected to invest the assets of each Fund; (iii) the expenses of printing and distributing extra copies of each Fund's prospectus, statement of additional information, and sales and advertising materials (but not the legal, auditing or accounting fees incurred thereto) to prospective investors (but not to existing shareholders); and (iv) the costs of any special Board meetings or shareholder meetings convened for the primary benefit of the Advisor or any Sub-Advisor.

Under each Management Agreement, each Sub-Advisor agrees to invest its allocated portion of the assets of each Fund in accordance with the investment objectives, policies and restrictions of each Fund as set forth in the Trust's and each Fund's governing documents, including, without limitation, the Trust's Agreement and Declaration of Trust and By-Laws; each Fund's prospectus, statement of additional information, and undertakings; and such other limitations, policies and procedures as the Advisor or the Trustees of the Trust may impose from time to time in writing to the Sub-Advisor. In providing such services, each Sub-Advisor shall at all times adhere to the provisions and restrictions contained in the federal securities laws, applicable state securities laws, the Code, and other applicable law.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, each Sub-Advisor has agreed to (i) furnish each Fund with advice and recommendations with respect to the investment of the Sub-Advisor's allocated portion of each Fund's assets; (ii) effect the purchase and sale of portfolio securities for the Sub-Advisor's allocated portion or determine that a portion of such allocated portion will remain uninvested; (iii) manage and oversee the investments of the Sub-Advisor's allocated portion, subject to the ultimate supervision and direction of the Board; (iv) vote proxies and take other actions with respect to the securities in the Sub-Advisor's allocated portion; (v) maintain the books and records required to be maintained with respect to the securities in the Sub-Advisor's allocated portion; (vi) furnish reports, statements and other data on securities, economic conditions and other matters related to the investment of each Fund's assets which the Advisor, Trustees or the officers of the Trust may reasonably request; and (vii) render to the Board such periodic and special reports with respect to Sub-Advisor's allocated portion as the Board may reasonably request.

As compensation for the Advisor's services (including payment of the Sub-Advisors' fees), each Fund pays the Advisor an advisory fee at the rate specified in the prospectus. In addition to the fees payable to the Advisor and the Funds' administrator, the Trust is responsible for its operating expenses, including: fees and expenses incurred in connection with the issuance, registration and transfer of its shares; brokerage and commission expenses; all expenses of transfer, receipt, safekeeping, servicing and accounting for the cash, securities and other property of the Trust for the benefit of each Fund including all fees and expenses of its custodian, shareholder services agent and accounting services agent; interest charges on any borrowings; costs and expenses of pricing and calculating its daily NAV and of maintaining its books of account required under the 1940 Act; taxes, if any; a pro rata portion of expenditures in connection with meetings of each Fund's shareholders and the Board that are properly payable by each Fund; salaries and expenses of officers and fees and expenses of members of the Board or members of any advisory board or committee who are not members of, affiliated with or interested persons of the Advisor; insurance premiums on property or personnel of each Fund that inure to its benefit, including liability and fidelity bond insurance; the cost of preparing and printing reports, proxy statements, prospectuses and statements of additional information of each Fund or other communications for distribution to existing shareholders; legal, auditing and accounting fees; trade association dues; fees and expenses (including legal fees) of registering and maintaining registration of its shares for sale under federal and applicable state and foreign securities laws; all expenses of maintaining and servicing shareholder accounts, including all charges for transfer, shareholder recordkeeping, dividend disbursing, redemption, and other agents for the benefit of each Fund, if any; and all other charges and costs of its operation plus any extraordinary and non-recurring expenses, except as otherwise prescribed in the Advisory Agreement.

Pursuant to a Restated Contractual Advisory Fee Waiver Agreement effective as of January 1, 2006, for one year and renewable annually for additional one-year terms thereafter (the "Fee Waiver Agreement"), the Advisor has agreed to waive a portion of its advisory fees for each Fund to reflect reductions in the Sub-Advisors' fees. Reductions in Sub-Advisors' fees can occur due to changes in Sub-Advisors, the negotiation of different Sub-Advisor fee schedules, the reallocation of assets among Sub-Advisors or for other reasons. The Board may terminate the Fee Waiver Agreement upon 60 days' notice to the Advisor, and the Advisor has reserved the right to decline renewal by written notice to the Trust at least 30 days before the Fee Waiver Agreement's annual expiration date. The current term of the Fee Waiver Agreement expires on April 30, 2019. The Advisor's intent in making such waivers is to pass through to the shareholders the benefits of reductions in the fees the Advisor is required to pay to the Sub-Advisors. The Advisor has agreed to waive its right to recoupment of any fees waived pursuant to the Fee Waiver Agreement.

Under the Advisory Agreement and each Management Agreement, the Advisor and the Sub-Advisors will not be liable to the Trust for any error of judgment by the Advisor or the Sub-Advisors or any loss sustained by the Trust except in the case of a breach of fiduciary duty with respect to the receipt of compensation for services (in which case any award of damages will be limited as provided in the 1940 Act) or of willful misfeasance, bad faith or gross negligence by reason of reckless disregard of its obligations and duties under the applicable agreement.

The Advisory Agreement and the Management Agreements remain in effect for an initial period not to exceed two years. Thereafter, if not terminated, the Advisory Agreement and each Management Agreement will continue automatically for successive annual periods, provided that such continuance is specifically approved at least annually (i) by a majority vote of the Independent Trustees cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of voting on such approval, and (ii) by the Board or by vote of a majority of the outstanding voting securities of a Fund.

The Advisory Agreement and Management Agreements are terminable by vote of the Board or by the holders of a majority of the outstanding voting securities of a Fund at any time without penalty, upon 60 days' written notice to the Advisor or a Sub-Advisor, as applicable. The Advisory Agreement and the Management Agreements also may be terminated by the Advisor or a Sub-Advisor, as applicable, upon 60 days' written notice to the applicable Fund. The Advisory Agreement and the Management Agreements terminate automatically upon their assignment (as defined in the 1940 Act).

In determining whether to renew the Advisory Agreement and the Management Agreements each year, the Board requests and evaluates information provided by the Advisor and the Sub-Advisors, in accordance with Section 15(c) of the 1940 Act. A discussion regarding the Board's basis for approving the Funds' Advisory Agreement with the Advisor and each Management Agreement (except the Management Agreements with Evermore Global Advisors, LLC, Segall Bryant & Hamill, LLC and DCI, LLC) is included in the Funds' Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017. A discussion regarding the Board's basis for approving the Management Agreement with Evermore Global Advisors, LLC, Segall Bryant & Hamill, LLC and DCI, LLC is included in the Funds' Semi-Annual Report to Shareholders for the period ended June 30, 2017.

Advisory fees net of waivers each of the Funds paid to the Advisor and the amounts waived by the Advisor for the last three fiscal years are specified below. Additional investment advisory fees payable under the Advisory Agreement may have, instead, been reduced by the Advisor and in some circumstances may be subject to reimbursement by the respective Fund, as discussed previously.

## Advisor Fees Paid to Advisor, Net of Waivers

Year	Equity Fund	International Fund	Smaller Companies Fund	Alternative Strategies Fund
2017	\$3,227,491	\$ 5,619,185	\$241,164	\$24,008,949
2016	\$3,131,803	\$ 7,803,389	\$266,869	\$18,058,739
2015	\$3,745,271	\$12,255,084	\$631,384	\$15,654,862

## Amounts Waived by Advisor

Year	Equity Fund	International Fund	Smaller Companies Fund	Alternative Strategies Fund
2017	\$386,672	\$1,876,901	\$141,709	\$1,675,406
2016	\$290,729	\$2,733,951	\$154,623	\$1,105,732
2015	\$365,493	\$3,825,355	\$ 61,486	\$1,075,856

## ADDITIONAL PORTFOLIO MANAGER INFORMATION

The following section provides information regarding each portfolio manager's compensation, other accounts managed, material conflicts of interests, and any ownership of securities in the Funds for which they sub-advise. Each portfolio manager or team member is referred to as a portfolio manager below. The portfolio managers are shown together in this section only for ease in presenting the information and should not be viewed for purposes of comparing the portfolio managers or their firms against one another. Each firm is a separate entity that may employ different compensation structures and may have different management requirements, and each portfolio manager may be affected by different conflicts of interest.

### Other Accounts Managed by Portfolio Managers

The table below identifies, for each portfolio manager of each Fund, the number of accounts managed (excluding the Funds) and the total assets in such accounts, within each of the following categories: registered investment companies, other pooled investment vehicles, and other accounts. To the extent that any of these accounts are based on account performance, this information is reflected in separate tables below. Information in all tables is shown as of the Funds' fiscal year-end, December 31, 2017. Asset amounts are approximate and have been rounded.

Fund and Portfolio Manager (Firm)	Registered Investment Companies (excluding the Funds)		Other Pooled Investment Vehicles		Other Accounts	
	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts
<b>All Funds</b>						
Jeremy DeGroot (Litman Gregory)	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
<b>Equity Fund</b>						
Jack Chee (Litman Gregory)	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Rajat Jain (Litman Gregory)	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Christopher C. Davis (Davis Advisors)	17	\$19.5 billion	9	\$ 1 billion	46	\$ 8.3 billion
Danton Goei (Davis Advisors)	10	\$17.1 billion	8	\$ 784 million	41	\$ 7.2 billion
Patrick J. English (FMI)	4	\$ 16 billion	8	\$ 574 million	1,307	\$ 9.6 billion
Jonathan T. Bloom (FMI)	4	\$ 16 billion	8	\$ 574 million	1,307	\$ 9.6 billion
Clyde S. McGregor (Harris)	2	\$19.1 billion	8	\$ 4.5 billion	113	\$ 1.3 billion
William C. Nygren (Harris)	6	\$29.6 billion	2	\$43.5 million	3	\$484.3 million
Scott Moore (Nuance)	3	\$ 1.1 billion	0	\$ 0	981	\$621.6 million
Frank M. Sands (Sands Capital)	4	\$ 5 billion	10	\$ 903 million	388	\$ 14.7 billion
A. Michael Sramek (Sands Capital)	4	\$ 5 billion	10	\$ 903 million	388	\$ 14.7 billion

Fund and Portfolio Manager (Firm)	Registered Investment Companies (excluding the Funds)		Other Pooled Investment Vehicles		Other Accounts	
	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts
Richard T. Weiss (WellsCap)	1	\$ 11 million	2	\$ 360 million	12	\$ 209 million
<b>International Fund</b>						
Rajat Jain (Litman Gregory)	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
David E. Marcus (Evermore)	1	\$ 607 million	0	\$ 0	6	\$408.7 million
David G. Herro (Harris)	11	\$ 58.7 billion	22	\$ 8.8 billion	49	\$ 17.6 billion
Mark Little (Lazard)	3	\$ 7.3 billion	7	\$931.5 million	48	\$ 8.1 billion
Howard Appleby (Northern Cross)	5	\$ 31.7 billion	0	\$ 0	7	\$ 1.4 billion
Jean-Francois Ducrest (Northern Cross)	5	\$ 31.7 billion	0	\$ 0	7	\$ 1.4 billion
James LaTorre (Northern Cross)	5	\$ 31.7 billion	0	\$ 0	7	\$ 1.4 billion
Fabio Paolini (Pictet)	2	\$ 2.1 billion	5	\$ 817 million	10	\$ 2.1 billion
Benjamin (Ben) Beneche (Pictet)	2	\$ 1.3 billion	5	\$ 252 million	16	\$ 2.3 billion
W. Vinson Walden (Thornburg)	2	\$ 2.6 billion	5	\$ 385 million	9	\$ 893 million
<b>Smaller Companies Fund</b>						
Jack Chee (Litman Gregory)	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Jeffrey Bronchick (Cove Street)	1	\$ 11 million	1	\$145.9 million	96	\$885.7 million
Mark T. Dickherber (SBH)	1	\$62.2 million	1	\$ 30.2 million	69	\$806.9 million
Shaun P. Nicholson (SBH)	1	\$62.2 million	1	\$ 30.2 million	69	\$806.9 million
Richard T. Weiss (WellsCap)	1	\$ 42 million	2	\$ 360 million	12	\$ 209 million
<b>Alternative Strategies Fund</b>						
Stephen Kealhofer (DCI)	0	\$ 0	7	\$ 3.4 billion	5	\$ 870 million
Paul Harrison (DCI)	0	\$ 0	7	\$ 3.4 billion	5	\$ 870 million
Bin Zeng (DCI)	0	\$ 0	7	\$ 3.4 billion	5	\$ 870 million
Adam Dwinells (DCI)	0	\$ 0	7	\$ 3.4 billion	5	\$ 870 million
Jeffrey Gundlach (DoubleLine)	32	\$ 90.1 billion	19	\$ 7.9 billion	67	\$ 11.1 billion
Jeffrey Sherman (DoubleLine)	19	\$ 27.2 billion	7	\$ 1.7 billion	12	\$ 2.2 billion
Steven Romick (First Pacific)	3	\$ 16.7 billion	9	\$ 2.3 billion	2	\$207.8 million
Brian Selmo (First Pacific)	3	\$ 16.7 billion	9	\$ 2.3 billion	2	\$207.8 million
Mark Landecker (First Pacific)	3	\$ 16.7 billion	9	\$ 2.3 billion	2	\$207.8 million
Matthew Eagan (Loomis Sayles)	16	\$ 37.2 billion	25	\$ 11 billion	147	\$ 23 billion
Kevin Kearns (Loomis Sayles)	5	\$ 1.6 billion	9	\$ 3.7 billion	11	\$ 2.1 billion
Todd Vandam (Loomis Sayles)	3	\$ 1.5 billion	10	\$ 2.4 billion	23	\$ 3.3 billion
John Orrico (Water Island)	1	\$ 1.92 billion	2	\$ 13 million	0	\$ 0
Todd Munn (Water Island)	3	\$ 2.21 billion	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Roger Foltynowicz (Water Island)	3	\$ 2.21 billion	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0
Gregg Loprete (Water Island)	3	\$ 345 million	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0

The following table reflects information regarding accounts for which the portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities and with respect to which the advisory fee is based on account performance. The Funds' portfolio managers not listed below reported that they do not provide day-to-day management of accounts with performance-based advisory fees. Information is shown as of the Funds' fiscal year-end, December 31, 2017. Asset amounts are approximate and have been rounded.



## Other Accounts That Pay Performance-Based Advisory Fees Managed by Portfolio Managers

Fund and Portfolio Manager (Firm)	Registered Investment Companies		Other Pooled Investment Vehicles		Other Accounts	
	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts	Number of Accounts	Total Assets in the Accounts
<b>Equity Fund</b>						
Frank M. Sands (Sands Capital)	1	\$2.4 billion	0	\$ 0	15	\$ 2.1 billion
A. Michael Sramek (Sands Capital)	1	\$2.4 billion	0	\$ 0	15	\$ 2.1 billion
<b>International Fund</b>						
David E. Marcus (Evermore)	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	2	\$ 23 million
David G. Herro (Harris)	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	1	\$142.2 million
Mark Little (Lazard)	1	\$ 4 billion	0	\$ 0	1	\$117.3 million
<b>Alternative Strategies Fund</b>						
Stephen Kealhofer (DCI)	0	\$ 0	4	\$ 355 million	0	\$ 0
Paul Harrison	0	\$ 0	4	\$ 355 million	0	\$ 0
Bin Zeng (DCI)	0	\$ 0	4	\$ 355 million	0	\$ 0
Adam Dwinells (DCI)	0	\$ 0	4	\$ 355 million	0	\$ 0
Jeffrey Gundlach (DoubleLine)	0	\$ 0	3	\$ 3.1 billion	2	\$ 1.1 billion
Jeffrey Sherman (DoubleLine)	0	\$ 0	1	\$ 166 million	0	\$ 0
Steven Romick (First Pacific)	0	\$ 0	6	\$847.6 million	0	\$ 0
Brian Selmo (First Pacific)	0	\$ 0	1	\$ 46.5 million	0	\$ 0
Mark Landecker (First Pacific)	0	\$ 0	1	\$335.3 million	0	\$ 0
Brian Selmo, Mark Landecker (First Pacific)	0	\$ 0	2	\$306.9 million	0	\$ 0
Matthew Eagan (Loomis Sayles)	0	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	4	\$ 818 million
John Orrico (Water Island)	0	\$ 0	2	\$ 13 million	0	\$ 0

## Material Conflicts of Interest

Actual or apparent material conflicts of interest may arise when a portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities with respect to more than one investment account or in other circumstances. Portfolio managers of each of the following Sub-Advisors who manage other investment accounts in addition to one or more of the Funds may be presented with the potential conflicts described below.

COVE STREET CAPITAL, LLC (“Cove Street”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the Smaller Companies Fund*

Cove Street’s management of “other accounts” may give rise to potential conflicts of interest in connection with the management of the Smaller Companies Fund’s investments, on the one hand, and the investments of the other accounts on the other. The other accounts may have the same investment objective as the Smaller Companies Fund. Therefore, a potential conflict of interest may arise as a result of the identical investment objectives, whereby Cove Street could favor one account over another. Another potential conflict could include Cove Street’s knowledge about the size, timing and possible market impact of Fund trades, whereby Cove Street could use this information to the advantage of other accounts and to the disadvantage of the Smaller Companies Fund. However, Cove Street has established policies and procedures to ensure that the purchase and sale of securities among all accounts it manages are fairly and equitably allocated.

Employees of Cove Street may own securities that are also owned by clients of Cove Street. As such, Cove Street has adopted a code of ethics to address these rules on personal trading and insider trading.

DAVIS SELECTED ADVISERS, L.P. (“Davis Advisors”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

Actual or apparent conflicts of interest may arise when a portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities with respect to more than one portfolio or other account. More specifically, portfolio managers who manage multiple portfolios and/or other accounts are presented with the following potential conflicts: the management of multiple portfolios and/or other accounts may result in a portfolio manager devoting unequal time and attention to the management of each portfolio and/or other account. Davis Advisors seeks to manage such competing interests for the time and attention of portfolio managers by having portfolio managers focus on a particular investment discipline. Most other accounts managed by a portfolio manager are managed using the same investment weightings that are used in connection with the management of the portfolios.

If a portfolio manager identifies a limited investment opportunity which may be suitable for more than one portfolio or other account, a portfolio may not be able to take full advantage of that opportunity due to an allocation of filled purchase or sale orders across all eligible portfolios and other accounts. To deal with these situations, Davis Advisors has adopted procedures for allocating portfolio transactions across multiple accounts.

With respect to securities transactions for the portfolios, Davis Advisors determines which broker to use to execute each order, consistent with its duty to seek best execution of the transaction. However, with respect to certain other accounts (such as mutual funds, other pooled investment vehicles that are not registered mutual funds, and other accounts managed for organizations and individuals), Davis Advisors may be limited by the client with respect to the selection of brokers or may be instructed to direct trades through a particular broker. In these cases, Davis Advisors may place separate, non-simultaneous, transactions for a portfolio and another account which may temporarily affect the market price of the security or the execution of the transaction, or both, to the detriment of the portfolio or the other account.

Finally, substantial investment of assets of Davis Advisors or of the Davis family members in certain mutual funds may lead to conflicts of interest. To mitigate these potential conflicts of interest, Davis Advisors has adopted policies and procedures intended to ensure that all clients are treated fairly over time. Davis Advisors does not receive an incentive-based fee on any account.

DCI, LLC (“DCI”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

From time to time, potential and actual conflicts of interest may arise between the portfolio manager’s management of the investments of the Alternative Strategies Fund on the one hand, and the management of other accounts and investment funds, on the other. DCI may aggregate sale and purchase orders of securities with similar orders being made simultaneously for other accounts managed by DCI if, in DCI’s judgment, such aggregation is reasonably likely to result in an overall economic benefit to all accounts based on an evaluation that all are benefited by relatively better purchase or sale prices, lower commission expenses or beneficial timing of transactions, or a combination of these and other factors. There may be circumstances where DCI is unable to obtain sufficient quantities of a particular security due to market conditions, but may be able to obtain additional quantities of that security later in the trading period. Under such circumstances, DCI would allocate the quantities of the securities obtained in accordance with its trade allocation procedures and in any case in a fair and equitable way.

To address this potential conflict of interest, all allocations of investment opportunities and allocations of aggregated trades are required to be made in accordance with DCI’s written Investment Allocation Policy. In addition, DCI engages in ongoing trade activity reviews and has established a Best Execution Committee that conducts periodic reviews of DCI’s trading practices.

DOUBLELINE CAPITAL LP (“DoubleLine”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

From time to time, potential and actual conflicts of interest may arise between a portfolio manager’s management of the investments of the Alternative Strategies Fund, on the one hand, and the management of other accounts, on the other. Potential and actual conflicts of interest also may result because of DoubleLine’s other business activities. Other accounts managed by a portfolio manager might have similar investment objectives or strategies as the Alternative Strategies Fund, be managed (benchmarked) against the same index the Alternative Strategies Fund tracks, or otherwise hold, purchase, or sell securities that are eligible to be held, purchased or sold by the Alternative Strategies Fund. The other accounts might also have different investment objectives or strategies than the Alternative Strategies Fund.

*Knowledge and Timing of Fund Trades.* A potential conflict of interest may arise as a result of the portfolio managers’ management of the Alternative Strategies Fund. Because of their positions with the Alternative Strategies Fund, the portfolio managers know the size, timing and possible market impact of the Alternative Strategies Fund’s trades. It is theoretically possible that a portfolio manager could use this information to the advantage of other accounts under management, and also theoretically possible that actions could be taken (or not taken) to the detriment of the Alternative Strategies Fund.

*Investment Opportunities.* A potential conflict of interest may arise as a result of a portfolio manager’s management of a number of accounts with varying investment guidelines. Often, an investment opportunity may be suitable for both the Alternative Strategies Fund and other accounts managed by the portfolio manager, but securities may not be available in sufficient quantities for both the Alternative Strategies Fund and the other accounts to participate fully. Similarly, there may be limited opportunity to sell an investment held by the Alternative Strategies Fund and another account. DoubleLine has adopted policies and procedures reasonably designed to allocate investment opportunities on a fair and equitable basis over time.

Under DoubleLine’s allocation procedures, investment opportunities are allocated among various investment strategies based on individual account investment guidelines, DoubleLine’s investment outlook, cash availability and a series of other factors. DoubleLine has also adopted additional internal practices to complement the general trade allocation policy that are designed to address potential conflicts of interest due to the side-by-side management of the Alternative Strategies Fund and certain pooled investment vehicles, including investment opportunity allocation issues.

Conflicts potentially limiting the Alternative Strategies Fund’s investment opportunities may also arise when the Alternative Strategies Fund and other clients of DoubleLine invest in, or even conduct research relating to, different parts of an issuer’s capital structure, such as when the Alternative Strategies Fund owns senior debt obligations of an issuer and other clients own junior tranches of the same issuer. In such circumstances, decisions over whether to trigger an event of default, over the terms of any workout, or how to exit an investment may result in conflicts of interest. In order to minimize such conflicts, a portfolio manager may avoid certain investment opportunities that would potentially give rise to conflicts with other clients of DoubleLine or result in DoubleLine receiving material, non-public information, or DoubleLine may enact internal procedures designed to minimize such conflicts, which could have the effect of limiting the Alternative Strategies Fund’s investment opportunities. Additionally, if DoubleLine acquires material non-public confidential information in connection with its business activities for other clients, a portfolio manager or other investment personnel may be restricted from purchasing securities or selling certain securities for the Alternative Strategies Fund or other clients. When making investment decisions where a conflict of interest may arise, DoubleLine will endeavor to act in a fair and equitable manner between the Alternative Strategies Fund and other clients; however, in certain instances the resolution of the conflict may result in DoubleLine acting on behalf of another client in a manner that may not be in the best interest, or may be opposed to the best interest, of the Alternative Strategies Fund.

Investors in the Alternative Strategies Fund may also be advisory clients of DoubleLine. Accordingly, DoubleLine may in the course of its business provide advice to advisory clients whose interests may conflict with those of the Alternative Strategies Fund, may render advice to the Alternative Strategies Fund that provides a direct

or indirect benefit to DoubleLine or a related party or may manage or advise a product in which the Alternative Strategies Fund is invested in such a way that would not be beneficial to the Fund. For example, DoubleLine may advise a client who has invested in the Alternative Strategies Fund to redeem its investment in the Alternative Strategies Fund, which may cause the Alternative Strategies Fund to incur transaction costs and/or have to sell assets at a time when it would not otherwise do so. DoubleLine could also, for example, make decisions with respect to a structured product managed or sponsored by DoubleLine in a manner that could have adverse effects on investors in the product, including, potentially, the Alternative Strategies Fund. DoubleLine currently provides asset allocation investment advice, including recommending the purchase and/or sale of shares of the Alternative Strategies Fund, to a large number of investors.

*Broad and Wide-Ranging Activities.* The portfolio managers, DoubleLine and its related parties engage in a broad spectrum of activities. In the ordinary course of their business activities, the portfolio managers, DoubleLine and its related parties may engage in activities where the interests of certain divisions of DoubleLine and its related parties or the interests of their clients may conflict with the interests of the shareholders of the Alternative Strategies Fund.

*Possible Future Activities.* DoubleLine and its related parties may expand the range of services that they provide over time. Except as provided herein, DoubleLine and its related parties will not be restricted in the scope of its business or in the performance of any such services (whether now offered or undertaken in the future) even if such activities could give rise to conflicts of interest, and whether or not such conflicts are described herein. DoubleLine and its related parties have, and will continue to develop, relationships with a significant number of companies, financial sponsors and their senior managers, including relationships with clients who may hold or may have held investments similar to those intended to be made by the Alternative Strategies Fund. These clients may themselves represent appropriate investment opportunities for the Alternative Strategies Fund or may compete with a Fund for investment opportunities.

*Performance Fees and Personal Investments.* A portfolio manager may advise certain accounts with respect to which the advisory fee is based entirely or partially on performance or in respect of which the portfolio manager may have made a significant personal investment. Such circumstances may create a conflict of interest for the portfolio manager in that the portfolio manager may have an incentive to allocate the investment opportunities that he or she believes might be the most profitable to such other accounts instead of allocating them to the Alternative Strategies Fund. DoubleLine has adopted policies and procedures reasonably designed to allocate investment opportunities between the Alternative Strategies Fund and performance fee based accounts on a fair and equitable basis over time.

EVERMORE GLOBAL ADVISORS, LLC (“Evermore”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Potential conflicts of interest may arise when the International Fund’s portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities with respect to one or more other funds or other accounts.

Evermore has adopted compliance policies and procedures that are designed to address various conflicts of interest that may arise for Evermore and the individuals that it employs. For example, Evermore has adopted a side-by-side management of mutual funds and private accounts policy and trade allocation procedures that are designed to facilitate the fair allocation of limited investment opportunities among multiple funds and accounts. There is no guarantee, however, that the policies and procedures adopted by Evermore will be able to detect and/or prevent every situation in which an actual or potential conflict may appear.

These potential conflicts include:

***Allocation of Limited Time and Attention.*** A portfolio manager who is responsible for managing multiple funds and/or accounts may devote unequal time and attention to the management of those funds and/or accounts. As a result, the portfolio manager may not be able to formulate as complete a strategy or identify equally attractive investment opportunities for each of those accounts as might be the case if he or she were to devote substantially more attention to the management of a single fund. The effects of this potential conflict may be more pronounced where funds and/or accounts overseen by a particular portfolio manager have different investment strategies.

***Allocation of Limited Investment Opportunities.*** If the portfolio manager identifies a limited investment opportunity that may be suitable for multiple funds and/or accounts, the opportunity may be allocated among these several funds or accounts, which may limit a fund's and/or account's ability to take full advantage of the investment opportunity.

***Pursuit of Differing Strategies.*** At times, the portfolio manager may determine that an investment opportunity may be appropriate for only some of the funds and/or accounts for which he or she exercises investment responsibility, or may decide that certain of the funds and/or accounts should take differing positions with respect to a particular security. In these cases, the portfolio manager may place separate transactions for one or more funds or accounts which may affect the market price of the security or the execution of the transaction, or both, to the detriment or benefit of one or more other funds and/or accounts.

***Selection of Brokers/Dealers.*** The portfolio manager may be able to select or influence the selection of the brokers and dealers that are used to execute securities transactions for the funds and/or accounts that they supervise. In addition to executing trades, some brokers and dealers provide portfolio managers with brokerage and research services (as those terms are defined in Section 28(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "1934 Act")), which may result in the payment of higher brokerage fees than might have otherwise been available. These services may be more beneficial to certain funds or accounts than to others. Although the payment of brokerage commissions is subject to the requirement that the portfolio manager determine in good faith that the commissions are reasonable in relation to the value of the brokerage and research services provided to the fund, the portfolio manager's decision as to the selection of brokers and dealers could yield disproportionate costs and benefits among the funds and/or accounts that he or she manages.

***Variation in Compensation.*** A conflict of interest may arise where the financial or other benefits available to the portfolio manager differ among the funds and/or accounts that he or she manages. If the structure of Evermore's management fee and/or the portfolio manager's compensation differs among funds and/or accounts (such as where certain funds or accounts pay higher management fees or performance-based management fees), the portfolio manager might be motivated to help certain funds and/or accounts over others. The portfolio manager might be motivated to favor funds and/or accounts in which he or she has an interest or in which Evermore and/or its affiliates have interests. Similarly, the desire to maintain or raise assets under management or to enhance the portfolio manager's performance record or to derive other rewards, financial or otherwise, could influence the portfolio manager to lend preferential treatment to those funds and/or accounts that could most significantly benefit the portfolio manager.

***Related Business Opportunities.*** Evermore or its affiliates may provide more services (such as distribution or recordkeeping) for some types of funds or accounts than for others. In such cases, a portfolio manager may benefit, either directly or indirectly, by devoting disproportionate attention to the management of funds and/or accounts that provide greater overall returns to Evermore and its affiliates.

FIDUCIARY MANAGEMENT, INC. ("FMI")  
*Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

The portfolio managers at FMI are often responsible for managing other accounts. FMI typically assigns accounts with similar investment strategies to the portfolio managers to mitigate the potentially conflicting investment strategies, the side-by-side management of the Equity Fund and other accounts may raise potential conflicts of interest due to the interest held by the portfolio managers (for example, cross trades between the Equity Fund and another account and allocation of aggregated trades). FMI has developed policies and procedures reasonably designed to mitigate those conflicts. In particular, FMI has adopted policies designed to ensure the fair allocation of securities purchased on an aggregated basis.

FIRST PACIFIC ADVISORS, LLC (“First Pacific”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

First Pacific has potential conflicts of interest in connection with its investment activities. For example, First Pacific manages multiple client accounts with different investment objectives and guidelines, and with different fee structures. First Pacific receives both asset-based fees and performance-based fees as compensation for its investment advisory services. Performance-based fees create an incentive for First Pacific to favor those accounts over asset-based fee accounts or make investments that are riskier or more speculative than would be the case in the absence of performance-based fee clients. To mitigate potential conflicts of interest when managing performance-based fee clients side-by-side with asset-based fee clients, First Pacific has developed a policy in which portfolio managers attempt to allocate investment opportunities among eligible accounts on a pro rata basis if that is practical; or, if a pro rata allocation is not practical, to allocate the investment opportunities among First Pacific advisory clients on a basis that over time is fair and equitable to each advisory client relative to other clients.

First Pacific has also implemented other policies and procedures (*e.g.*, a code of ethics) that seek to address other potential conflicts of interest that may arise in connection with First Pacific’s business and that are designed to ensure that all client accounts are treated fairly and equitably over time.

HARRIS ASSOCIATES L.P. (“Harris”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund and the International Fund*

Conflicts of interest may arise in the allocation of investment opportunities and the allocation of aggregated orders among the Equity Fund, International Fund and the other accounts managed by the portfolio managers. A portfolio manager potentially could give favorable treatment to some accounts for a variety of reasons, including favoring larger accounts, accounts that have a different advisory fee arrangement (including any accounts that pay performance-based fees), accounts of affiliated companies, or accounts in which the portfolio manager has a personal investment. With respect to the allocation of investment opportunities, Harris makes decisions to recommend, purchase, sell or hold securities for all of its client accounts, including the Equity Fund and International Fund, based on the specific investment objectives, guidelines, restrictions and circumstances of each account. It is Harris’ policy to allocate investment opportunities to each account, including the Equity Fund and International Fund, over a period of time on a fair and equitable basis relative to its other accounts. With respect to the allocation of aggregated orders, each account that participates in the aggregated order will participate at the average share price, and where the order has not been completely filled, each institutional account, including the Equity Fund and International Fund, will generally participate on a pro rata basis.

Harris has compliance policies and procedures in place that it believes are reasonably designed to mitigate these conflicts. However, there is no guarantee that such procedures will detect each and every situation in which an actual or potential conflict may arise.

LAZARD ASSET MANAGEMENT LLC (“LAZARD”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Lazard’s portfolio managers manage multiple accounts for a diverse client base, including private clients, institutions and investment funds. Lazard manages all portfolios on a team basis. The team is involved at all levels of the investment process. This team approach allows for every portfolio manager to benefit from his/her peers, and for clients to receive the firm’s best thinking, rather than that of a single portfolio manager. Lazard manages all like investment mandates against a model portfolio. Specific client objectives, guidelines or limitations then are applied against the model, and any necessary adjustments are made.

Although the potential for conflicts of interest exists because Lazard and the portfolio managers manage other accounts with similar investment objectives and strategies as the International Fund (“Similar Accounts”), Lazard has procedures in place that are designed to ensure that all accounts are treated fairly and that the Fund is not disadvantaged, including procedures regarding trade allocations and “conflicting trades” (e.g., long and short positions in the same security, as described below). In addition, the Fund, as a registered investment company, is subject to different regulations than certain of the Similar Accounts, and, consequently, may not be permitted to engage in all the investment techniques or transactions, or to engage in such techniques or transactions to the same degree, as the Similar Accounts.

Potential conflicts of interest may arise because of Lazard’s management of the Fund and Similar Accounts. For example, conflicts of interest may arise with both the aggregation and allocation of securities transactions and the allocation of limited investment opportunities, as Lazard may be perceived as causing accounts it manages to participate in an offering to increase Lazard’s overall allocation of securities in that offering, or to increase Lazard’s ability to participate in future offerings by the same underwriter or issuer. Allocations of bunched trades, particularly trade orders that were only partially filled due to limited availability, and allocation of investment opportunities generally, could raise a potential conflict of interest, as Lazard may have an incentive to allocate securities that are expected to increase in value to preferred accounts. Initial public offerings, in particular, are frequently of very limited availability. Additionally, portfolio managers may be perceived to have a conflict of interest because of the large number of Similar Accounts, in addition to the Fund, that they are managing on behalf of Lazard. Although Lazard does not track each individual portfolio manager’s time dedicated to each account, Lazard periodically reviews each portfolio manager’s overall responsibilities to ensure that he or she is able to allocate the necessary time and resources to effectively manage the Fund. In addition, Lazard could be viewed as having a conflict of interest to the extent that Lazard and/or its portfolio managers have a materially larger investment in a Similar Account than their investment in the Fund.

A potential conflict of interest may be perceived to arise if transactions in one account closely follow related transactions in a different account, such as when a purchase increases the value of securities previously purchased by the other account, or when a sale in one account lowers the sale price received in a sale by a second account. Lazard manages hedge funds that are subject to performance/incentive fees. Certain hedge funds managed by Lazard may also be permitted to sell securities short. When Lazard engages in short sales of securities of the type in which the Fund invests, Lazard could be seen as harming the performance of the Fund for the benefit of the account engaging in short sales if the short sales cause the market value of the securities to fall. As described above, Lazard has procedures in place to address these conflicts. Portfolio managers and portfolio management teams are generally not permitted to manage long-only assets alongside long/short assets, although may from time to time manage both hedge funds and long-only accounts, including open-end and closed-end registered investment companies.

LITMAN GREGORY  
*Advisor to the Funds*

Litman Gregory has overall responsibility for assets under management and conducts oversight and evaluation of the Funds’ investment managers and other duties. Litman Gregory generally does not make day-to-day decisions with respect to the purchase and sale of portfolio securities by the Funds. Accordingly, no material conflicts of interest are expected to arise between the Funds and other accounts managed by the portfolio managers. Litman Gregory has adopted compliance policies, including allocation policies and a code of ethics, which are intended to prevent or mitigate conflicts of interest, if any, that may arise.

LOOMIS, SAYLES & COMPANY, L.P. (“Loomis Sayles”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

Conflicts of interest may arise in the allocation of investment opportunities and the allocation of aggregated orders among the Alternative Strategies Fund and other accounts managed by the portfolio managers. A portfolio manager potentially could give favorable treatment to some accounts for a variety of reasons, including favoring larger accounts, accounts that pay higher fees, accounts that pay performance-based fees, accounts of affiliated companies and accounts in which the portfolio manager has an interest. Such favorable treatment could lead to more favorable investment opportunities or allocations for some accounts. Loomis Sayles makes investment decisions for all accounts (including institutional accounts, mutual funds, hedge funds and affiliated accounts) based on each account’s availability of other comparable investment opportunities and Loomis Sayles’ desire to treat all accounts fairly and equitably over time. Loomis Sayles maintains trade allocation and aggregation policies and procedures to address these potential conflicts. Conflicts of interest also may arise to the extent a portfolio manager short sells a stock in one client account but holds that stock long in other accounts, including the Alternative Strategies Fund, or sells a stock for some accounts while buying the stock for others, and through the use of “soft dollar arrangements,” which are addressed in Loomis Sayles’ Brokerage Allocation Policies and Procedures and Loomis Sayles’ Trade Aggregation and Allocation Policies and Procedures.

NORTHERN CROSS, LLC (“Northern Cross”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

From time to time, potential conflicts of interest may arise between the portfolio managers’ management of the investments of the International Fund and the management of other client accounts. The other accounts might have similar investment objectives or strategies as the International Fund, track the same index the International Fund tracks or otherwise hold, purchase, or sell securities that are eligible to be held, purchased or sold by the International Fund. The other accounts might also have different investment objectives or strategies than the International Fund. Northern Cross has adopted policies and procedures reasonably designed to treat all accounts fairly and equitably and to address the potentially adverse effect of any conflicts of interest.

A potential conflict of interest may arise as a result of the portfolio managers’ day-to-day management of the International Fund. Because of the portfolio managers’ positions with the International Fund, each portfolio manager knows the size, timing and possible market impact of the International Fund’s trades. It is theoretically possible that a portfolio manager could use this information to the advantage of other accounts he manages and to the possible detriment of the International Fund. Northern Cross has designed and implemented policies and procedures intended to mitigate these conflicts and fairly allocate investments across all client accounts. Trades are blocked and executed at a common price. Allocations of the block trade are then made pro rata to all eligible accounts within the same strategy.

Portfolio managers with Northern Cross may purchase, hold, and sell securities, for their own benefit, that could be owned by or considered potential investment opportunities for Northern Cross’s clients. Personal securities trading by “supervised persons” creates a conflict of interest. Northern Cross has put in place policies and procedures to mitigate this conflict. Personal securities transactions are addressed in Northern Cross’s Code of Ethics and monitored regularly by Northern Cross’s Compliance Team. Controls applied to personal securities trading include pre-clearance of all personal trades in reportable securities and restrictions on securities owned by or being considered for clients of Northern Cross.

When evaluating broker-dealers, Northern Cross may not always select the broker-dealer with the lowest commission rate. The primary criteria considered in selecting a broker-dealer is the ability of the broker-dealer, in Northern Cross’s opinion, to secure execution at the best security price available with respect to each transaction, in light of the overall quality of brokerage and research services provided to Northern Cross on behalf of their clients.



A potential conflict of interest may arise as a result of a portfolio manager's management of a number of accounts with varying investment guidelines. Often, an investment opportunity may be suitable for both the International Fund and other accounts but may not be available in sufficient quantities for both the International Fund and the other accounts to participate fully. Similarly, there may be limited opportunity to sell an investment held by the International Fund and another account. Northern Cross has adopted policies and procedures designed to allocate investment opportunities on a fair and equitable basis over time.

NUANCE INVESTMENTS, LLC ("Nuance")  
*Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

Nuance's management of other accounts may give rise to potential conflicts of interest in connection with the management of the Equity Fund's investments, on the one hand, and the investments of the other accounts, on the other. The other accounts may have the same investment objective as the Equity Fund. Therefore, a potential conflict of interest may arise as a result of the identical investment objectives, whereby Nuance could favor one account over another. Another potential conflict could include Nuance's knowledge about the size, timing and possible market impact of Equity Fund trades, whereby Nuance could use this information to the advantage of other accounts and to the disadvantage of the Equity Fund. However, Nuance has established policies and procedures to ensure that the purchase and sale of securities among all accounts it manages are fairly and equitably allocated.

PICTET ASSET MANAGEMENT LIMITED ("Pictet")  
*Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Pictet is governed by The Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). The FCA is a financial regulatory body in the United Kingdom, but operates independently of the UK government, and is financed by charging fees to members of the financial services industry. Pictet is required under FCA rules (a) to take all reasonable steps to identify conflicts of interest between (i) Pictet (including its staff or any person directly, or indirectly linked to us by control) and a client, or (ii) one client and another; (b) maintain and operate effective organizational and administrative arrangements with a view to taking all reasonable steps to prevent conflicts of interest from constituting or giving rise to a material risk of damage to the interests of its clients; and (c) establish, implement and maintain an effective written conflicts of interest policy ("the Conflicts of Interest Policy") which identifies those conflicts of interest which constitute or may give rise to a conflict of interest entailing a material risk of damage to the interests of one or more clients and the procedures which are followed to manage such conflicts.

Pictet is also registered with the SEC which has similar requirements for the identification and management of conflicts of interest. This includes the requirement to make full and fair disclosure to clients of all material facts about the advisory relationship, particularly regarding conflicts of interest.

SANDS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC ("Sands Capital")  
*Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

Sands Capital is an investment adviser to a variety of clients. As a result, there may be actual or potential conflicts of interest. For example, conflicts of interest could result from portfolio managers' management of multiple accounts for multiple clients, the execution and allocation of investment opportunities, the use of brokerage commissions to obtain research and personal trading by employees. Sands Capital has addressed these conflicts by developing policies and procedures reasonably designed to treat all clients in a fair and equitable manner over time. These policies and procedures address such issues as execution of portfolio transactions, aggregation and allocation of trades, directed brokerage, and the use of brokerage commissions. Additionally, Sands Capital maintains a Code of Ethics and Insider Trading Policy and Procedures that address rules on personal trading and insider information.

SEGALL BRYANT & HAMILL, LLC (“SBH”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the Smaller Companies Fund*

Actual or apparent conflicts of interest may arise when a portfolio manager has day-to-day management responsibilities with respect to more than one fund or other account. Where conflicts of interest arise between the Smaller Companies Fund and other accounts managed by the portfolio managers, SBH will proceed in a manner that ensures that the Smaller Companies Fund will not be treated less favorably. There may be instances where similar portfolio transactions may be executed for the same security for numerous accounts managed by the portfolio managers. In such instances, securities will be allocated in accordance with SBH’s trade allocation policy.

THORNBURG INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, INC. (“Thornburg”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Most investment advisors and their portfolio managers manage investments for multiple clients, which may include mutual funds, private accounts and retirement plans. In any case where a portfolio manager manages the investments of two or more accounts, there is a possibility that conflicts of interest could arise between the portfolio manager’s management of the fund’s investments and the portfolio manager’s management of other accounts. These conflicts could include any of the following:

- Allocating a favorable investment opportunity to one account but not another;
- Directing one account to buy a security before purchases through other accounts increase the price of the security in the marketplace;
- Giving substantially inconsistent investment directions at the same time to similar accounts, so as to benefit one account over another; and
- Obtaining services from brokers conducting trades for one account, which are used to benefit another account.

As a sub-advisor to the International Fund, Thornburg has informed the International Fund that it has considered the likelihood that any material conflicts of interest could arise between a portfolio manager’s management of the International Fund’s investments and the portfolio manager’s management of other accounts. As of December 31, 2017, Thornburg has also informed the International Fund that it has not identified any such conflicts that may arise, and has concluded that it has implemented policies and procedures to identify and resolve any such conflict if it did arise.

WATER ISLAND CAPITAL, LLC (“Water Island”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

Water Island’s portfolio managers may face certain potential conflicts of interest in connection with their responsibility for managing accounts other than the Alternative Strategies Fund. Other accounts may include, without limitation: separately managed accounts, registered investment companies, unregistered investment companies such as pooled investment vehicles and hedge funds, and proprietary accounts. Management of multiple accounts can present certain conflicts of interest, including variation in compensation across accounts, conflicts that may arise from the purchase or sale of similar securities for more than one account, conflicts arising from transactions between accounts, conflicts arising from transactions involving ‘pilot’ funds, and conflicts arising from the selection of brokers and dealers to effect transactions for the Alternative Strategies Fund and other accounts. The compliance team of Water Island has implemented trading and allocation policies and oversight procedures in order to closely monitor and ensure equitable treatment of all accounts to address these conflicts.

**Variation in Compensation.** A potential conflict of interest related to variation in compensation may arise where the financial or other benefits available to the portfolio manager differ among the Alternative Strategies Fund and/or other accounts that they manage. A portfolio manager might be motivated to help certain funds and/or other accounts over others if the structure of the investment adviser’s management fee and/or the portfolio manager’s

compensation differs among the funds and/or accounts (such as where certain funds or accounts pay higher management fees or performance-based management fees), or if the portfolio manager or Water Island has a greater financial interest in one or more of the accounts. Similarly, the desire to maintain or raise assets under management or to enhance the portfolio manager's performance record or to derive other rewards, financial or otherwise, could influence the portfolio manager to lend preferential treatment to those funds and/or other accounts that could most significantly benefit the portfolio manager.

**Purchase or Sale of Securities for More Than One Account.** To address these and other potential conflicts of interest, Water Island has implemented policies and procedures designed to allocate securities among the various accounts it advises in a fair and equitable manner over time. In addition, Water Island has implemented processes for monitoring the effectiveness of these policies and procedures, including periodic reviews of allocations by its Compliance department so as to help ensure equitable treatment. Water Island has also adopted policies and procedures to address certain additional conflicts specifically, as further described below.

**Cross Trades.** "Cross trades," in which one account sells a particular security to another account (saving transaction costs for both accounts), may also pose a potential conflict of interest. Conflicts may arise if, for example, one account is permitted to sell a security to another account at a higher price than an independent third party would pay, or if such trades result in more attractive investments being allocated to higher-fee accounts. In an effort to address this potential conflict of interest, Water Island has adopted compliance procedures that, consistent with applicable law, include Rule 17a-7 under the 1940 Act, provide that any transactions between the Alternative Strategies Fund and any other advised accounts are to be made for cash without payment of any commission, spread, or other type of brokerage costs and at an independent current market price. Proposed cross trade must be reviewed and approved by Water Island's Compliance department prior to execution.

**Pilot Funds.** Water Island may from time to time establish "pilot" or "incubator" funds for the purpose of testing proposed investment strategies or products prior to accepting assets from outside investors. These pilot accounts may be in the form of registered investment companies, private funds such as partnerships, or separate accounts. Typically, Water Island or an affiliate supplies the funding for these accounts. Employees of Water Island, including the Fund's portfolio manager(s), may also invest in certain pilot accounts. Pilot funds and accounts may, and frequently do, invest in the same securities as the other accounts managed by Water Island. In an effort to address this potential conflict of interest, Water Island has adopted a policy to treat pilot accounts in the same manner as client accounts for purposes of trading allocation – neither favoring nor disfavoring them. For example, pilot accounts are normally included in the daily block trade aggregation procedures alongside client accounts as described above (except that pilot accounts do not participate in initial public offerings).

**Selection of Brokers/Dealers.** The Fund's portfolio manager(s) may be able to select or influence the selection of the broker/dealers that are used to execute securities transactions for the Alternative Strategies Fund. In addition to executing trades, some brokers and dealers provide Water Island with brokerage and research services (as those terms are defined in Section 28(e) of the 1934 Act), which may result in the payment of higher brokerage fees than might have otherwise be available. These services may be more beneficial to certain funds or accounts than to others. In order to be assured of continuing to receive services considered of value to its clients, Water Island has adopted a brokerage allocation policy embodying the concepts of Section 28(e) of the 1934 Act. A portfolio manager's decision as to the selection of brokers and dealers could yield disproportionate costs and benefits among the Alternative Strategies Fund and/or other accounts that they manage, although the payment of brokerage commissions is always subject to the requirement that Water Island determine in good faith that the commissions are reasonable in relation to the value of the brokerage and research services provided.

The Fund's portfolio managers may also face other potential conflicts of interest in the management of the Alternative Strategies Fund and other accounts, and the examples above are not intended to provide an exhaustive list or complete description of every conflict that may arise.

WELLS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC. (“WellsCap”)  
*Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund and the Smaller Companies Fund*

WellsCap’s portfolio managers often provide investment management for separate accounts advised in the same or similar investment style as that provided to mutual funds. While management of multiple accounts could potentially lead to conflicts of interest over various issues such as trade allocation, fee disparities and research acquisition, WellsCap has implemented policies and procedures for the express purpose of ensuring that clients are treated fairly and that potential conflicts of interest are minimized.

**Compensation Structure and Methods**

The following section describes the structure of, and the methods used to determine the different types of compensation (*e.g.*, salary, bonus, deferred compensation, retirement plans and arrangements) for each of the Funds’ portfolio managers as of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

COVE STREET  
*Sub-Advisor to the Smaller Companies Fund*

As a member of Cove Street, Jeffrey Bronchick receives a salary and his pro-rata share of Cove Street’s profits.

DAVIS ADVISORS  
*Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

Christopher C. Davis’ annual compensation as an employee and general partner of Davis Advisors consists of a base salary.

Danton Goei’s compensation for services provided to the Advisor consists of: (i) a base salary; (ii) an annual discretionary bonus; (iii) awards of equity (“Units”) in Davis Advisors including Units and/or phantom Units; and (iv) an incentive plan whereby Davis Advisors purchases shares in selected mutual funds managed by Davis Advisors. At the end of specified periods, generally five-years following the date of purchase, some, all, or none of the Fund shares will be registered in the employee’s name based on Fund performance, after expenses on a pre-tax basis, versus the Fund’s benchmark index, as described in the Fund’s prospectus or, in limited cases, based on performance ranking among established peer groups. Davis Advisors does not purchase incentive shares in every fund that a portfolio manager manages or assists on. In limited cases, such incentive compensation is tied on a memorandum basis to the performance of the portion of the Fund (“sleeve”) managed by the analyst versus the Fund’s benchmark. Davis Advisors’ portfolio managers are provided benefits packages including life insurance, health insurance, and participation in Davis Advisors’ 401(k) plan comparable to that received by other company employees.

DCI  
*Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

DCI’s compensation structure is designed to attract and retain the highest quality professionals. The management team feels strongly that all executives should share in DCI’s long-term incentive mechanisms. This belief has resulted in a very strong rate of retention at the senior level. As a result, all executives are highly motivated to maximize DCI’s long-term value through superior investment performance and strong client relationships. Compensation comprises an industry competitive salary and an annual discretionary bonus based on corporate and individual performance.

For DCI’s most senior employees, compensation also includes a share in DCI’s overall profits, as well as long-term equity (which in some cases vests over time). No employee compensation is ever based on the performance of a specific strategy or portfolio.

## DOUBLELINE

### *Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

The overall objective of the compensation program for portfolio managers is for the Sub-Advisor to attract competent and expert investment professionals and to retain them over the long-term. Compensation is comprised of several components which, in the aggregate are designed to achieve these objectives and to reward the portfolio managers for their contribution to the success of their clients and the Sub-Advisor. Portfolio managers are generally compensated through a combination of base salary, discretionary bonus and equity participation in the Sub-Advisor. Bonuses and equity generally represent most of the portfolio managers' compensation. However, in some cases, portfolio managers may have a profit sharing interest in the revenue or income related to the areas for which the portfolio managers are responsible. Such profit sharing arrangements can comprise a significant portion of a portfolio manager's overall compensation.

*Salary.* Salary is agreed to with managers at time of employment and is reviewed from time to time. It does not change significantly and often does not constitute a significant part of a portfolio manager's compensation.

*Discretionary Bonus/Guaranteed Minimums.* Portfolio managers receive discretionary bonuses. However, in some cases, pursuant to contractual arrangements, some portfolio managers may be entitled to a mandatory minimum bonus if the sum of their salary and profit sharing does not reach certain levels.

*Equity Incentives.* Portfolio managers participate in equity incentives based on overall firm performance of the Sub-Advisor, through direct ownership interests in the Sub-Advisor or participation in stock option or stock appreciation plans of the Sub-Advisor. These ownership interests or participation interests provide eligible portfolio managers the opportunity to participate in the financial performance of the Sub-Advisor as a whole. Participation is generally determined in the discretion of the Sub-Advisor, taking into account factors relevant to the portfolio manager's contribution to the success of the Sub-Advisor.

*Other Plans and Compensation Vehicles.* Portfolio managers may elect to participate in the DoubleLine 401(k) plan, to which they may contribute a portion of their pre- and post-tax compensation to the plan for investment on a tax-deferred basis. The Sub-Advisor may also choose, from time to time, to offer certain other compensation plans and vehicles, such as a deferred compensation plan, to portfolio managers.

*Summary.* As described above, an investment professional's total compensation is determined through a subjective process that evaluates numerous quantitative and qualitative factors, including the contribution made to the overall investment process. Not all factors apply to each investment professional and there is no particular weighting or formula for considering certain factors. Among the factors considered are: relative investment performance of portfolios (although there are no specific benchmarks or periods of time used in measuring performance); complexity of investment strategies; participation in the investment team's dialogue; contribution to business results and overall business strategy; success of marketing/business development efforts and client servicing; seniority/length of service with the firm; management and supervisory responsibilities; and fulfillment of each of the Sub-Advisor's leadership criteria.

## EVERMORE

### *Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Because Mr. Marcus may manage other accounts, including accounts that may pay higher fees or accounts that may pay performance-based fees, potential conflicts of interest could exist, including potential conflicts between the investment strategy of the International Fund and the investment strategy of the other accounts managed by the portfolio manager and potential conflicts in the allocation of investment opportunities between the International Fund and the other accounts.

Mr. Marcus is a member of Evermore. His compensation consists of a fixed based salary and a membership interest in the firm's profits. Mr. Marcus may also participate in Evermore's matching 401(k) retirement plan. He may receive bonuses based on the performance of his duties and his contribution to Evermore. The compensation

program does not disproportionately reward outperformance by higher fee/performance fee products. Evermore's profits interest is the primary incentive for persons to maintain employment with Evermore. Evermore believes this is the best incentive to maintain stability of portfolio management personnel.

FMI  
*Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

*Patrick J. English.* Mr. English's salary is based upon revenues of FMI. The type of account and source of the revenues has no bearing upon the salary except insofar as they affect the revenues of the company.

*Jonathan T. Bloom.* Mr. Bloom's salary and bonus are based upon the revenues of FMI. The type of account has no bearing upon the salary and bonus except insofar as they affect the revenues of the company.

FIRST PACIFIC  
*Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

Compensation of the portfolio managers consists of: (i) a base salary; (ii) an annual bonus; and (iii) since the portfolio managers are equity owners of the firm, participation in residual profits of the firm.

The bonus calculation has both variable and fixed components and is primarily based on the revenues received on the assets managed by the portfolio managers, including the relevant fund's assets. The most significant portion of the variable component is based upon the firm's assessment of the portfolio managers' performance in three key areas: long-term performance, team building, and succession planning. The firm assesses long-term performance over a full market cycle, which generally lasts between five and ten years. Other considerations include portfolio manager and strategy recognition, client engagement and retention, and business development. The portfolio managers can receive 100% of their variable participation even if the strategy is closed to investors. In addition, the value of a portfolio manager's equity ownership interest in the firm is dependent upon his ability to effectively manage the business over the long term, which includes the three main components discussed above: long-term performance, team-building and succession planning.

First Pacific believes this compensation structure aligns the interests of the portfolio managers with those of investors by reducing conflicts such as disparate compensation structures, establishing appropriate fee rates for accounts in the strategy and keeping the portfolio managers incentivized in areas such as long-term performance, team building and succession.

If the Portfolio Manager is an equity owner of the firm, then the value of the Portfolio Manager's ownership interest is dependent upon his ability to effectively manage the business over the long term.

Analysts receive a base compensation and a discretionary bonus, which is determined by the leaders of the product/strategy in which they participate, with oversight from the management committee.

HARRIS  
*Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund and the International Fund*

*Compensation*

Harris receives fees based on the assets under management of the Equity Fund and International Fund, respectively, as set forth in the Investment Sub-Advisory Agreements between Harris and Litman Gregory.

Harris is solely responsible for compensating its portfolio managers. Compensation for each of its portfolio managers is based on Harris' assessment of the individual's long-term contribution to the investment success of the firm. Each portfolio manager receives a base salary and participates in a discretionary bonus pool. In addition, most

of the portfolio managers also participate in a long-term compensation plan that provides current compensation to certain key employees of Harris and deferred compensation to both current and former key employees. The compensation plan consists of bonus units awarded to participants that vest and are paid out over a period of time.

The determination of the amount of such portfolio manager's base salary and discretionary bonus participation and, where applicable, participation in the long-term compensation plan is based on a variety of qualitative and quantitative factors. The factor given the most significant weight is the subjective assessment of the individual's contribution to the overall investment results of Harris' domestic or international investment group, whether as a portfolio manager, a research analyst or both.

The quantitative factors considered in evaluating the contribution of a portfolio manager include the performance of the portfolios managed by that individual relative to benchmarks, peers and other portfolio managers, as well as the assets under management in the accounts managed by the portfolio manager. The portfolio managers' compensation is not based solely on an evaluation of the performance of the accounts or the amount of assets under management. Performance is measured in a number of ways, including by funds, accounts and by strategy, and is compared to one or more of the following benchmarks: S&P 500<sup>®</sup> Index, Russell Mid-Cap<sup>®</sup> Value Index, Russell 1000<sup>®</sup> Value Index, Lipper Balanced Funds Index (60% S&P 500<sup>®</sup> Index and 40% Barclays Bond Index), MSCI World Index, MSCI World ex U.S. Index, MSCI World ex-U.S. Small Cap Index and Harris' approved lists of stocks, depending on whether the portfolio manager manages accounts in the particular strategy to which these benchmarks would be applicable. Performance is measured over shorter- and longer-term periods, including one year, three years, five years, ten years, since a fund's inception or since a portfolio manager has been managing a fund, as applicable. Performance is measured on a pre-tax and after-tax basis to the extent such information is available.

If a portfolio manager also serves as a research analyst, then his compensation is also based on the contribution made to Harris in that role. The specific quantitative and qualitative factors considered in evaluating a research analyst's contributions include, among other things, new investment ideas, the performance of investment ideas covered by the analyst during the current year as well as over longer-term periods, the portfolio impact of the analyst's investment ideas, other contributions to the research process, and an assessment of the quality of analytical work. If a portfolio manager also serves as a research analyst, then such manager may participate in a long-term compensation plan that may provide future compensation upon vesting after a multi-year period. The plan consists of an award based on a quantitative evaluation of the performance of the investment ideas covered by the analyst over the same multi-year period. In addition, an individual's other contributions to Harris, such as a role in investment thought leadership and management, are taken into account in the overall compensation process.

#### LAZARD

##### *Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Lazard compensates key investment personnel by a competitive salary and bonus structure, which is determined both quantitatively and qualitatively.

Salary and bonus are paid in cash, stock and restricted interests in funds managed by Lazard or its affiliates. Portfolio managers are compensated on the performance of the aggregate group of portfolios managed by them rather than for a specific fund or account. Various factors are considered in the determination of a portfolio manager's compensation. All of the portfolios managed by a portfolio manager are comprehensively evaluated to determine his or her positive and consistent performance contribution over time. Further factors include the amount of assets in the portfolios as well as qualitative aspects that reinforce Lazard's investment philosophy.

Total compensation is generally not fixed, but rather is based on the following factors: (i) leadership, teamwork and commitment; (ii) maintenance of current knowledge and opinions on companies owned in the portfolio; (iii) generation and development of new investment ideas, including the quality of security analysis and identification of appreciation catalysts; (iv) ability and willingness to develop and share ideas on a team basis; and (v) the performance results of the portfolios managed by the investment teams of which the portfolio manager is a member.

A variable bonus is based on the portfolio manager's quantitative performance as measured by his or her ability to make investment decisions that contribute to the pre-tax absolute and relative returns of the accounts managed by the teams of which the portfolio manager is a member, by comparison of each account to a predetermined benchmark (as set forth in the prospectus or other governing document) over the current fiscal year and the longer-term performance of such account, as well as performance of the account relative to peers. In addition, the portfolio manager's bonus can be influenced by subjective measurement of the manager's ability to help others make investment decisions. A portion of a portfolio manager's variable bonus is awarded under a deferred compensation arrangement pursuant to which the portfolio manager may allocate certain amounts awarded among certain accounts in shares that vest in two to three years. Certain portfolio managers' bonus compensation may be tied to a fixed percentage of revenues or assets generated by the accounts managed by such portfolio management teams.

LITMAN GREGORY  
*Advisor to the Funds*

Litman Gregory's portfolio managers are compensated based on a fixed salary and a distribution of Litman Gregory's profits commensurate with the portfolio managers' respective ownership percentages in the parent company of the Advisor.

LOOMIS SAYLES  
*Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

Loomis Sayles believes that portfolio manager compensation should be driven primarily by the delivery of consistent and superior long-term performance for its clients. Portfolio manager compensation is made up primarily of three main components: base salary, variable compensation and a long-term incentive program. Although portfolio manager compensation is not directly tied to assets under management, a portfolio manager's base salary and/or variable compensation potential may reflect the amount of assets for which the manager is responsible relative to other portfolio managers. Loomis Sayles also offers a profit sharing plan.

Base salary is a fixed amount based on a combination of factors, including industry experience, firm experience, job performance and market considerations.

Variable compensation is an incentive-based component and generally represents a significant multiple of base salary. Variable compensation is based on four factors: investment performance, profit growth of the firm, profit growth of the manager's business unit and personal conduct. Investment performance is the primary component of total variable compensation and generally represents at least 60% of the total for fixed income managers. The other three factors are used to determine the remainder of variable compensation, subject to the discretion of the Chief Investment Officer and senior management. The Chief Investment Officer and senior management evaluate these other factors annually.

While mutual fund performance and asset size do not directly contribute to the compensation calculation, investment performance for fixed income managers is measured by comparing the performance of Loomis Sayles' institutional composite (pre-tax and net of fees) in the manager's style to the performance of an external benchmark and a customized peer group. The benchmark used for the investment style utilized for the Alternative Strategies Fund is the 3-Month LIBOR. The customized peer group is created by Loomis Sayles and is made up of institutional managers in the particular investment style. A portfolio manager's relative performance for the past five years, or seven years for some products, is used to calculate the amount of variable compensation payable due to performance. To ensure consistency, Loomis Sayles analyzes the five- or seven-year performance on a rolling three-year basis. If a manager is responsible for more than one product, the rankings of each product are weighted based on relative revenue size of accounts represented in each product.



Loomis Sayles uses both an external benchmark and a customized peer group as a point of comparison for fixed income manager performance because Loomis Sayles believes they represent an appropriate combination of the competitive fixed-income product universe and the investment styles offered by Loomis Sayles.

In addition to the compensation described above, portfolio managers may receive additional compensation based on the overall growth of their strategies.

### *General*

Most mutual funds do not directly contribute to a portfolio manager's overall compensation because Loomis Sayles uses the performance of the portfolio manager's institutional accounts compared to an institutional peer group. However, each fund managed by Loomis Sayles employs strategies endorsed by Loomis Sayles and fits into the product category for the relevant investment style. Loomis Sayles may adjust compensation if there is significant dispersion among the returns of the composite and accounts not included in the composite.

Loomis Sayles has developed and implemented two distinct long-term incentive plans to attract and retain investment talent. These plans supplement existing compensation. The first plan has several important components distinguishing it from traditional equity ownership plans:

- The plan grants units that entitle participants to an annual payment based on a percentage of company earnings above an established threshold;
- Upon retirement a participant will receive a multi-year payout for his or her vested units;
- Participation is contingent upon signing an award agreement, which includes a non-compete covenant.

The second plan is similarly constructed although the participant's annual participation in company earnings is deferred for two years from the time of award and is only payable if the portfolio manager remains at Loomis Sayles. In this plan, there are no post-retirement payments or non-compete covenants.

Senior management expects that the variable compensation portion of overall compensation will continue to remain the largest source of income for those investment professionals included in the plan. The plan was initially offered to portfolio managers and over time, the scope of eligibility widened to include other key investment professionals. Management has full discretion over what units are issued and to whom.

Portfolio managers also participate in the Loomis Sayles profit sharing plan, in which Loomis Sayles makes a contribution to the retirement plan of each employee based on a percentage of base salary (up to a maximum amount). The portfolio managers may also participate in the Loomis Sayles defined benefit pension plan, which applies to all Loomis Sayles employees who joined the firm prior to May 3, 2003. The defined benefit is based on years of service and base compensation (up to a maximum amount).

### NORTHERN CROSS *Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Northern Cross is owned 100% by the six principals, Howard Appleby, Jean-Francois Ducrest, James LaTorre, Scott Babka, Adam Feldman and David Lerner. The compensation of the portfolio managers, Messrs. Appleby, Ducrest and LaTorre, consists of a share in the firm's overall profits.

### NUANCE *Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

The Nuance team is compensated in three ways: salary, bonus and profit sharing. The profit sharing component of the compensation is motivation to stay loyal to the firm and participate in its growth.

Scott Moore, President, Chief Investment Officer, and portfolio manager, owns 36.48% of Nuance. As such, his performance is tied to the profits of the firm. He firmly believes that the profits of the firm will coincide directly with the success of the investment products he manages with his team. The vast majority of his compensation has a direct correlation with the success of his clients and their experience as clients with Nuance.

#### PICTET

##### *Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Pictet's remuneration policy aligns individuals' pay with the interests of Pictet's clients and the long-term performance of the business.

Pictet's Managing Partners, as part of the responsibilities of the Partners' Committee, oversee all remuneration policies and provide independent oversight for remuneration decisions. The Partners' attention to a sound risk management approach protects investors, the Pictet Group, Pictet, and employees. Pictet's remuneration policy complies with regulatory requirements and external best practices.

An individual's total compensation typically comprises a fixed salary; a performance related bonus; Pictet Parts (linking pay to Group results); and, for key senior executives, Long-Term Incentive Plan Units (linking pay to the long-term growth and continued success of Pictet). The variable elements of pay create a direct link between pay and performance, aligning Pictet's staff's incentives with the best interests of Pictet's clients. The appropriate mix of different pay elements and deferrals ensures that an individual's compensation is appropriately stable over time and encourages responsible risk-taking and sustainable performance for Pictet's clients.

#### SANDS CAPITAL

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

Investment professionals benefit from a salary competitive in the industry, an annual qualitative bonus based on subjective review of the employee's overall contribution and a standard profit sharing plan and 401(k) plan. Additional incentives include equity participation. The investment professionals also participate in an investment results bonus. The investment results bonus is calculated from the pre-tax performance variance of the Sands Capital composite returns and their respective benchmarks over one-, three- and five-year periods, weighted towards the three- and five-year results.

#### SBH

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Smaller Companies Fund*

The portfolio managers receive a fixed base salary and a bonus based on a combination of individual and firm performance. Criteria considered in determining incentive compensation includes: firm revenues from both new and retained clients; firm profit; achieving portfolio goals such as incremental return to the benchmark and consistency of returns across client portfolios; and subjective criteria such as additional training, new investment models developed, and overall contribution to the success of the firm. The portfolio managers' compensation arrangements are not determined on the basis of specific funds or accounts managed.

#### THORNBURG

##### *Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

The compensation of the portfolio manager includes an annual salary, annual bonus and company-wide profit sharing. The portfolio manager also owns equity shares in Thornburg. Both the salary and bonus are reviewed approximately annually for comparability with salaries of other portfolio managers in the industry, using survey data obtained from compensation consultants. The annual bonus is subjective. Criteria that are considered in formulating the bonus, include, but are not limited to, the following: revenues available to pay compensation of the

portfolio manager and all other expenses related to supporting the accounts managed by the manager, multiple year historical total return of accounts managed by the portfolio manager, relative to market performance and similar investment companies; the degree of sensitivity of the portfolio manager to potential tax liabilities created for account holders in generating returns, relative to overall return. To the extent that the portfolio manager realizes benefits from capital appreciation and dividends paid to shareholders of Thornburg, such benefits accrue from the overall financial performance of Thornburg.

#### WATER ISLAND

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

Investment professionals are compensated with salary and a bonus based on individual performance, both relative and absolute fund performance, and profitability of Water Island. Profit sharing in Water Island may also be included as potential compensation. In addition, Water Island believes employee ownership and the opportunity for all employees to hold ownership interests in Water Island fosters teamwork and encourages longevity in tenure. Ownership shares may be issued to employees based on tenure, position, and contribution to Water Island. Water Island’s policies help ensure that the financial interests of its key investment personnel are aligned with its clients’ financial interests. Water Island also expends efforts to help ensure it attracts and retains key investment talent. Its goal is to focus its employees on long-term rather than short-term performance and to encourage employee retention.

#### WELLSCAP

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund and the Smaller Companies Fund*

The compensation structure for WellsCap’s portfolio managers includes a competitive fixed base salary plus variable incentives, payable annually and/or over longer term periods. Participating in third party investment management compensation surveys provides market-based compensation information to help support individual pay decisions. In addition to investment management compensations surveys, compensation consideration includes prior professional experience, tenure, seniority, and a portfolio manager’s team size, scope, and assets under management when determining their fixed base salary. Incentive bonuses are typically tied to relative, pre-tax investment performance of the Funds or other accounts under his or her management within acceptable risk parameters. Relative investment performance is generally evaluated for 1, 3, and 5 year performance results, with a predominant weighting on the 3- and 5-year time periods, versus the relevant benchmarks and/or peer groups consistent with the investment style. In the case of the Equity Fund and the Smaller Companies Fund, the benchmarks against which the performance of the Equity Fund’s and the Smaller Companies Fund’s portfolios may be compared for these purposes generally are indicated in the “Performance” sections of the prospectus of the Equity Fund and the Smaller Companies Fund. In addition, portfolio managers, who meet the eligibility requirements, may participate in Wells Fargo’s 401(k) plan that features a limited matching contribution. Eligibility for and participation in this plan is on the same basis for all employees.

### **Portfolio Manager Securities Ownership**

The table below identifies the dollar range of Fund shares beneficially owned by each portfolio manager of such Fund, as of December 31, 2017.

<b>Portfolio Manager/ Fund(s) Managed</b>	<b>Dollar Range of Securities Owned</b>
Howard Appleby/ International Fund	E
Benjamin (Ben) Beneche/ International Fund	A
Jonathan T. Bloom/ Equity Fund	A
Jeffrey Bronchick/ Smaller Companies Fund	A

<b>Portfolio Manager/ Fund(s) Managed</b>	<b>Dollar Range of Securities Owned</b>
Jack Chee/ Equity Fund	D
Smaller Companies Fund	A
Christopher C. Davis/ Equity Fund	A
Jeremy DeGroot/ Equity Fund	E
International Fund	E
Smaller Companies Fund	D
Alternative Strategies Fund	E
Mark T. Dickherber/ Smaller Companies Fund	A
Adam Dwinells/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
Roger Foltynowicz/ Alternative Strategies Fund	E
Jean-Francois Ducrest/ International Fund	D
Matthew Eagan/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
Patrick J. English/ Equity Fund	A
Danton Goei/ Equity Fund	A
Jeffrey Gundlach/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
Paul Harrison/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
David G. Herro/ International Fund	D
Rajat Jain/ Equity Fund	D
International Fund	C
Smaller Companies Fund	A
Stephen Kealhofer/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
Kevin Kearns/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
Mark Landecker/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
James LaTorre/ International Fund	A
Mark Little/ International Fund	A
Gregg Loprete/ Alternative Strategies Fund	E
David E. Marcus/ International Fund	A
Clyde S. McGregor/ Equity Fund	A

<b>Portfolio Manager/ Fund(s) Managed</b>	<b>Dollar Range of Securities Owned</b>
Scott Moore/ Equity Fund	A
Todd Munn/ Alternative Strategies Fund	D
Shaun P. Nicholson/ Smaller Companies Fund	A
William C. Nygren/ Equity Fund	A
John Orrico/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
Fabio Paolini/ International Fund	A
Steven Romick/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
Frank M. Sands/ Equity Fund	A
Brian Selmo/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
Jeffrey Sherman/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
A. Michael Sramek/ Equity Fund	A
Todd Vandam/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A
W. Vinson Walden/ International Fund	A
Richard T. Weiss/ Equity Fund	G
	G
Bin Zeng/ Alternative Strategies Fund	A

Key of Dollar Ranges for Table: A - None; B - \$1 to \$10,000; C - \$10,001 to \$50,000; D - \$50,001 to \$100,000; E - \$100,001 - \$500,000; F - \$500,001 - \$1,000,000; G - Over \$1,000,000.

## **PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES**

The Board has delegated the responsibility for voting proxies relating to portfolio securities held by the Funds to the Advisor as a part of the Advisor's general management of the Funds, subject to the Board's continuing oversight. The policy of the Trust is also to adopt the policies and procedures used by the Advisor to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities held by its clients.

The following information is a summary of the proxy voting policies and procedures of the Advisor and the Sub-Advisors.

LITMAN GREGORY  
*Advisor to the Funds*

It is the Advisor's policy to vote all proxies received by the Funds in a timely manner. In general, the Advisor will vote in accordance with its pre-determined voting guidelines (the "Guidelines"). However, the Advisor reserves the right to depart from any of the Guidelines and make a voting decision on a case-by-case basis. Although many proxy proposals will be covered by the Guidelines, the Advisor recognizes that some proposals require special

consideration, and the Advisor will make a decision on a case-by-case basis in these situations. Where such a case-by-case determination is required, the Advisor's proxy voting coordinator may, but is not required to, consult with other personnel of the Advisor to determine the appropriate action on the matter.

Unless otherwise instructed by the Funds, the Advisor may, and generally will, delegate the responsibility for voting proxies relating to the Funds' portfolio securities to one or more of the Sub-Advisors. To the extent such responsibility is delegated to a Sub-Advisor, the Sub-Advisor shall assume the fiduciary duty and reporting responsibilities of the Advisor. Unless otherwise instructed by the Funds or the Advisor, the Sub-Advisor shall apply its own proxy voting policies and procedures.

The Advisor's duty is to vote in the best interests of the Funds' shareholders. In situations where the Advisor determines that a proxy proposal raises a material conflict of interest between the interests of the Advisor, the Funds' principal underwriter, or an affiliated person of the Advisor or the principal underwriter and that of one or more Funds, the conflict shall be resolved by voting in accordance with a predetermined voting policy. However, to the extent that (1) no pre-determined voting policy applies to the specific proposal or (2) there is an applicable pre-determined voting policy, but the Advisor has discretion to deviate from such policy, the Advisor shall disclose the conflict to the Board and seek the Board's direction or consent to the proposed vote prior to voting on such proposal.

#### COVE STREET

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Smaller Companies Fund*

Cove Street will vote proxies on behalf of the Smaller Companies Fund in a manner that it believes is consistent with the best interests of the Smaller Companies Fund and its shareholders. Absent special circumstances, all proxies will be voted consistent with guidelines established and described in Cove Street's Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures. A summary of Cove Street's Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures is as follows:

- Cove Street generally votes against issues that seek to entrench a board and management of a company through anti-takeover measures, staggered board terms, super majority requirements and poison pill provisions;
- Cove Street is highly sensitive to any measures that potentially may dilute shareholder interests (*i.e.* , new issues or excessive management compensation through equity gifting);
- Cove Street will not vote shares in favor of social issues unless it believes it will advance shareholder value; and
- Cove Street generally votes in favor of measures that provide shareholders with greater ability to nominate directors, hold directors and management accountable for performance, and allow shareholders to directly vote on takeover proposals by third parties.

#### DAVIS ADVISORS

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

Davis Advisors votes on behalf of its clients in matters of corporate governance through the proxy voting process. Davis Advisors takes its ownership responsibilities very seriously and believes the right to vote proxies for its clients' holdings is a significant asset of the clients. Davis Advisors exercises its voting responsibilities as a fiduciary, solely with the goal of maximizing the value of its clients' investments.

Davis Advisors votes proxies with a focus on the investment implications of each issue. For each proxy vote, Davis Advisors takes into consideration its duty to clients and all other relevant facts known to Davis Advisors at the time of the vote. Therefore, while these guidelines provide a framework for voting, votes are ultimately cast on a case-by-case basis.

Davis Advisors has adopted written Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures and established a Proxy Oversight Group to oversee voting policies and deal with potential conflicts of interest. In evaluating issues, the Proxy Oversight Group may consider information from many sources, including the portfolio manager for each client account, management of a company presenting a proposal, shareholder groups, and independent proxy research services.

The most important factors that Davis Advisors considers in evaluating proxy issues are: (i) the company's or management's long-term track record of creating value for shareholders, with the recommendations of management with a good record of creating value for shareholders given more weight than those of managements with a poor record; (ii) whether, in Davis Advisors' estimation, the current proposal being considered will significantly enhance or detract from long-term value for existing shareholders; and (iii) whether a poor record of long-term performance resulted from poor management or from factors outside of managements control.

Other factors that Davis Advisors considers may include:

(a) *Shareholder oriented management.* One of the factors that Davis Advisors considers in selecting stocks for investment is the presence of shareholder-oriented management. In general, such managements will have a large ownership stake in the company. They also will have a record of taking actions and supporting policies designed to increase the value of the company's shares and thereby enhance shareholder wealth. Davis Advisors' research analysts are active in meeting with top management of portfolio companies and in discussing their views on policies or actions that could enhance shareholder value. Whether management shows evidence of responding to reasonable shareholder suggestions, and otherwise improving general corporate governance, is a factor that may be taken into consideration in proxy voting.

(b) *Allowing responsible management teams to run the business.* Because Davis Advisors generally tries to invest with "owner oriented" managements (see above), Davis Advisors votes with the recommendation of management on most routine matters, unless circumstances such as long-standing poor performance or a change from Davis Advisors' initial assessment indicate otherwise. Examples include the election of directors and ratification of auditors. Davis Advisors supports policies, plans and structures that give management teams appropriate latitude to run the business in the way that is most likely to maximize value for owners. Conversely, Davis Advisors opposes proposals that limit management's ability to do this. Davis Advisors will generally vote with management on shareholder social and environmental proposals on the basis that their impact on share value is difficult to judge and is therefore best done by management.

(c) *Preserve and expand the power of shareholders in areas of corporate governance.* Equity shareholders are owners of the business, and company boards and management teams are ultimately accountable to them. Davis Advisors supports policies, plans and structures that promote accountability of the board and management to owners, and align the interests of the board and management with owners. Examples include: annual election of all board members, cumulative voting, and incentive plans that are contingent on delivering value to shareholders. Davis Advisors generally opposes proposals that reduce accountability or misalign interests, including but not limited to classified boards, poison pills, excessive option plans, and repricing of options.

(d) *Support compensation policies that reward management teams appropriately for performance.* Davis Advisors believes that well-thought out incentives are critical to driving long-term shareholder value creation. Management incentives ought to be aligned with the goals of long-term owners. In Davis Advisors' view, the basic problem of skyrocketing executive compensation is not high pay for high performance, but high pay for mediocrity or worse. In situations where Davis Advisors feels that the compensation practices at companies it owns are not acceptable, Davis Advisors will exercise its discretion to vote against compensation committee members and specific compensation proposals.

Davis Advisors exercises its professional judgment in applying these principles to specific proxy votes. Davis Advisors' Proxy Procedures and Policies provide additional explanation of the analysis Davis Advisors may conduct when applying these guiding principles to specific proxy votes.

A potential conflict of interest arises when Davis Advisors has business interests that may not be consistent with the best interests of its client. Davis Advisors' Proxy Oversight Group is charged with resolving material potential conflicts of interest it becomes aware of. It is charged with resolving conflicts in a manner that is consistent with the best interests of clients. There are many acceptable methods of resolving potential conflicts, and the Proxy Oversight Group exercises its judgment and discretion to determine an appropriate means of resolving a potential conflict in any given situation including by the following means: (1) Votes consistent with the "General Proxy Voting Policies," are to be consistent with the best interests of clients; (2) Davis Advisors may disclose the conflict to the client and obtain the client's consent prior to voting the proxy; (3) Davis Advisors may obtain guidance from an independent third party; (4) the potential conflict may be immaterial; or (5) other reasonable means of resolving potential conflicts of interest to effectively insulate the decision on how to vote client proxies from the conflict.

#### DCI

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

DCI generally invests in fixed income securities that do not involve proxy votes. However, DCI has adopted a Proxy Voting Policy to govern situations under which DCI has responsibility for voting proxies for the Alternative Strategies Fund consistent with the best economic interests of the Alternative Strategies Fund. In the case of a proxy vote involving a security held by the Alternative Strategies Fund, it is DCI's policy to generally vote proxies in accordance with the recommendations set forth by the issuer's management. There may be times, however, when DCI determines that it is in the best interests of the Alternative Strategies Fund to vote against management's recommendation. In such circumstances, the Best Execution Committee or its designee will decide how to vote the proxy at issue. DCI reserves the right, on occasion, to abstain from voting a proxy or a specific proxy item when it concludes that the cost of voting the proxy outweighs the potential benefit or when DCI otherwise believes that voting does not serve the Alternative Strategies Fund's best interests. To the extent applicable, voting proxies in non-U.S. markets may give rise to a number of administrative issues that may prevent DCI from voting proxies for certain companies in these jurisdictions.

Were a conflict of interest to arise between DCI and the Alternative Strategies Fund regarding the outcome of a proxy vote, DCI is committed to resolving the conflict in the best interest of the Alternative Strategies Fund before it votes the proxy at issue. If the conflict is not resolvable, DCI may disclose the conflict to the Alternative Strategies Fund and obtain the Alternative Strategies Fund's consent before voting or seek the recommendation of a third party in deciding how to vote. DCI will maintain a record of proxy voting decisions.

#### DOUBLELINE

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

DoubleLine determines how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities pursuant to its written proxy voting policies and procedures, which have been adopted pursuant to Rule 206(4)-6 under the Advisers Act (the "DoubleLine Proxy Policy"). The DoubleLine Proxy Policy also applies to any voting rights and/or consent rights on behalf of the portfolio securities, with respect to debt securities, including but not limited to, plans of reorganization, and waivers and consents under applicable indentures.

The DoubleLine Proxy Policy is designed and implemented in a manner reasonably expected to ensure that voting and consent rights are exercised in the best interests of the funds managed by DoubleLine and their shareholders. Under the DoubleLine Proxy Policy, DoubleLine will review each proxy to determine whether there may be a material conflict between DoubleLine and the fund. If no conflict exists, DoubleLine will vote the proxy on a case-by-case basis in the best interest of each client under the circumstances, taking into account, but not necessarily being bound by, any recommendation made by any third party vendor that has been engaged by DoubleLine to provide recommendations on the voting of proxies.



If a material conflict does exist, DoubleLine will seek to resolve any such conflict in accordance with the DoubleLine Proxy Policy, which seeks to resolve such conflict in the relevant fund's best interest by pursuing any one of the following courses of action: (i) convening a committee to assess and resolve the conflict; (ii) voting in accordance with the recommendation of an independent third-party service provider; (iii) voting in accordance with the instructions of the relevant fund's board, or any committee thereof; or (iv) not voting the proxy. In voting proxies, including those in which a material conflict may be determined to exist, DoubleLine may also consider the factors and guidelines included in the DoubleLine Proxy Policy.

In certain limited circumstances, particularly in the area of structured finance, DoubleLine may enter into voting agreements or other contractual obligations that govern the voting of shares and, in such cases, will vote any proxy in accordance with such agreement or obligation.

In addition, where DoubleLine determines that there are unusual costs and/or difficulties associated with voting a proxy, which more typically might be the case with respect to proxies of non-U.S. issuers, DoubleLine reserves the right to not vote a proxy unless it determines that the potential benefits of voting the proxy exceed the expected cost to the relevant fund.

DoubleLine supervises and periodically reviews its proxy voting activities and implementation of the DoubleLine Proxy Policy.

EVERMORE  
*Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Evermore has adopted a set of proxy voting policies and procedures (the "Policies") to ensure that Evermore votes proxies relating to equity securities in the best interest of clients.

In voting proxies, Evermore is guided by general fiduciary principles and seeks to act prudently and solely in the best interest of clients. Evermore attempts to consider all factors that could affect the value of the investment and will vote proxies in the manner that it believes will be consistent with efforts to maximize shareholder values. Evermore may utilize an external service provider to provide it with information and/or a recommendation with regard to proxy votes. However, such recommendations do not relieve Evermore of its responsibility for the proxy vote.

In the case of a proxy issue for which there is a stated position in the Policies, Evermore generally votes in accordance with such stated position. In the case of a proxy issue for which there is a list of factors set forth in the Policies that Evermore considers in voting on such issue, Evermore votes on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the general principles set forth above and considering such enumerated factors. In the case of a proxy issue for which there is no stated position or list of factors that Evermore considers in voting on such issue, Evermore votes on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the general principles set forth above. Issues for which there is a stated position set forth in the Policies or for which there is a list of factors set forth in the Policies that Evermore considers in voting on such issues fall into a variety of categories, including election of trustees, ratification of auditors, proxy and tender offer defenses, capital structure issues, executive and trustee compensation, mergers and corporate restructurings, and social and environmental issues. The stated position on an issue set forth in the Policies can always be superseded, subject to the duty to act solely in the best interest of the beneficial owners of accounts, by the investment management professionals responsible for the account whose shares are being voted. Issues applicable to a particular industry may cause Evermore to abandon a policy that would have otherwise applied to issuers generally. Evermore's policy is to vote all proxies from a specific issuer in the same way for each client absent qualifying restrictions from the client.

In furtherance of Evermore's goal to vote proxies in the best interest of clients, Evermore follows procedures designed to identify and address material conflicts that may arise between Evermore's interests and those of its clients before voting proxies on behalf of such clients. To seek to identify conflicts of interest, Evermore reviews its relationship with the issuer of each security to determine if Evermore or any of its employees has any financial, business or personal relationship with the issuer. Evermore is also sensitive to the fact that a significant, publicized relationship between an issuer and a non-affiliate might appear to the public to influence the manner in which Evermore decides to vote a proxy with respect to such issuer.

Evermore's CCO reviews and addresses conflicts of interest brought to his or her attention. A proxy issue that will be voted in accordance with a stated position on an issue is not brought to the attention of the CCO for a conflict of interest review because Evermore's position is that to the extent a conflict of interest issue exists, it is resolved by voting in accordance with a pre-determined policy. With respect to a conflict of interest brought to its attention, the CCO first determines whether such conflict of interest is material. A conflict of interest is considered material to the extent that it is determined that such conflict is likely to influence, or appear to influence, Evermore's decision-making in voting proxies.

If it is determined by the CCO that a conflict of interest is not material, Evermore may vote proxies notwithstanding the existence of the conflict. If it is determined by the CCO that a conflict of interest is material, the CCO is responsible for determining an appropriate method to resolve such conflict of interest before the proxy affected by the conflict of interest is voted. Such determination is based on the particular facts and circumstances, including the importance of the proxy issue and the nature of the conflict of interest. Methods of resolving a material conflict of interest may include, but are not limited to, disclosing the conflict to clients and obtaining their consent before voting, or suggesting to clients that they engage another party to vote the proxy on their behalf.

### ***Evermore's Proxy Voting Policies and Principles***

Evermore's proxy voting positions have been developed based on years of experience with proxy voting and corporate governance issues. These principles have been reviewed by various members of Evermore's organization, including the investment team, chief operating officer, chief financial officer, chief compliance officer, operations personnel, and outside legal counsel. The Board of Trustees of the Trust will approve the proxy voting policies and procedures annually.

The following guidelines reflect what Evermore believes to be good corporate governance and behavior:

**Board of Directors.** The election of directors and an independent board are key to good corporate governance. Directors are expected to be competent individuals and they should be accountable and responsive to shareholders. Evermore supports an independent board of directors, and prefers that key committees such as audit, nominating, and compensation committees be comprised of independent directors. Evermore will generally vote against management efforts to classify a board and will generally support proposals to declassify the board of directors. Evermore will consider withholding votes from directors who have attended less than 75% of meetings without a valid reason. While generally in favor of separating Chairman and CEO positions, Evermore will review this issue on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration other factors including the company's corporate governance guidelines and performance. Evermore evaluates proposals to restore or provide for cumulative voting on a case-by-case basis and considers such factors as corporate governance provisions as well as relative performance. Evermore generally will support non-binding shareholder proposals to require a majority vote standard for the election of directors; however, if these proposals are binding, Evermore will give careful review on a case-by-case basis of the potential ramifications of such implementation.

**Ratification of Auditors.** Evermore will closely scrutinize the independence, role, and performance of auditors. On a case-by-case basis, Evermore will examine proposals relating to non-audit relationships and non-audit fees. Evermore will also consider, on a case-by-case basis, proposals to rotate auditors, and will vote against the ratification of auditors when there is clear and compelling evidence of a lack of independence, accounting irregularities or negligence attributable to the auditors.

**Management & Director Compensation.** A company's equity-based compensation plan should be in alignment with the shareholders' long-term interests. Evermore believes that executive compensation should be directly linked to the performance of the company. Evermore evaluates plans on a case-by-case basis by considering several factors to determine whether the plan is fair and reasonable. Evermore will generally oppose plans that have the potential to be excessively dilutive, and will almost always oppose plans that are structured to allow the repricing of underwater options, or plans that have an automatic share replenishment "evergreen" feature. Evermore will generally support employee stock option plans in which the purchase price is at least 85% of fair market value, and when potential dilution is 10% or less. Severance compensation arrangements will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis, although Evermore will generally oppose "golden parachutes" that are considered excessive. Evermore will normally support proposals that require that a percentage of directors' compensation be in the form of common stock, as it aligns their interests with those of the shareholders. Evermore will review non-binding say-on-pay proposals on a case-by-case basis, and will generally vote in favor of such proposals unless compensation is misaligned with performance and/or shareholders' interests, the company has not provided reasonably clear disclosure regarding its compensation practices, or there are concerns with the company's remuneration practices.

**Anti-Takeover Mechanisms and Related Issues.** Evermore generally opposes anti-takeover measures since they tend to reduce shareholder rights. However, as with all proxy issues, Evermore conducts an independent review of each anti-takeover proposal. On occasion, Evermore may vote with management when the investment team has concluded that the proposals are not onerous and would not harm Advisory Clients' interests as stockholders. Evermore generally supports proposals that require shareholder rights plans ("poison pills") to be subject to a shareholder vote. Evermore will closely evaluate shareholder rights plans on a case-by-case basis to determine whether or not they warrant support. Evermore will generally vote against any proposal to issue stock that has unequal or subordinate voting rights. In addition, Evermore generally opposes any supermajority voting requirements as well as the payment of "greenmail." Evermore usually supports "fair price" provisions and confidential voting.

**Changes to Capital Structure.** Evermore realizes that a company's financing decisions have a significant impact on its shareholders, particularly when they involve the issuance of additional shares of common or preferred stock or the assumption of additional debt. Evermore will carefully review, on a case-by-case basis, proposals by companies to increase authorized shares and the purpose for the increase. Evermore will generally not vote in favor of dual-class capital structures to increase the number of authorized shares where that class of stock would have superior voting rights. Evermore will generally vote in favor of the issuance of preferred stock in cases where the company specifies the voting, dividend, conversion and other rights of such stock and the terms of the preferred stock issuance are deemed reasonable. Evermore will review proposals seeking preemptive rights on a case-by-case basis.

**Mergers and Corporate Restructuring.** Mergers and acquisitions will be subject to careful review by Evermore to determine whether they would be beneficial to shareholders. Evermore will analyze various economic and strategic factors in making the final decision on a merger or acquisition. Corporate restructuring proposals are also subject to a thorough examination on a case-by-case basis.

**Environmental, Social and Governance Issues.** As a fiduciary, Evermore is primarily concerned about the financial interests of its advisory clients. Evermore will generally give management discretion with regard to social, environmental and ethical issues although Evermore may vote in favor of those issues that are believed to have significant economic benefits or implications. Evermore generally supports the right of shareholders to call special meetings and act by written consent. However, Evermore will review such shareholder proposals on a case-by-case basis in an effort to ensure that such proposals do not disrupt the course of business or waste company resources for the benefit of a small minority of shareholders.

**Global Corporate Governance.** Evermore manages investments in countries worldwide. Many of the tenets discussed above are applied to Evermore's proxy voting decisions for international investments. However, Evermore must be flexible in these worldwide markets. Principles of good corporate governance may vary by country, given the constraints of a country's laws and acceptable practices in the markets. As a result, it is on occasion difficult to apply a consistent set of governance practices to all issuers. As experienced money managers, Evermore's analysts are skilled in understanding the complexities of the regions in which they specialize and are trained to analyze proxy issues germane to their regions.

## ***Proxy Voting Procedures***

Evermore relies upon the clients' custodians to notify Evermore of any upcoming proxy events. When proxy materials are received by Evermore's operations personnel, the materials are provided to one or more members of Evermore's Investment Team who review the materials and determine the vote on each proposal contained within the proxy material. The proxy votes are then provided to Evermore's operations personnel who will transmit the vote electronically by (1) fax to the custodian; (2) email to the custodian; or (3) electronically through a third-party Proxy Service Provider at the request of the custodian. All proxy votes submitted are tracked and recorded by Evermore's operations department.

### FMI *Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

## **Policies**

FMI will vote proxies in a manner that FMI feels best protects the interests of the common shareholder. FMI will look critically upon any issue or vote that will limit or reduce the prerogatives and/or influence of the common shareholders. To assist in its review of the proxies FMI receives, FMI may refer to the analyses and voting recommendations of an independent, third party proxy service provider (e.g., Glass, Lewis & Co., LLC, Institutional Shareholder Services Inc., etc. (each, a "Proxy Service Provider")). While FMI may consider the analyses and recommendations provided by a Proxy Service Provider in making a final voting decision, FMI does not consider recommendations from a Proxy Service Provider to be determinative of its ultimate decision. Rather, FMI exercises its independent judgment in making voting decisions (except as discussed below).

The following statement of policies is couched in terms of FMI's general posture on various issues, recognizing that there are always exceptions.

## **Administrative Issues**

FMI will generally vote in favor of the re-election of directors and the appointment of actuaries, auditors, and similar professionals. FMI will also vote in favor of programs of indemnification of directors, which are consistent with common practice. The changing of auditors raises a yellow flag, and FMI tries to determine the reasons for any change. If the change results from a dispute between the company and the auditors, and FMI feels the auditor's position is correct, FMI will vote against making a change.

## **Management Entrenchment Issues**

FMI will generally vote against any proposal or policy that seeks to prevent the takeover of a company that is in receipt of a bona fide offer, whether friendly or otherwise. Such anti-takeover policies may include, but are not limited to, poison pill, super-majority voting, golden parachute arrangements, and staggered board arrangements, where that represents a change from a standard board. FMI will generally vote in favor of maintaining preemptive rights for shareholders, one share/one vote, and cumulative voting rights. Generally FMI will support proposals calling for majority vote for directors and separation of the Chairman and CEO roles.

FMI will tend to vote against creation of classes of stock with superior voting rights, which protect management's voting control despite reduced financial commitment of management to the company. FMI will evaluate proposals, such as changing state of incorporation, fiscal year, or corporate charter, in light of specific circumstances prompting the proposal, to determine whether the proposed change would reduce shareholders' rights.

## **Mergers and Acquisitions**

Voting on mergers, acquisitions, or spin-offs requires an evaluation of the impact of those transactions upon the company, and FMI will vote based upon its assessment of what is best for the company and therefore the shareholders. With respect to a proposed takeover of the company, FMI initially evaluates an offer for the company in terms of the fairness of the price. FMI does this in the context of a two- to three-year time horizon to avoid selling at a premium over a temporarily depressed stock price. FMI would generally vote in favor of offers that represent a fair price, paid either in cash or in exchange for liquid securities of strong acquiring firms. FMI will oppose offers which FMI feels represent an unfair price, and FMI will oppose offers where shareholders are asked to finance a takeover by taking back debt or preferred stock of questionable quality. FMI tends to be skeptical of management-led leveraged buyouts, as FMI feels it is very difficult for them to be objective as to the value of the company when they are the purchaser.

## **Management Incentives**

FMI strongly favors programs that encourage outright stock ownership as opposed to conventional option plans. In limited cases, when the options are earmarked for lower level employees and the absolute amount is modest, FMI will vote affirmatively. FMI generally votes against traditional stock option plans. Typical option plans result in a misalignment of management and shareholder interests, due to the asymmetrical risk profile of an option. Since there is no downside risk, management has an incentive to take excessive risk. In short, executives tend to cease thinking like true owners. FMI likes to see senior and executive level managers own stock in multiples of their annual salary.

Ideally FMI prefers to see bonuses and incentive awards paid in stock (with a vesting period), rather than cash or options. FMI looks for stock award plans to be based on tangible operating performance metrics, such as return-on-invested capital or profit margin.

Additionally, when FMI deems management as excessively compensated, FMI will likely vote against any kind of additional reward plan, even if the plan by itself looks reasonable.

## **Social Issues**

It is FMI's belief that socially responsible companies have, over time, provided superior investment returns for long-term investors. Fair hiring and inclusiveness with respect to women and minorities create a positive corporate culture that offers greater opportunities for growth for all employees, with concomitant rewards for shareholders of the company. A responsible corporate policy with respect to environmental issues is critical to all of us.

FMI's general posture with respect to social issues is to support management so long as they are complying with the spirit of the laws and regulations of the United States of America. Shareholder proposals must be considered on a case-by-case basis. The number of specific issues that FMI has seen raised on proxy votes with respect to social and labor issues are increasing. Since there is much "gray" and little "black and white" with respect to the level of corporate commitment to many of the social issues, and since FMI is generally supportive of the goals and policies of the companies that FMI owns, FMI would tend to vote in favor of management on these issues absent evidence that the company is abusing FMI's trust, or direction from FMI's clients to the contrary. If it is the desire of a client to provide input and direction on the voting of proxies with respect to certain issues, FMI would be more than happy to advise them when such issues arise and to defer to their wishes in voting on those issues.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

FMI strives to ensure that all conflicts of interest are resolved in the best interests of its clients. When there is an apparent conflict of interest, or the appearance of a conflict of interest (e.g., where FMI may receive fees from a company for advisory or other services at the same time that FMI has investments in the stock of that company), FMI will vote with management on those issues on which brokerage firms are allowed to vote without customer approval under NYSE rules (e.g., directors and auditors). In all other cases involving a conflict or appearance of a conflict, FMI will cause the proxies to be voted in accordance with the recommendations of a Proxy Service Provider.

## Procedures

FMI has the responsibility and authority to vote proxies with respect to the securities under its management unless the right to vote proxies is expressly reserved for the client, plan trustees or other plan fiduciary. FMI will advise the pension committee, board of trustees, custodian or client to forward all proxy materials to its offices and will take reasonable steps to ensure that they are received. FMI will review the issues to be voted upon and vote the proxies in accordance with the policies stated above, unless directed otherwise by the client. FMI will maintain and monitor all meeting, ballot, account and vote information, and make this information available to clients upon request.

In situations where securities held in a portfolio are not generally owned “across the board” in all client accounts with the same investment style (i.e., small holdings), FMI will vote those proxies based upon the management’s recommendations.

Proxies cannot be voted on any securities that have been loaned out by the client. Where securities have been loaned out and a vote is required regarding a material event, FMI will attempt to recall the loaned security in order to vote the proxy. This does not apply to “small holdings” as defined above. Please note that in certain circumstances, securities on loan may not be recalled due to circumstances beyond the control of FMI.

### FIRST PACIFIC

#### *Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

First Pacific has implemented Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures, which underscore First Pacific’s concern that all proxy voting decisions be made in the best interests of the funds it manages and that First Pacific act in a prudent and diligent manner intended to enhance the economic value of the assets of such funds. First Pacific has delegated to Institutional Shareholder Services (“ISS”), an independent service provider, the administration of proxy voting for the portfolio securities held in the accounts managed by First Pacific, including the Smaller Companies Fund and the Alternative Strategies Fund, subject to First Pacific’s continuing oversight. ISS, a Delaware corporation, provides proxy voting services to many investment advisers on a global basis. Certain of First Pacific’s proxy voting guidelines include the following: First Pacific votes for uncontested director nominees recommended by management. First Pacific votes against a management proposal to adopt a poison pill and votes for a management proposal to redeem a poison pill or limit the payment of greenmail. First Pacific votes against a management proposal to eliminate or limit shareholders’ rights to call a special meeting. Although many proxy proposals can be voted in accordance with First Pacific’s proxy voting guidelines, some proposals will require special consideration, and First Pacific will make a decision on a case-by-case basis in these situations.

Where a proxy proposal raises a material conflict between First Pacific’s interests and a fund’s interests, First Pacific will resolve the conflict as follows: to the extent the matter is specifically covered by First Pacific’s proxy voting guidelines, the proxies generally will be voted in accordance with the guidelines. To the extent First Pacific is making a case-by-case determination under its proxy voting guidelines, First Pacific will disclose the conflict to the Board or the Advisor and obtain the Board’s or Advisor’s consent to vote or direct the matter to an independent third party, selected by the Board or the Advisor, for a vote determination. If the Board’s or the Advisor’s consent or the independent third party’s determination is not received in a timely manner, First Pacific will abstain from voting the proxy.

First Pacific, through ISS, shall attempt to process every vote for all domestic and foreign proxies that they receive; however, there may be cases in which First Pacific will not process a proxy because it is impractical to do so. For example, First Pacific generally will not seek to recall securities that are out on loan for the purpose of voting the securities unless it is in the best interests of the applicable managed account to do so.

## HARRIS

### *Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund and International Fund*

Harris as part of its management responsibilities is generally responsible for exercising voting rights with respect to client accounts in accordance with its Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures. Harris exercises voting rights solely with the goal of serving the best interests of its clients in their capacity as shareholders of a company. In determining the vote on any proposal, the Proxy Voting Committee will consider the proposal's expected impact on shareholder value and does not consider any benefit to Harris, its employees, its affiliates or any other person, other than benefits to the owners of the securities to be voted, as shareholders.

Harris considers the reputation, experience and competence of a company's management when it evaluates the merits of investing in a particular company, and invests in companies in which Harris believes management goals and shareholder goals are aligned. Therefore, on most issues, Harris casts votes in accordance with management's recommendations. However, when Harris believes that management's position on a particular issue is not in the best interests of its clients, Harris will vote contrary to management's recommendation.

Harris' Proxy Voting Committee has established a number of proxy voting guidelines on various issues of concern to investors. The Proxy Voting Committee normally votes proxies in accordance with those guidelines unless it determines that it is in the best economic interests of a client and its shareholders to vote contrary to the guidelines.

- With respect to a company's board of directors, Harris believes that there should be a majority of independent directors and that audit, compensation and nominating committees should consist solely of independent directors, and it usually will vote in favor of proposals that ensure such independence.
- With respect to auditors, Harris believes that the relationship between a public company and its auditors should be limited primarily to the audit engagement, and it usually will vote in favor of proposals to prohibit or limit fees paid to auditors for any services other than auditing and closely-related activities that do not raise any appearance of impaired independence.
- With respect to equity based compensation plans, Harris believes that appropriately designed plans approved by a company's shareholders can be an effective way to align the interests of long-term shareholders and the interests of management, employees and directors. However, Harris will normally vote against plans that substantially dilute its clients' ownership interest in the company or provide participants with excessive awards. Harris usually also will vote in favor of proposals to require the expensing of options, in favor of proposals for an annual shareholder advisory vote on executive compensation and in favor of advisory votes to ratify named executive officer compensation. Harris will normally vote against proposals that prohibit the automatic vesting of equity awards upon a change of control.
- With respect to corporate structure and shareholder rights, Harris believes that all shareholders of a company should have an equal voice and that barriers that limit the ability of shareholders to effect corporate change and to realize the full value of their investment are not desirable. Therefore, Harris usually will vote against proposals for supermajority voting rights, against the adoption of anti-takeover measures, and against proposals for different classes of stock with different voting rights.
- With respect to social responsibility issues, Harris believes that matters related to a company's day-to-day business operations are primarily the responsibility of management. Harris is focused on maximizing long-term shareholder value and usually will vote against shareholder proposals requesting that a company disclose or change certain business practices unless it believes the proposal would have a substantial positive economic impact on the company.

Harris may determine not to vote a proxy if it has concluded that the costs of or disadvantages resulting from voting outweigh the economic benefits of voting. For example, in some non-U.S. jurisdictions, the sale of securities voted may be prohibited for some period of time, usually between the record and meeting dates (“share blocking”), and Harris may determine that the loss of investment flexibility resulting from share blocking outweighs the benefit to be gained by voting.

The Proxy Voting Committee, in consultation with the Legal and Compliance Departments, will monitor and resolve any potential material conflicts of interest with respect to proxy voting. A conflict of interest might exist, for example, when an issuer who is soliciting proxy votes also has a client relationship with Harris, when a client of Harris is involved in a proxy contest (such as a corporate director), or when one of Harris’s employees has a personal interest in a proxy matter. When a conflict of interest arises, in order to ensure that proxies are voted solely in its clients’ collective best interest, Harris will vote in accordance with either its written guidelines or the recommendation of an independent voting service. If Harris believes that voting in accordance with the guidelines or the recommendation of the voting service would not be in the collective best interests of shareholders, the Proxy voting Conflicts Committee will determine how shares should be voted.

## LAZARD

*Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

### **Introduction**

Lazard is a global investment firm that provides investment management services for a variety of clients. As a registered investment advisor, Lazard has a fiduciary obligation to vote proxies in the best interests of its clients. Lazard’s Proxy Voting Policy has been developed with the goal of maximizing the long term shareholder value of its clients’ portfolios.

Lazard does not delegate voting authority to any proxy advisory service, but rather retains complete authority for voting all proxies delegated to it. Lazard’s policy is generally to vote all meetings and all proposals; and generally to vote all proxies for a given proposal the same way for all clients. Lazard also has defined policies and procedures to address and mitigate any actual or perceived conflicts of interest relating to its proxy voting.

### **Proxy Operations Department**

Lazard’s proxy voting process is administered by its Proxy Operations Department (“ProxyOps”) which reports to Lazard’s Chief Operations Officer. Oversight of the process is provided by the firm’s Legal & Compliance Department and the Proxy Committee.

### **Proxy Committee**

Lazard’s Proxy Committee comprises investment professionals, including portfolio managers and analysts, the General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer. In addition, several Lazard operations professionals serve as advisors to the Proxy Committee.

The Proxy Committee meets at least annually to review Lazard’s Proxy Voting Policy and to evaluate potential enhancements. Meetings may be convened more frequently (for example, to discuss a specific proxy voting proposal) as requested by the manager of ProxyOps or at the request of any member of the Proxy Committee.

### **Role of Third Parties**

Lazard currently subscribes to advisory and other proxy voting services provided by Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) and by Glass, Lewis & Co. (Glass Lewis). These proxy advisory services provide independent analysis and recommendations regarding various companies’ proxy proposals. While this research serves to help improve Lazard’s understanding of the issues surrounding a company’s proxy proposals, Lazard’s investment professionals are ultimately responsible for providing the vote recommendation for a given proposal. Voting for each agenda of each meeting is instructed specifically by Lazard in accordance with its Proxy Voting Policy; Lazard does not employ outside services to vote on its behalf.



ISS additionally serves as Lazard's proxy voting facilitator, and is responsible for processing of ballots received, dissemination of Lazard's vote instructions, and additionally provides Lazard's recordkeeping and reporting.

### **Voting Process**

Lazard votes on behalf of its clients according to "Approved Guidelines" issued by the Proxy Committee. The Approved Guidelines determine whether a specific agenda item should be voted 'For,' 'Against,' or is to be considered on a case-by case basis. ProxyOps confirms that all vote instructions are consistent with Lazard's approved voting guidelines. These guidelines are reviewed by the ProxyOps Manager and the Proxy Committee on an annual basis.

The investment professional provides the vote recommendation in accordance with the Approved Guidelines. Any exceptions to this, which are rare, require approval from the Proxy Committee. In this case, the investment professional must provide detailed rationale for their recommendation, and the Proxy Committee will then determine whether or not that vote recommendation is to be accepted and applied to the specific meeting's agenda.

Case-by-case agenda items are evaluated by Lazard investment professionals based on the specific facts relevant to an individual company. The Lazard investment professional formulates their vote recommendation based on their research of the company and their evaluation of the specific proposal. The investment professional will assess the relevant factors in conjunction with the analysis of the company's management and business performance. The investment professional may also engage with the company's executives or board members to improve Lazard's understanding of a proxy proposal and/or to provide Lazard's advice on how a company can enhance their corporate governance practices.

ProxyOps confirms that all vote instructions are in accordance with Lazard's Proxy Voting Policy and guidelines, and will then enter the vote instructions for inclusion in the meeting's tabulation. Lazard generally will treat proxy votes and voting intentions as confidential in the period before votes have been cast, and for appropriate time periods thereafter.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

ProxyOps monitors all proxy votes for potential conflicts of interest that could be viewed as influencing the outcome of Lazard's voting decision, such as:

- Lazard manages the company's pension plan;
- The shareholder proponent of a proposal is a Lazard client;
- A Lazard employee sits on a company's board of directors;
- Lazard serves as financial advisor or provides other investment banking services to the company; or
- A Lazard employee has a material relationship with the company.

"Conflict Meetings" are voted in accordance with the Approved Guidelines. In situations where the Approved Guideline is to vote case-by-case and a material conflict of interest appears to exist, Lazard's policy is to vote the proxy item according to the majority recommendation of the independent proxy services to which Lazard subscribes.

### **Voting Exceptions**

It is Lazard's intention to vote all proposals at every meeting. However, there are instances when voting is not practical or is not, in Lazard's view, in the best interests of its clients; shares held on loan and shares subject to liquidation impediment are two such circumstances where the benefit of voting can be significantly compromised.

## **Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance**

Lazard has an Environmental, Social and Corporate Governance (ESG) Policy, which outlines Lazard's approach to ESG and how its investment professionals take ESG issues into account as a part of the investment process. Lazard recognizes that ESG issues can affect the valuation of the companies that Lazard invests in on its clients' behalf. As a result, Lazard takes these factors into consideration when voting, and, consistent with its fiduciary duty, vote proposals in a way Lazard believes will increase shareholder value.

### LOOMIS SAYLES

#### *Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

Loomis Sayles uses the services of third parties ("Proxy Voting Services") to research and administer the vote on proxies for those accounts and funds for which Loomis Sayles has voting authority. One of Loomis Sayles' Proxy Voting Services provides vote recommendations and/or analysis to Loomis Sayles based on the Proxy Voting Services' own research. Loomis Sayles will generally follow its express policy with input from the Proxy Voting Service unless Loomis Sayles' Proxy Committee determines that the client's best interests are served by voting otherwise. All issues presented for shareholder vote will be considered under the oversight of the Proxy Committee. All nonroutine issues will be directly considered by the Proxy Committee and, when necessary, the equity analyst following the company and/or the portfolio manager of the fund holding the security, and will be voted in the best investment interests of the funds. All routine issues will be voted according to Loomis Sayles' policy approved by the Proxy Committee unless special factors require that they be considered by the Proxy Committee and, when necessary, the equity analyst following the company or the portfolio manager of the fund holding the security. Loomis Sayles' Proxy Committee has established these routine policies in what it believes are the best investment interests of Loomis Sayles' clients.

The specific responsibilities of the Proxy Committee include (1) the development, authorization, implementation and updating of the Loomis Sayles' Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures ("Procedures"), including an annual review of the Procedures, existing voting guidelines and the proxy voting process in general, (2) oversight of the proxy voting process including oversight of the vote on proposals according to the predetermined policies in the voting guidelines, directing the vote on proposals where there is reason not to vote according to the predetermined policies in the voting guidelines or where proposals require special consideration, and consultation with the portfolio managers and analysts for the fund holding the security when necessary or appropriate and, (3) engagement and oversight of third-party vendors, including Proxy Voting Services.

Loomis Sayles has established several policies to ensure that proxies are voted in its clients' best interest and are not affected by any possible conflicts of interest. First, except in certain limited instances, Loomis Sayles votes in accordance with its pre-determined policies set forth in the Procedures. Second, where these Procedures allow for discretion, Loomis Sayles will generally consider the recommendations of the Proxy Voting Services in making its voting decisions. However, if the Proxy Committee determines that the Proxy Voting Services' recommendation is not in the best interest of its clients, then the Proxy Committee may use its discretion to vote against the Proxy Voting Services' recommendation, but only after taking the following steps: (1) conducting a review for any material conflict of interest Loomis Sayles may have; and, (2) if any material conflict is found to exist, excluding anyone at Loomis Sayles who is subject to that conflict of interest from participating in the voting decision in any way. However, if deemed necessary or appropriate by the Proxy Committee after full prior disclosure of any conflict, that person may provide information, opinions or recommendations on any proposal to the Proxy Committee. In such event the Proxy Committee will make reasonable efforts to obtain and consider, prior to directing any vote information, opinions or recommendations from or about the opposing position on any proposal.

NORTHERN CROSS  
*Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Northern Cross's policy regarding the voting of proxies consists of (1) the statement of the law and policy, (2) identification of the person(s) responsible for implementing this policy, and (3) the procedures adopted by Northern Cross to implement the policy.

Northern Cross will vote all proxies delivered to it by the fund's custodian. The vote will be cast in such a manner, which, in Northern Cross's judgment, will be in the best interests of shareholders. Northern Cross contracts with Boston Investor Services, Inc. for the processing of proxies.

Northern Cross will generally comply with the following guidelines:

Routine Corporate Governance Issues

Northern Cross will vote in favor of management. Routine issues may include, but not be limited to, election of directors, appointment of auditors, changes in state of incorporation or capital structure. In certain cases Northern Cross will vote in accordance with the guidelines of specific clients.

Non-routine Corporate Governance Issues

Northern Cross will vote in favor of management unless voting with management would limit shareholder rights or have a negative impact on shareholder value. Non-routine issues may include, but not be limited to, corporate restructuring/mergers and acquisitions, proposals affecting shareholder rights, anti-takeover issues, executive compensation, and social and political issues. In cases where the number of shares in all stock option plans exceeds 10% of basic shares outstanding, Northern Cross generally votes against proposals that will increase shareholder dilution. In general Northern Cross will vote against management regarding any proposal that allows management to issue shares during a hostile takeover.

Non Voting of Proxies

Northern Cross may not vote proxies if voting may be burdensome or expensive, or otherwise not in the best interest of clients.

Conflicts of Interest

Should Northern Cross have a conflict of interest with regard to voting a proxy, Northern Cross will disclose such conflict to the client and obtain client direction as to how to vote the proxy.

Record Keeping

The following records will be kept for each client: copies of Northern Cross's proxy voting policies and procedures; copies of all proxy statements received; a record of each vote Northern Cross casts on behalf of the client along with any notes or documents that were material to making a decision on how to vote a proxy including an abstention on behalf of a client, including the resolution of any conflict; a copy of each written client request for information on how Northern Cross voted proxies on behalf of the client and a copy of any written response by the advisor.

This proxy policy will be distributed to all clients of Northern Cross and added to Northern Cross's Part 2 of Form ADV. A hard copy of the policy will be included in the Compliance Program and is available on request.

The Compliance Officer is responsible for implementing, monitoring and updating this policy, including reviewing decisions made on non-routine issues and potential conflicts of interest. The Compliance Officer is also responsible for maintaining copies of all records and backup documentation in accordance with applicable record keeping requirements. The Compliance Officer can delegate in writing any of his or her responsibilities under this policy to another person.

## Conflicts of Interest

From time to time, proxy voting proposals may raise conflicts between the interests of Northern Cross's clients and the interests of Northern Cross, its employees, or its affiliates. Northern Cross must take certain steps designed to ensure, and must be able to demonstrate that those steps resulted in, a decision to vote the proxies that was based on the clients' best interest and was not the product of the conflict.

Northern Cross's Portfolio Managers/Partners are primarily responsible for identifying proxy voting proposals that present a conflict of interest. If Northern Cross receives a proxy relating to an issuer that raises a conflict of interest, the Portfolio Managers/Partners will discuss with the Compliance Officer and together shall determine whether the conflict is "material" to any specific proposal included within the proxy. The Compliance Officer will record in writing the basis for any such determination.

## NUANCE

### *Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

It is Nuance's policy, where it has accepted responsibility to vote proxies on behalf a particular client, to vote such proxies in the best interest of its clients and ensure that the vote is not the product of an actual or potential conflict of interest. For client's that are subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), it is Nuance's policy to follow the provisions of any ERISA plan's governing documents in the voting of plan securities, unless it determines that to do so would breach its fiduciary duties under ERISA.

## **Responsibility**

Where Nuance has accepted responsibility to vote proxies on behalf a particular client, the Chief Investment Officer is responsible for ensuring that proxies are voted in a manner consistent with the proxy voting guidelines adopted by Nuance (the "Proxy Voting Guidelines") and Nuance's policies and procedures.

## **Procedures**

Nuance may vote client proxies where a client requests and Nuance accepts such responsibility, or in the case of an employee benefit plan, as defined by ERISA, where such responsibility has been properly delegated to, and assumed by, Nuance. In such circumstances Nuance will only cast proxy votes in a manner consistent with the best interest of its clients or, to the extent applicable, their beneficiaries. Nuance shall, in its Form ADV, generally disclose to clients information about these policies and procedures and how clients may obtain information on how Nuance voted their proxies when applicable. At any time, a client may contact Nuance to request information about how it voted proxies for their securities. It is generally Nuance's policy not to disclose its proxy voting records to unaffiliated third parties or special interest groups.

Nuance's Client Services and Operations Departments will be responsible for monitoring corporate actions and ensuring that proxies are submitted in a timely manner. Nuance may delegate the responsibility to vote client proxies to one or more persons affiliated with Nuance (such person(s) are hereafter collectively referred to as "Responsible Voting Parties") consistent with the Proxy Voting Guidelines. Specifically, when Nuance receives proxy proposals where the Proxy Voting Guidelines outline its general position as voting either "for" or "against," the proxy will be voted by one of the Responsible Voting Parties in accordance with Nuance's Proxy Voting Guidelines. When Nuance receives proxy proposals where the Proxy Voting Guidelines do not contemplate the issue or otherwise outline its general position as voting on a case-by-case basis, the proxy will be forwarded to the Proxy Voting Committee, which will review the proposal and either vote the proxy or instruct one of the Responsible Voting Parties on how to vote the proxy.

It is intended that the Proxy Voting Guidelines will be applied with a measure of flexibility. Accordingly, except as otherwise provided in these policies and procedures, the Responsible Voting Parties may vote a proxy contrary to the Proxy Voting Guidelines if, in the sole determination of the Proxy Voting Committee, it is determined that such action is in the best interest of Nuance's clients. In the exercise of such discretion, the Proxy Voting Committee may take into account a wide array of factors relating to the matter under consideration, the nature of the proposal, and the company involved. Similarly, poor past performance, uncertainties about

management and future directions, and other factors may lead to a conclusion that particular proposals by an issuer present unacceptable investment risks and should not be supported. In addition, the proposals should be evaluated in context. For example, a particular proposal may be acceptable standing alone, but objectionable when part of an existing or proposed package, such as where the effect may be to entrench management. Special circumstances or instructions from clients may also justify casting different votes for different clients with respect to the same proxy vote.

The Responsible Voting Parties will document the rationale for all proxies voted contrary to the Proxy Voting Guidelines. Such information will be maintained as part of Nuance's recordkeeping process. In performing its responsibilities the Proxy Voting Committee may consider information from one or more sources including, but not limited to, management of the company presenting the proposal, shareholder groups, legal counsel, and independent proxy research services. In all cases, however, the ultimate decisions on how to vote proxies are made by the Proxy Voting Committee.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

Nuance may occasionally be subject to conflicts of interest in the voting of proxies due to business or personal relationships it maintains with persons having an interest in the outcome of certain votes. For example, Nuance may provide services to accounts owned or controlled by companies whose management is soliciting proxies. Nuance, along with any affiliates and/or employees, may also occasionally have business or personal relationships with other proponents of proxy proposals, participants in proxy contests, corporate directors, or candidates for directorships.

If the Responsible Voting Parties become aware of any potential or actual conflict of interest relating to a particular proxy proposal, they will promptly report such conflict to the Proxy Voting Committee. Conflicts of interest will be handled in various ways depending on their type and materiality of the conflict. Nuance will take the following steps to ensure that its proxy voting decisions are made in the best interest of its clients and are not the product of such conflict:

Where the Proxy Voting Guidelines outline Nuance's voting position, as either "for" or "against" such proxy proposal, voting will be in accordance with the its Proxy Voting Guidelines.

Where the Proxy Voting Guidelines outline Nuance's voting position to be determined on a "case-by-case" basis for such proxy proposal, or such proposal is not contemplated in the Proxy Voting Guidelines, then one of the two following methods will be selected by the Proxy Voting Committee depending upon the facts and circumstances of each situation and the requirements of applicable law:

- Vote the proxy in accordance with the voting recommendation of a non-affiliated third party vendor; or
- Provide the client with sufficient information regarding the proxy proposal and obtain the client's consent or direction before voting.

### **Third Party Delegation**

Nuance may delegate, to a non-affiliated third party vendor, the responsibility to review proxy proposals and make voting recommendations to Nuance. The Chief Compliance Officer will ensure that any third party recommendations followed will be consistent with the Proxy Voting Guidelines. In all cases, however, the ultimate decisions on how to vote proxies are made by the Proxy Voting Committee.

## Special Circumstances

Nuance may choose not to vote proxies in certain situations or for certain accounts, such as: (i) where a client has informed Nuance that they wish to retain the right to vote the proxy; (ii) where Nuance deems the cost of voting the proxy would exceed any anticipated benefit to the client; (iii) where a proxy is received for a client that has terminated Nuance's services; (iv) where a proxy is received for a security that Nuance no longer manages (*i.e.*, Nuance had previously sold the entire position); and/or (v) where the exercise of voting rights could restrict the ability of an account's portfolio manager to freely trade the security in question (as is the case, for example, in certain foreign jurisdictions known as "blocking markets").

In addition, certain accounts over which Nuance has proxy-voting discretion may participate in securities lending programs administered by the custodian or a third party. Because the title to loaned securities passes to the borrower, Nuance will be unable to vote any security that is out on loan to a borrower on a proxy record date.

### PICTET

#### *Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

Pictet will accept the authority to vote client securities, and outsources the administration of all voting activities to ISS, a firm specializing in the provision of corporate governance services. ISS will perform the voting activities based on the proxy voting policy issued by Pictet. Pictet's proxy voting policy can be obtained upon request from Pictet. Pictet's proxy voting policy is reasonably designed to assist Pictet in voting proxies in the best interests of its clients. Pictet's proxy voting policy addresses matters that are commonly submitted to shareholders of a company for voting, including but not limited to, issues relating to the board of directors, capital structure, auditors, mergers and corporate restructuring. ISS provides Pictet with a monthly report that includes the details of all resolutions and their respective votes, and various statistical analyses.

### SANDS CAPITAL

#### *Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund*

Sands' policies and procedures are designed to ensure that Sands is administering proxy voting matters in a manner consistent with the best interests of client and with the firm's fiduciary duties under applicable law. Sands seeks to discharge the firm's fiduciary duty to clients for whom Sands has proxy voting authority by monitoring corporate events and voting proxies solely in the best interests of clients. In voting proxies, Sands is neither an activist in corporate governance nor an automatic supporter of management. However, because Sands believes that the management teams of most companies it invests in generally seek to serve shareholder interests, Sands believes that voting proxy proposals in the client's best economic interests usually means voting with the recommendations of these management teams. Accordingly, Sands believes that the recommendation of management on any issue should be given substantial weight in determining how proxy issues are resolved.

Sands has established a Proxy Committee that is responsible for (i) the oversight and administration of proxy voting on behalf of Sands' clients, including developing, authorizing, implementing and updating Sands' proxy voting policies and procedures; (ii) overseeing the proxy voting process; and (iii) engaging and overseeing any third party service provider as voting agent to receive proxy statements and/or to provide information, research and other services intended to facilitate the proxy voting decisions made by Sands. The Proxy Committee has established guidelines that are applied generally and not absolutely, such that Sands' evaluation of each proposal will be performed in the context of the guidelines giving appropriate consideration to the circumstances of the company whose proxy is being voted. In evaluating a proxy proposal, a research team member may consider information from many sources, including management of the company, shareholder groups and independent proxy research services.

For routine matters, meaning matters that generally will not measurably change the structure, management, control or operation of the company and are consistent with customary industry standards and practices, as well as the laws of the state of incorporation applicable to the company, Sands will vote in accordance with the recommendation of the company's management, unless, in Sands' opinion, such recommendation is not conducive to long term value creation. Non-routine matters involve a variety of issues including, but not limited to, directors' liability and indemnity proposals, executive compensation plans, mergers, acquisitions, and other restructurings submitted to a shareholder vote, anti-takeover and related provisions and shareholder proposals and will require company specific and a case-by-case review and analysis. With respect to matters that do not fit in the categories stated above, Sands will exercise its best judgment as a fiduciary to vote in accordance with the best interests of its clients.

When a Sands client participates in a securities lending program, Sands will not be able to vote the proxy of the shares out on loan. Sands will generally not seek to recall for voting the client shares on loan. However, under rare circumstances, for voting issues that may have a particularly significant impact on the investment, Sands may request a client to recall securities that are on loan if it is determined that the benefit of voting outweighs the costs and lost revenue to the client and the administrative burden of retrieving the securities. The research team member who is responsible for voting the proxy will notify the Proxy Committee in the event he/she believes a recall of loaned securities is necessary. In determining whether a recall of a security is warranted ("Significant Event"), Sands will take into consideration whether the benefit of the vote would be in the client's best interest despite the costs and the lost revenue to the client and the administrative burden of retrieving the securities. Sands may utilize third-party service providers to assist it in identifying and evaluating whether an event constitutes a Significant Event. The Proxy Committee will review the proxy proposals that have been determined to be Significant Events from time to time and will adjust the foregoing standard as it deems necessary.

For purposes of identifying conflicts, the Proxy Committee will rely on publicly available information about a company and its affiliates, information about the company and its affiliates that is generally known by Sands' employees and other information known by a member of the Proxy Committee. The Proxy Voting Committee may determine that Sands has a conflict of interest as a result of the following: (1) significant business relationships which may create an incentive for Sands to vote in favor of management; (2) significant personal or family relationships, meaning those that would be reasonably likely to influence how Sands votes the proxy; and (3) contact with Proxy Committee members for the purpose of influencing how a proxy is to be voted.

In the event that the Proxy Committee determines that Sands has a conflict of interest with respect to a proxy proposal, the Proxy Committee shall also determine whether the conflict is "material" to that proposal. The Proxy Committee may determine on a case-by-case basis that a particular proposal does not involve a material conflict of interest. To make this determination, the Proxy Committee must conclude that the proposal is not directly related to Sands' conflict with the issuer. If the Proxy Committee determines that a conflict is not material, then Sands may vote the proxy in accordance with the recommendation of the research team member. In the event that the Proxy Committee determines that Sands has a material conflict of interest with respect to a proxy proposal, Sands will vote on the proposal in accordance with the determination of the Proxy Committee. Alternatively, prior to voting on the proposal, Sands may (i) contact an independent third party to recommend how to vote on the proposal and vote in accordance with the recommendation of such third party; or (ii) with respect to client accounts that are not subject to ERISA, fully disclose the nature of the conflict to the client and obtain the client's consent as to how Sands will vote on the proposal. Sands may not address a material conflict of interest by abstaining from voting, unless the Proxy Committee has determined that abstaining from voting on the proposal is in the best interests of clients.

#### SBH

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Smaller Companies Fund*

SBH has adopted proxy voting policies and procedures that address recordkeeping and include provisions that address material conflicts of interest that arise in the proxy voting process. The majority of the proxies will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of ISS, subject to review of each matter by the portfolio managers to make certain that there is no special consideration needed based on instructions from the client or portfolio managers.

THORNBURG  
*Sub-Advisor to the International Fund*

The following summarizes Thornburg's procedures for voting securities in each account managed by Thornburg, for the benefit of and in the best interest of the client. The policy provides procedures for assembling voting information and applying the informed expertise and judgment of Thornburg's personnel on a timely basis in pursuit of the above-stated voting objectives.

A further element of Thornburg's policy is that while voting on all issues presented should be considered, voting on all issues is not required. Some issues presented for a vote of security holders are not relevant to the policy's voting objectives, or it is not reasonably possible to ascertain what effect, if any, a vote on a given issue may have on the value of an investment. Accordingly, Thornburg may abstain from voting or decline a vote in those cases where there is no relationship between the issue and the enhancement or preservation of an investment's value.

It is also important to the pursuit of the policy's voting objectives that Thornburg be able to substitute its judgment in any specific situation for a presumption in the policy where strict adherence to the presumption could reasonably be expected by Thornburg, based upon the information then available (including, but not limited, to media and expert commentary and outside professional advice and recommendations sought by Thornburg on the issue), to be inconsistent with the objectives of the policy. Accordingly, Thornburg may substitute its judgment in a specific voting situation described in the preceding sentence, except where explicitly prohibited by a client or the policy.

The key functions of Thornburg's Proxy Voting Coordinator include:

- (a) Collecting and assembling proxy statements and other communications pertaining to proxy voting, together with proxies or other means of voting or giving voting instructions, and providing those materials to the appropriate portfolio managers to permit timely voting of proxies;
- (b) Collecting recommendations, analyses, commentary and other information respecting subjects of proxy votes, from service providers engaged by Thornburg and other services specified by portfolio managers, and providing this information to the appropriate portfolio managers to permit evaluation of proxy voting issues;
- (c) Providing to appropriate portfolio managers any specific voting instructions from clients;
- (d) Collecting proxy votes or instructions from portfolio managers and transmitting the votes or instructions to the appropriate custodians, brokers, nominees or other persons (which may include proxy voting services or agents engaged by Thornburg);
- (e) Accumulating voting results as set forth in Thornburg's policy and transmitting that information to Thornburg's Compliance Officer; and
- (f) Participating in the annual review of Thornburg's policy.

The Proxy Voting Coordinator may, with the approval of the President of Thornburg, delegate any portion or all of any one or more of these functions to one or more other individuals employed by Thornburg. Any portion or all of any one or more of these functions also may be performed by service providers engaged by Thornburg.

The Proxy Voting Coordinator shall obtain proxy statements and other communications pertaining to proxy voting, together with proxies or other means of voting or giving voting instructions to custodians, brokers, nominees, tabulators or others in a manner to permit voting on relevant issues in a timely manner. Thornburg may engage service providers and other third parties to assemble this information, digest or abstract the information where necessary or desirable, and deliver it to the individuals assigned by Thornburg to evaluate proxy voting issues.



The portfolio manager responsible for management of a specific account is responsible for timely voting (or determining not to vote in appropriate cases) proxies relating to securities in the account in accordance with the policy. The portfolio manager may delegate voting responsibilities to one or more other portfolio managers or other individuals. Portfolio managers are authorized to consider voting recommendations and other information and analysis from service providers (including proxy voting services) engaged by Thornburg.

In any case where a portfolio manager determines that a proxy vote involves an actual conflict of interest, and the proxy vote relates to the election of a director in a uncontested election or ratification of selection of independent accountants, the portfolio manager shall vote the proxy in accordance with the recommendation of any proxy voting service previously engaged by Thornburg. If no such recommendation is available, or if the proxy vote involves any other matters, the portfolio manager shall immediately refer the vote to the client for direction on the voting of the proxy or consent to vote in accordance with the portfolio manager's recommendation. In all cases where such a vote is referred to the client, Thornburg shall disclose the conflict of interest to the client.

#### WATER ISLAND

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Alternative Strategies Fund*

Water Island intends to exercise a voice on behalf of its shareholders and clients in matters of corporate governance through the proxy voting process. Water Island takes its fiduciary responsibilities very seriously and believes the right to vote proxies is a significant asset of shareholders and clients. Water Island exercises its voting responsibilities as a fiduciary, solely with the goal of maximizing the value of its shareholders' and clients' investments.

Water Island votes proxies solely in the interests of its clients and believes that any conflict of interest must be resolved in the way that will most benefit its clients. Since the quality and depth of management is a primary factor considered when investing in a company, Water Island gives substantial weight to the recommendation of management on any issue. However, Water Island will consider each issue on its own merits, and the position of a company's management will not be supported in any situation where it is found not to be in the best interests of Water Island's clients.

Water Island recognizes that under certain circumstances it may have a conflict of interest in voting proxies on behalf of its clients. Such circumstances may include, but are not limited to, situations where Water Island or one or more of its affiliates, including officers, directors and employees, has or is seeking a client relationship with the issuer of the security that is the subject of the proxy vote. Water Island shall periodically inform its employees that they are under an obligation to be aware of the potential for conflicts of interest on the part of Water Island with respect to voting proxies on behalf of clients, both as a result of the employee's personal relationships and due to circumstances that may arise during the conduct of Water Island's business, and to bring conflicts of interest of which they become aware to the attention of Water Island. Water Island shall not vote proxies relating to such issuers on behalf of its client accounts until it has determined that the conflict of interest is not material or a method of resolving such conflict of interest has been agreed upon by the Board. A conflict of interest will be considered material to the extent that it is determined that such conflict has the potential to influence Water Island's decision-making in voting a proxy. Materiality determinations will be based upon an assessment of the particular facts and circumstances. If Water Island determines that a conflict of interest is not material, Water Island may vote proxies notwithstanding the existence of a conflict.

#### WELLSCAP

##### *Sub-Advisor to the Equity Fund and the Smaller Companies Fund*

Pursuant to Rule 206(4)-6 under the Advisers Act, WellsCap has adopted Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures that it believes are reasonably designed to ensure that proxies are voted in the best interest of its clients. WellsCap exercises its voting responsibility, as a fiduciary, with the goal of maximizing value to shareholders

consistent with the governing laws and investment policies of each portfolio. While securities are not purchased to exercise control or to seek to effect corporate change through share ownership, WellsCap supports sound corporate governance practices within companies in which they invest.

WellsCap utilizes an independent third-party (“Third-Party”), currently ISS, for voting proxies and proxy voting analysis and research. The Third-Party votes proxies in accordance with ISS’s published Proxy Guidelines. In addition, clients may elect to have WellsCap vote proxies in accordance with guidelines established pursuant to platforms, e.g., Taft-Hartley, to meet their specific business requirements.

To fulfill its fiduciary duties with respect to proxy voting, WellsCap has designated an officer to administer and oversee the proxy voting process and to monitor the Third-Party to ensure its compliance with the Proxy Guidelines.

WellsCap believes that, in most instances, material conflicts of interest can be minimized through a strict and objective application by the Third-Party of the Proxy Guidelines. In cases where WellsCap is aware of a material conflict of interest regarding a matter that would otherwise require its vote, it generally will defer to the Third-Party as to how to vote on such matter in accordance with ISS’ guidelines.

#### MORE INFORMATION ABOUT PROXY VOTING

The actual voting records relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, are available without charge, upon request, by calling toll-free, 1-800-960-0188 or by accessing the SEC’s website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). In addition, a copy of the Funds’ proxy voting policies and procedures are also available without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-960-0188.

#### ADMINISTRATOR

State Street Bank and Trust Company (“State Street” or the “Administrator”) serves as the Trust’s administrator pursuant to an Administration Agreement dated September 10, 2014 (the “Administration Agreement”). State Street is a wholly owned subsidiary of State Street Corporation, a publicly held bank holding company. State Street is located at One Lincoln Street, Boston, MA 02111. Pursuant to the Administration Agreement with the Trust, the Administrator has agreed to furnish statistical and research data, clerical services, and stationery and office supplies; prepare various reports for filing with the appropriate regulatory agencies; and prepare various materials required by the SEC or any state securities commission having jurisdiction over the Trust. The Administration Agreement provides that the Administrator performing services thereunder shall not be liable under the Administration Agreement except for the negligence or willful misconduct of the Administrator, its officers or employees. As compensation for these services, each Fund pays State Street an annual administration fee based upon a percentage of the average net assets of such Fund.

The following table shows administrative fees paid to the Funds’ administrator during the fiscal years ended December 31:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Equity Fund</u>	<u>International Fund</u>	<u>Smaller Companies Fund</u>	<u>Alternative Strategies Fund</u>
2017	\$48,862	\$ 63,397	\$ 0	\$ 316,486
2016	\$61,667	\$ 212,867	\$ 4,776	\$ 242,659
2015	\$74,955	\$ 283,741	\$ 19,153	\$ 211,773

## PORTFOLIO TRANSACTIONS AND BROKERAGE

Each Management Agreement states that, with respect to the segment of each Fund's portfolio allocated to the applicable Sub-Advisor, the Sub-Advisor shall be responsible for broker-dealer selection and for negotiation of brokerage commission rates, provided that the Sub-Advisor shall not direct orders to an affiliated person of the Sub-Advisor without general prior authorization to use such affiliated broker or dealer by the Board. In general, a Sub-Advisor's primary consideration in effecting a securities transaction will be execution at the most favorable cost or proceeds under the circumstances. In selecting a broker-dealer to execute each particular transaction, a Sub-Advisor may take the following into consideration: the best net price available; the reliability, integrity and financial condition of the broker-dealer; the size of and difficulty in executing the order; and the value of the expected contribution of the broker-dealer to the investment performance of each Fund on a continuing basis. The price to each Fund in any transaction may be less favorable than that available from another broker-dealer if the difference is reasonably justified by other aspects of the portfolio execution services offered.

The aggregate dollar amounts of brokerage commissions paid by the Funds during the last three fiscal years are as follows:

Year	Equity Fund	International Fund	Smaller Companies Fund	Alternative Strategies Fund
2017	\$122,851	\$ 524,719	\$ 64,211	\$ 969,226
2016	\$135,878	\$ 869,728	\$ 63,954	\$ 997,387
2015	\$223,137	\$1,317,155	\$ 124,445	\$ 982,771

Of these amounts, the dollar amount of brokerage commissions paid to the brokers who furnished research services during the last three fiscal years are as follows:

Year	Equity Fund	International Fund	Smaller Companies Fund	Alternative Strategies Fund
2017	\$36,423	\$ 41,383	\$ 32,705	\$275,772
2016	\$26,215	\$ 103,943	\$ 24,173	\$207,406
2015	\$53,000	\$ 171,469	\$ 37,064	\$200,479

For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, the Funds paid no commissions to broker-dealers affiliated with the Advisor or any of the Sub-Advisors.

Subject to such policies as the Advisor and the Board may determine, a Sub-Advisor shall not be deemed to have acted unlawfully or to have breached any duty created by its Management Agreement with a Fund or otherwise solely by reason of its having caused any Fund to pay a broker or dealer that provides (directly or indirectly) brokerage or research services to the Sub-Advisor a commission for effecting a portfolio transaction in excess of the amount of commission another broker or dealer would have charged for effecting that transaction, if the Sub-Advisor determines in good faith that such amount of commission was reasonable in relation to the value of the brokerage and research services provided by such broker or dealer, viewed in terms of either that particular transaction or the Sub-Advisor's or Advisor's overall responsibilities with respect to each Fund or other advisory clients. Each Sub-Advisor is further authorized to allocate the orders placed by it on behalf of each Fund to such brokers or dealers who also provide research or statistical material, or other services, to the Trust, the Advisor or any affiliate of either. Such allocation shall be in such amounts and proportions as the Sub-Advisor shall determine. Each Sub-Advisor shall report on such allocations regularly to the Advisor and the Trust, indicating the broker-dealers to whom such allocations have been made and the basis for such allocations.

On occasions when a Sub-Advisor deems the purchase or sale of a security to be in the best interest of a Fund as well as other clients of the Sub-Advisor, the Sub-Advisor, to the extent permitted by applicable laws and regulations, may aggregate the securities to be so purchased or sold in order to obtain the most favorable price or lower brokerage commissions and the most efficient execution. In such event, allocation of the securities so purchased or sold, as well as the expenses incurred in the transaction, will be made by the Sub-Advisor in the manner it considers to be the most equitable and consistent with its fiduciary obligations to each Fund and to such other clients.

The following Funds acquired securities of their regular brokers or dealers (as defined in Rule 10b-1 under the 1940 Act) during the most recent fiscal year.

<b>Fund</b>	<b>Broker</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Equity Fund	Bank of America Corp.	\$3,453,840
	Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.	\$4,114,873
	J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.	\$2,355,888
International Fund	Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC	\$8,047,501
Alternative Strategies Fund	Bank of America Securities LLC	\$8,047,501
	Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.	\$8,560,126
	Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.	\$1,866,557
	J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.	\$2,273,797
	J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.	\$ 743,982

### **Distribution of Fund Shares**

The Funds' principal underwriter is ALPS Distributors, Inc., 1290 Broadway, Suite 1100, Denver, Colorado 80203. The Distributor is engaged on a non-exclusive basis to assist in the distribution of shares in various jurisdictions. The Distributor is compensated for performing this service by the Advisor and is not paid by the Funds.

### **Distribution Plan**

As noted in the prospectus, the Trust has adopted a Distribution and Shareholder Servicing Plan pursuant to Rule 12b-1 under the 1940 Act (the "Distribution Plan") on behalf of the Investor Class of the Equity Fund, International Fund and Alternative Strategies Fund.

Under the Distribution Plan, the Equity Fund, International Fund and Alternative Strategies Fund are authorized to pay the Distributor for distribution services related to Investor Class shares (the "Distribution Fee") at an annual rate of 0.25% of such Funds' average daily net assets attributable to Investor Class shares. The Distribution Plan provides that the Distributor may use all or any portion of such Distribution Fee to finance any activity that is principally intended to result in the sale of such Funds' Investor Class shares, subject to the terms of the Distribution Plan, or to provide certain shareholder services.

The Distribution Fee is payable to the Distributor regardless of the distribution-related expenses actually incurred. Because the Distribution Fee is not directly tied to expenses, the amount of distribution fees paid by the Investor Class of the Equity Fund, International Fund and Alternative Strategies Fund during any year may be more or less than actual expenses incurred pursuant to the Distribution Plan. For this reason, this type of distribution fee arrangement is characterized by the staff of the SEC as a "compensation" plan.

The Distributor may use the Distribution Fee to pay for services covered by the Distribution Plan including, but not limited to, advertising, compensating underwriters, dealers and selling personnel engaged in the distribution of Fund shares, the printing and mailing of prospectuses, statements of additional information and reports to prospective shareholders, the printing and mailing of sales literature, and obtaining whatever information, analyses and reports with respect to marketing and promotional activities that the Equity Fund, International Fund and Alternative Strategies Fund may, from time to time, deem advisable.

The tables below show the amount of the Distribution Fee for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

<b>Fund</b>	<b>Distribution Fee incurred by Investor Class Shares</b>
Equity Fund	\$ 221
International Fund	\$ 59,756
Alternative Strategies Fund	\$ 495,562

<u>Fund</u>	<u>Advertising and Marketing</u>	<u>Printing and Postage</u>	<u>Payment to Distributor</u>	<u>Payment to Dealers</u>	<u>Compensation to Sales Personnel</u>	<u>Other Expenses</u>
Equity Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 221	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
International Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 59,756	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Alternative Strategies Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 495,562	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

### Other Shareholder Servicing Expenses Paid by the Funds

The Funds make payments to financial intermediaries for certain sub-recordkeeping, sub-transfer agent or similar services provided by financial intermediaries in amounts determined by the Funds' Board of Trustees to represent reasonable amounts for those services. These expenses paid by a Fund would remain subject to any overall expense limitation applicable to that Fund. These expenses are in addition to any supplemental amounts the Advisor pays out of its own resources and are in addition to a Fund's payment of any amounts through the Distribution Plan.

The prospect of receiving, or the receipt of additional payments or other compensation as described above by financial intermediaries may provide financial intermediaries and/or their salespersons with an incentive to favor sales of shares of the Funds, and other mutual funds whose affiliates make similar compensation available, over sale of shares of mutual funds (or non-mutual fund investments) not making such payments. You may wish to take these payment arrangements into account when considering and evaluating any recommendations relating to the Funds' shares.

The table below identifies the financial intermediaries who received compensation from the Funds for providing sub-recordkeeping, sub-transfer agency or similar services during the calendar year ended December 31, 2017:

#### Firm

Charles Schwab  
Fidelity Investments  
Financial Data Services, Inc.  
Great-West Financial  
LPL Financial  
Merrill Lynch  
MSCS Financial Services Operating  
National Financial Services, LLC (Fidelity Brokerage)  
Pershing  
Raymond James  
TD – Ameritrade  
Vanguard

### Payments by the Advisor

Set forth below is a list of the member firms of FINRA to which the Advisor, or its affiliates, made payments out of their revenues in connection with the sale and distribution of the Funds' shares or for services to the Funds and their shareholders for the year ended December 31, 2017. Such payments are in addition to any Distribution Plan amounts paid to such FINRA member firms. Any additions, modifications, or deletions to the FINRA member firms identified in this list since December 31, 2017 are not reflected:

## FINRA member firms

Raymond James

The Advisor or its affiliates may also make payments to selling and shareholder servicing agents that are not FINRA member firms and that sell shares of or provide services to the Funds and their shareholders, such as banks, insurance companies and plan administrators. These firms are not included on the list above, although they may be affiliated with companies on the above list.

## **PORTFOLIO TURNOVER**

Although the Funds generally will not invest for short-term trading purposes, portfolio securities may be sold without regard to the length of time they have been held when, in the opinion of a Sub-Advisor, investment considerations warrant such action. Portfolio turnover rate is calculated by dividing (1) the lesser of purchases or sales of portfolio securities for the fiscal year by (2) the monthly average of the value of portfolio securities owned during the fiscal year. A 100% turnover rate would occur if all the securities in a Fund's portfolio, with the exception of securities whose maturities at the time of acquisition were one year or less, were sold and either repurchased or replaced within one year. A high rate of portfolio turnover (100% or more) generally leads to higher transaction costs and may result in a greater number of taxable transactions as compared to the costs and taxable transactions of an investment company that holds investments for a longer period. The Advisor does not expect each Fund's portfolio turnover rate (except for the Alternative Strategies Fund) to exceed 150% in most years.

Portfolio turnover rates for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

<u>Fund</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>
Equity Fund	33.49%	26.98%
International Fund	41.90%	43.84%
Smaller Companies Fund	107.51%	51.32%
Alternative Strategies Fund	169.34%	142.24%

The Smaller Companies Fund's portfolio turnover rate increased year over year due to a change in portfolio management and portfolio repositioning.

## **NET ASSET VALUE**

The NAV of a Fund's shares will fluctuate and is determined as of the close of trading on the NYSE (currently, 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time) each business day that the NYSE is open for trading. The NYSE annually announces the days on which it will not be open for trading. The most recent announcement indicates that the NYSE will not be open on the following days: New Year's Day, Martin Luther King's Birthday, Presidents' Day, Good Friday, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day and Christmas Day. However, the NYSE may close on days not included in that announcement.

The NAV per share is computed by dividing the value of the securities held by a Fund plus any cash or other assets (including interest and dividends accrued but not yet received) minus all liabilities (including accrued expenses) by the total number of shares in a Fund outstanding at such time.

Generally, trading in and valuation of foreign securities is substantially completed each day at various times prior to the close of the NYSE. In addition, trading in and valuation of foreign securities may not take place on every day in which the NYSE is open for trading. In that case, the price used to determine a Fund's NAV on the

last day on which such exchange was open will be used, unless the Board determines that a different price should be used. Furthermore, trading takes place in various foreign markets on days in which the NYSE is not open for trading and on which a Fund's NAV is not calculated. Occasionally, events affecting the values of such securities in U.S. dollars on a day on which a Fund calculates its NAV may occur between the times when such securities are valued and the close of the NYSE which will not be reflected in the computation of a Fund's NAV unless the Board or its delegates deem that such events would materially affect the NAV, in which case an adjustment would be made.

Generally, a Fund's investments are valued on the basis of market quotations. Securities or assets for which market quotations are not available, or for which the pricing service approved by the Board does not provide a valuation or provides a valuation that in the judgment of the relevant Sub-Advisor, with the concurrence of the Advisor, is stale or does not represent the fair value of such securities or assets, shall be valued by the Valuation Committee in consultation with the Advisor, the relevant Sub-Advisor, and the Administrator pursuant to procedures approved by the Board.

Each Fund's securities, including ADRs, EDRs and GDRs, which are traded on securities exchanges, are generally determined on the basis of the last reported sale price on the exchange on which such securities are traded (or the NASDAQ official closing price for NASDAQ-reported securities, if such price is provided by the Funds' accountant), as of the close of business on the day the securities are being valued or, lacking any reported sales, at the mean between the last available bid and asked price. Securities that are traded on more than one exchange are valued on the exchange determined by the Sub-Advisors to be the primary market. Securities traded in the over-the-counter market are valued at the mean between the last available bid and asked price prior to the time of valuation. Securities and assets for which market quotations are not readily available (including restricted securities, which are subject to limitations as to their sale) are valued at fair value as determined in good faith by or under the direction of the Board.

Short-term debt obligations with remaining maturities in excess of 60 days are valued at current market prices, as discussed above. Short-term securities with 60 days or less remaining to maturity are, unless conditions indicate otherwise, amortized to maturity based on their cost to a Fund if acquired within 60 days of maturity or, if already held by a Fund on the 60th day, based on the value determined on the 61st day.

Corporate debt securities, mortgage-related securities and asset-backed securities held by a Fund are valued on the basis of valuations provided by dealers in those instruments, by an independent pricing service and approved by the Board, or at fair value as determined in good faith by procedures approved by the Board. Any such pricing service, in determining value, will use information with respect to transactions in the securities being valued, quotations from dealers, market transactions in comparable securities, analyses and evaluations of various relationships between securities and yield to maturity information.

An option that is written by a Fund is generally valued at the last sale price or, in the absence of the last sale price, the last offer price. An option that is purchased by a Fund is generally valued at the last sale price or, in the absence of the last sale price, the last bid price. The value of a futures contract is the last sale or settlement price on the exchange or board of trade on which the future is traded or, if no sales are reported, at the mean between the last bid and asked price. When a settlement price cannot be used, futures contracts will be valued at their fair market value as determined by or under the direction of the Board. If an options or futures exchange closes after the time at which a Fund's NAV is calculated, the last sale or last bid and asked prices as of that time will be used to calculate the NAV.

Any assets or liabilities initially expressed in terms of foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars at the official exchange rate or, alternatively, at the mean of the current bid and asked prices of such currencies against the U.S. dollar last quoted by a major bank that is a regular participant in the foreign exchange market or on the basis of a pricing service that takes into account the quotes provided by a number of such major banks. If neither of these alternatives is available or both are deemed not to provide a suitable methodology for converting a foreign currency into U.S. dollars, the Board in good faith will establish a conversion rate for such currency.

All other assets of a Fund are valued in such manner as the Board in good faith deems appropriate to reflect their fair value.

## TAXATION

The following is a summary of certain material U.S. federal income tax consequences of acquiring, holding and disposing of the interests in the Funds. It is based upon the Code, the U.S. Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, published rulings and court decisions, all as in effect on the date hereof and all of which are subject to change or differing interpretations at any time (possibly with retroactive effect). This summary does not purport to deal with all of the U.S. federal income tax consequences applicable to a Fund or to all categories of investors, some of whom may be subject to special rules (including, without limitation, dealers in securities or currencies, financial institutions, life insurance companies, holders of Fund interests held as part of a “straddle,” “hedge” or “conversion transaction” with other investments, persons whose “functional currency” is not the U.S. dollar or persons for whom the Fund interests are not capital assets). This discussion also does not address U.S. federal tax consequences other than income taxes (such as estate and gift tax consequences). In addition, the following discussion generally applies only to “U.S. persons,” as defined for U.S. federal income tax purposes) who are beneficial owners of Fund interests. A “U.S. person” is generally defined as (i) a citizen or resident of the United States, (ii) a corporation (or an entity treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes) or partnership (or an entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes) created or organized in or under the law of the United States or any political subdivision thereof, (iii) an estate whose income is subject to U.S. federal income tax regardless of its source or (iv) a trust if (a) it is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (b) it has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury Regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

If a partnership (or other entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) is an investor in the Funds, the U.S. federal income tax treatment of a partner in that partnership will generally depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership.

The tax consequences of an investment in the Funds will depend not only on the nature of the Funds’ operations and the then applicable U.S. federal tax principles, but also on certain factual determinations that cannot be made at this time, and upon a particular investor’s individual circumstances. No advance rulings have been sought from the Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”).

IN VIEW OF THE FOREGOING, EACH PROSPECTIVE INVESTOR SHOULD CONSULT ITS OWN TAX ADVISOR REGARDING ALL THE U.S. FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN INCOME AND OTHER TAX CONSEQUENCES OF AN INVESTMENT IN THE FUNDS WITH SPECIFIC REFERENCE TO SUCH INVESTOR’S OWN PARTICULAR TAX SITUATION AND RECENT CHANGES IN APPLICABLE LAW.

Each Fund will be taxed, under the Code, as a separate entity from any other series of the Trust, and each Fund has elected to qualify for treatment as a regulated investment company (“RIC”) under Subchapter M of the Code. In each taxable year that a Fund qualifies, a Fund (but not its shareholders) will be relieved of federal income tax on that part of its investment company taxable income (consisting generally of interest and dividend income, net short term capital gain and net realized gains from currency transactions) and net capital gain that is distributed to shareholders.

In order to qualify for treatment as a RIC, a Fund must distribute annually to shareholders at least 90% of its investment company taxable income and must meet several additional requirements. Among these requirements are the following: (1) at least 90% of a Fund’s gross income each taxable year must be derived from dividends, interest, payments with respect to securities loans and gains from the sale or other disposition of securities or foreign currencies, or other income derived with respect to its business of investing in securities or currencies; (2) at the close of each quarter of a Fund’s taxable year, at least 50% of the value of its total assets must be represented by



cash and cash items (including receivables), U.S. Government securities, securities of other RICs and other securities, limited in respect of any one issuer, to an amount that does not exceed 5% of the value of a Fund and that does not represent more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of such issuer; and (3) at the close of each quarter of a Fund's taxable year, not more than 25% of the value of its assets may be invested in (i) securities (other than U.S. Government securities or the securities of other RICs) of any one issuer, (ii) securities (other than the securities of other RICs) of two or more issuers which the Fund controls and which are engaged in the same or similar trades or businesses or related trades or businesses, or (iii) securities of one or more of certain publicly traded partnerships, as such term is defined under the Code.

Distributions of net investment income and net realized capital gains by a Fund will be taxable to shareholders whether made in cash or reinvested in shares. In determining amounts of net realized capital gains to be distributed, any capital loss carryovers from prior years will be applied against capital gains to the extent permitted under the Code. Shareholders receiving distributions in the form of additional shares will have a cost basis for federal income tax purposes in each share so received equal to the NAV of a share of a Fund on the reinvestment date. Fund distributions also will be included in individual and corporate shareholders' income on which the alternative minimum tax may be imposed. A Fund may make taxable distributions to shareholders even during periods in which share prices have declined. Tax consequences are not the primary consideration of a Fund in implementing its investment strategy.

Each Fund or any securities dealer effecting a redemption of a Fund's shares by a shareholder will be required to file information reports with the IRS with respect to distributions and payments made to the shareholder. In addition, a Fund will be required to withhold federal income tax at the rate of 24% on taxable dividends, redemptions and other payments made to accounts of individual or other non-exempt shareholders who have not furnished their correct taxpayer identification numbers and made certain required certifications on the account application or with respect to which a Fund or the securities dealer has been notified by the IRS that the number furnished is incorrect or that the account is otherwise subject to backup withholding.

Each Fund intends to declare and pay dividends and other distributions, as stated in the prospectus. In order to avoid the payment of a 4% non-deductible federal excise tax based on net income, a Fund must declare on or before December 31 of each year, and pay on or before January 31 of the following year, distributions at least equal to 98% of its ordinary income for that calendar year and at least 98.2% of the excess of any capital gains over any capital losses realized in the one-year period ending October 31 of that year, together with any undistributed amounts of ordinary income and capital gains (in excess of capital losses) from the previous calendar year.

Certain U.S. shareholders, including individuals and estates and trusts, in the higher income brackets will be subject to an additional 3.8% federal tax on all or a portion of their "net investment income," which generally will include dividends from the Funds and net gain from the disposition of shares of the Funds. U.S. shareholders are urged to consult their tax advisors regarding the implications of the additional net investment income tax resulting from an investment in the Funds.

Each Fund may receive dividend distributions from U.S. corporations. To the extent that a Fund receives such dividends and distributes them to its shareholders, and meets certain other requirements of the Code, corporate shareholders of a Fund may be entitled to the dividends received deduction, and individual shareholders may, depending on the Fund's underlying sources of income, have "qualified dividend income," which would be subject to tax at the shareholder's maximum capital gains tax rate. Availability of the deduction and/or taxation at the maximum capital gains tax rate is subject to certain holding period and debt-financing limitations.

The use of hedging strategies, such as entering into futures contracts and forward contracts and purchasing options, involves complex rules that will determine the character and timing of recognition of the income received in connection therewith by a Fund. Income from foreign currencies (except certain gains therefrom that may be excluded by future regulations) and income from transactions in options, futures contracts and forward contracts derived by a Fund with respect to its business of investing in securities or foreign currencies should qualify as permissible income under Subchapter M of the Code.

For accounting purposes, premiums paid by a Fund are recorded as an asset and are subsequently adjusted to the current market value of the option. Any gain or loss realized by the Fund upon the expiration or sale of such options held by the Fund generally will be capital gain or loss.

Any security, option or other position entered into or held by a Fund that substantially diminishes the Fund’s risk of loss from any other position held by that Fund may constitute a straddle for federal income tax purposes. In general, straddles are subject to certain rules that may affect the amount, character and timing of the Fund’s gains and losses with respect to straddle positions by requiring, among other things, that the loss realized on disposition of one position of a straddle be deferred until gain is realized on disposition of the offsetting position; that the Fund’s holding period in certain straddle positions not begin until the straddle is terminated (possibly resulting in the gain being treated as short-term capital gain rather than long-term capital gain); and that losses recognized with respect to certain straddle positions, which would otherwise constitute short-term capital losses, be treated as long-term capital losses. Different elections are available to the Fund that may mitigate the effects of the straddle rules.

Certain options, futures contracts and forward contracts that are subject to Section 1256 of the Code (“Section 1256 Contracts”) and that are held by a Fund at the end of its taxable year generally will be required to be “marked to market” for federal income tax purposes, that is, deemed to have been sold at market value. Sixty percent of any net gain or loss recognized on these deemed sales and 60% of any net gain or loss realized from any actual sales of Section 1256 Contracts will be treated as long-term capital gain or loss, and the balance will be treated as short-term capital gain or loss.

Section 988 of the Code contains special tax rules applicable to certain foreign currency transactions that may affect the amount, timing and character of income, gain or loss recognized by a Fund. Under these rules, foreign exchange gain or loss realized with respect to foreign currency-denominated debt instruments, foreign currency forward contracts, foreign currency-denominated payables and receivables and foreign currency options and futures contracts (other than options and futures contracts that are governed by the mark-to-market and 60/40 rules of Section 1256 of the Code and for which no election is made) is treated as ordinary income or loss. Some part of the Fund’s gain or loss on the sale or other disposition of shares of a foreign corporation may, because of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, be treated as ordinary income or loss under Section 988 of the Code, rather than as capital gain or loss.

Redemptions and exchanges of shares of a Fund will result in gains or losses for federal income tax purposes to the extent of the difference between the proceeds and the shareholder’s adjusted tax basis for the shares. Any loss realized (to the extent it is allowed) upon the redemption or exchange of shares within six months from their date of purchase will be treated as a long-term capital loss to the extent of distributions of long-term capital gain dividends with respect to such shares during such six-month period. All or a portion of a loss realized upon the redemption of shares of the Fund may be disallowed to the extent shares of the same Fund are purchased (including shares acquired by means of reinvested dividends) within 30 days before or after such redemption.

During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Funds utilized the following amounts of capital loss carry forwards:

<b>Fund</b>	<b>Capital Loss Carryforwards Utilized</b>
Equity Fund	\$ —
International Fund	\$ 64,351,164
Smaller Companies Fund	\$ 7,425,219
Alternative Strategies Fund	\$ 23,095,456

The capital loss carryforwards for each Fund were as follows:

	<u>Equity Fund</u>	<u>International Fund</u>	<u>Smaller Companies Fund</u>	<u>Alternative Strategies Fund</u>
<b>Capital Loss Carryforwards</b>				
<b>Expires 12/31/18</b>	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
<b>Short-Term</b>	\$ —	\$ 78,323,693	\$ —	\$ 9,905,197
<b>Long-Term</b>	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

Distributions and redemptions may be subject to state and local taxes, and the treatment thereof may differ from the federal income tax treatment. Foreign taxes may apply to non-U.S. investors.

Nonresident aliens and foreign persons are subject to different tax rules, and may be subject to withholding of up to 30% on certain payments received from a Fund. Under the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (“FATCA”), a 30% withholding tax on each Fund’s distributions, including capital gains distributions, and on gross proceeds from the sale or other disposition of shares of a Fund, generally applies if paid to a foreign entity unless: (i) if the foreign entity is a “foreign financial institution,” it undertakes certain due diligence, reporting, withholding and certification obligations, (ii) if the foreign entity is not a “foreign financial institution,” it identifies certain of its U.S. investors or (iii) the foreign entity is otherwise excepted under FATCA. If applicable, and subject to any applicable intergovernmental agreements, withholding under FATCA is required: (i) generally with respect to distributions from each Fund; and (ii) with respect to certain capital gains distributions and gross proceeds from a sale or disposition of Fund shares that occur on or after January 1, 2019. If withholding is required under FATCA on a payment related to your shares, investors that otherwise would not be subject to withholding (or that otherwise would be entitled to a reduced rate of withholding) on such payment generally will be required to seek a refund or credit from the IRS to obtain the benefits of such exemption or reduction. The Funds will not pay any additional amounts in respect to amounts withheld under FATCA. You should consult your tax advisor regarding the effect of FATCA based on your individual circumstances.

The above discussion and the related discussion in each prospectus are not intended to be complete discussions of all applicable tax consequences of an investment in the Funds. Paul Hastings LLP, counsel to the Trust, has expressed no opinion in respect thereof. Shareholders are advised to consult with their own tax advisers concerning the application of foreign, federal, state and local taxes to an investment in a Fund.

## DIVIDENDS AND DISTRIBUTIONS

Dividends from a Fund’s investment company taxable income (whether paid in cash or invested in additional shares) will be taxable to shareholders as ordinary income to the extent of the Fund’s earnings and profits. Tax consequences are not the primary consideration of the Funds in implementing their investment strategies. Distributions of a Fund’s net capital gain (whether paid in cash or invested in additional shares) will be taxable to shareholders as long-term capital gain, regardless of how long they have held their Fund shares. A Fund may make taxable distributions to shareholders even during periods in which the share price has declined.

Dividends declared by a Fund in October, November or December of any year and payable to shareholders of record on a date in one of such months will be deemed to have been paid by the Fund and received by the shareholders on the record date if the dividends are paid by the Fund during the following January. Accordingly, such dividends will be taxed to shareholders for the year in which the record date falls.

The Funds are required to withhold 28% of all dividends, capital gain distributions and redemption proceeds payable to any individuals and certain other non-corporate shareholders who do not provide the Fund with a correct taxpayer identification number. The Funds also are required to withhold 28% of all dividends and capital gain distributions paid to such shareholders who otherwise are subject to backup withholding.

## **ANTI-MONEY LAUNDERING PROGRAM**

The Trust has established an Anti-Money Laundering Compliance Program (the “Program”) as required by the Uniting and Strengthening America by Providing Appropriate Tools Required to Intercept and Obstruct Terrorism Act of 2001 (“USA PATRIOT Act”). To ensure compliance with this law, the Trust’s Program provides for the development of internal practices, procedures and controls, designation of anti-money laundering compliance officers, an ongoing training program and an independent audit function to determine the effectiveness of the Program.

Procedures to implement the Program include, but are not limited to, determining that the Distributor and the Funds’ transfer agent have established proper anti-money laundering procedures, reporting suspicious and/or fraudulent activity and conducting a complete and thorough review of all new opening account applications. The Fund will not transact business with any person or entity whose identity cannot be adequately verified under the provisions of the USA PATRIOT Act.

As a result of the Program, the Trust may be required to “freeze” the account of a shareholder if the shareholder appears to be involved in suspicious activity or if certain account information matches information on government lists of known terrorists or other suspicious persons, or the Trust may be required to transfer the account or proceeds of the account to a governmental agency.

## **GENERAL INFORMATION**

The Trust is a Delaware statutory trust organized on August 1, 1996. The Equity Fund commenced operations on December 31, 1996. The International Fund commenced operations on December 1, 1997. The Smaller Companies Fund commenced operations on June 30, 2003. The Alternative Strategies Fund commenced operations on September 30, 2011. The Agreement and Declaration of Trust permits the Trust to issue an unlimited number of full and fractional shares of beneficial interest and to divide or combine the shares into a greater or lesser number of shares without thereby changing the proportionate beneficial interest in a Fund. Each share represents an interest in a Fund proportionately equal to the interest of each other share. Upon the Trust’s liquidation, all shareholders would share pro rata in the net assets of a Fund available for distribution to shareholders. The Board has created four series of shares, and may create additional series in the future, which have separate assets and liabilities. Income and operating expenses not specifically attributable to a particular Fund will be allocated fairly among the Funds by the Trustees, generally on the basis of the relative net assets of each Fund.

The Trust has adopted a Multiple Class Plan pursuant to Rule 18f-3 under the 1940 Act on behalf of the Funds. Currently, the Equity Fund, International Fund and Alternative Strategies Fund are each authorized to issue two classes of shares: Institutional Class shares and Investor Class shares. The Smaller Companies Fund is authorized to issue one class of shares: Institutional Class shares.

Rule 18f-2 under the 1940 Act provides that as to any investment company which has two or more series outstanding and as to any matter required to be submitted to shareholder vote, such matter is not deemed to have been effectively acted upon unless approved by the holders of a “majority” (as defined in the Rule) of the voting securities of each series affected by the matter. Such separate voting requirements do not apply to the election of Trustees or the ratification of the selection of accountants. Rule 18f-2 contains special provisions for cases in which an advisory contract is approved by one or more, but not all, series. A change in investment policy may go into effect as to one or more series whose holders so approve the change even though the required vote is not obtained as to the holders of other affected series.

Each Fund may hold special meetings and mail proxy materials. These meetings may be called to elect or remove Trustees, change fundamental policies, approve an investment advisory contract or for other purposes. Shareholders not attending these meetings are encouraged to vote by proxy. Each Fund will mail proxy materials in advance, including a voting card and information about the proposals to be voted on. The number of votes each

shareholder is entitled to is based on the number of shares he or she owns. Shareholders are entitled to one vote for each full share held (and fractional votes for fractional shares) and may vote in the election of Trustees and on other matters submitted to meetings of shareholders. It is not contemplated that regular annual meetings of shareholders will be held.

The Equity Fund, the International Fund, the Smaller Companies Fund, and the Alternative Strategies Fund are the only operating series of shares of the Trust. The Board may, at its own discretion, create additional series of shares. The Agreement and Declaration of Trust contains an express disclaimer of shareholder liability for the Trust's acts or obligations and provides for indemnification and reimbursement of expenses out of the Trust's property for any shareholder held personally liable for its obligations.

The Agreement and Declaration of Trust provides that the shareholders have the right to remove a Trustee. Upon the written request of the record holders of 10% of the Trust's shares, the Trustees will call a meeting of shareholders to vote on the removal of a Trustee. No amendment may be made to the Agreement and Declaration of Trust that would have a material adverse effect on shareholders without the approval of the holders of more than 50% of the Trust's shares. Shareholders have no preemptive or conversion rights. Shares when issued are fully paid and non-assessable by the Trust, except as set forth above.

The Trust and Litman Gregory have obtained an exemptive order from the SEC, which permits Litman Gregory, subject to certain conditions, to hire, terminate and replace managers with the approval of the Board only and without shareholder approval. Within 60 days of the hiring of any new manager or the implementation of any proposed material change in a sub-advisory agreement with an existing manager, shareholders will be furnished information about the new manager or sub-advisory agreement that would be included in a proxy statement. The order also permits a Fund to disclose sub-advisory fees only in the aggregate in its registration statement. Pursuant to the order, shareholder approval is required before Litman Gregory enters into any sub-advisory agreement with a manager that is affiliated with the Funds or Litman Gregory.

The Trust, the Advisor, the Sub-Advisors and the Distributor have adopted codes of ethics pursuant to Rule 17j-1 under the 1940 Act. These codes of ethics permit, subject to certain conditions, personnel of the Advisor, the Sub-Advisors and the Distributor, to invest in securities that may be purchased or held by the Funds.

The Trust's custodian, State Street Bank and Trust Company, One Lincoln Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02111 is responsible for holding the Funds' assets and acting as the Trust's accounting services agent. The Trust's transfer agent, DST Asset Manager Solutions, Inc. (formerly, Boston Financial Data Services), is located at 330 West Ninth Street, Kansas City, Missouri, 64105. You may call DST Asset Manager Solutions, Inc. at 1-800-960-0188 if you have questions about your account. The Trust's independent registered public accounting firm, Cohen & Company, Ltd., 1350 Euclid Avenue, Suite 800, Cleveland, Ohio 44115, also assists with the Funds' tax returns. The Trust's legal counsel is Paul Hastings LLP, 101 California Street, 48th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111.

The Funds reserve the right, if conditions exist that make cash payments undesirable, to honor any request for redemption or repurchase order by making payment in whole or in part in readily marketable securities chosen by the Fund and valued as they are for purposes of computing the Fund's NAV (a redemption in kind). If payment is made in securities, a shareholder may incur transaction expenses in converting these securities into cash.

## **FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The audited financial statements, including the Financial Highlights of the Funds for the year ended December 31, 2017, and Cohen & Company, Ltd.'s report thereon are incorporated by reference. The report of Cohen & Company, Ltd., the independent registered public accounting firm of the Funds, with respect to the audited financial statements, is incorporated herein in its entirety in reliance upon such report of Cohen & Company, Ltd. and on the authority of such firm as experts in auditing and accounting. Shareholders will receive a copy of the audited and unaudited financial statements at no additional charge when requesting a copy of the SAI.

## APPENDIX

### Description of Ratings

The following terms are generally used to describe the credit quality of debt securities:

#### **Moody's Investors Service, Inc.: Corporate Bond Ratings**

Aaa—Bonds which are rated Aaa are judged to be of the best quality and carry the smallest degree of investment risk. Interest payments are protected by a large or by an exceptionally stable margin, and principal is secure. While the various protective elements are likely to change, such changes as can be visualized are most unlikely to impair the fundamentally strong position of such issues.

Aa—Bonds which are rated Aa are judged to be of high quality and are subject to very low credit risk. Together with the Aaa group they comprise what are generally known as high grade bonds. They are rated lower than the best bonds because margins of protection may not be as large as in Aaa securities or fluctuation of protective elements may be of greater amplitude or there may be other elements present which make the long term risks appear somewhat larger than in Aaa securities.

Moody's appends numerical modifiers "1", "2" and "3" to each generic rating classification from Aa through Caa. Both the Aaa and Aa rating classifications. The modifier "1" indicates that the security ranks in the higher end of its generic rating category; the modifier "2" indicates a mid-range ranking; and the modifier "3" indicates that the issue ranks in the lower end of its generic rating category. Additionally a "(hyb)" indicator is appended to all ratings of hybrid securities issued by banks, insurers, finance companies, and securities firms.

A—Bonds which are rated A possess many favorable investment attributes and are to be considered as upper medium grade obligations and subject to low credit risk. Factors giving security to principal and interest are considered adequate but elements may be present which suggest a susceptibility to impairment sometime in the future.

Baa—Bonds which are rated Baa are considered as medium grade obligations, subject to moderate credit risk, *i.e.*, they are neither highly protected nor poorly secured. Interest payments and principal security appear adequate for the present but certain protective elements may be lacking or may be characteristically unreliable over any great period of time. Such bonds lack outstanding investment characteristics and in fact have speculative characteristics as well.

#### **Standard & Poor's Corporation: Corporate Bond Ratings**

AAA—This is the highest rating assigned by Standard & Poor's to a debt obligation and indicates an extremely strong capacity to pay principal and interest.

AA—Bonds rated AA also qualify as high-quality debt obligations. Capacity to pay principal and interest is very strong, and in the majority of instances they differ from AAA issues only in small degree.

A—Bonds rated A have a strong capacity to pay principal and interest, although they are somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions.

BBB—Bonds rated BBB are regarded as having an adequate capacity to pay principal and interest. Whereas they normally exhibit adequate protection parameters, adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to lead to a weakened capacity to pay principal and interest for bonds in this category than for bonds in the A category.

## **Commercial Paper Ratings**

Moody's commercial paper ratings are assessments of the issuer's ability to repay punctually promissory obligations. Moody's employs the following three designations, all judged to be investment grade, to indicate the relative repayment capacity of rated issuers: Prime 1—highest quality; Prime 2—higher quality; Prime 3—high quality.

A Standard & Poor's commercial paper rating is a current assessment of the likelihood of timely payment. Ratings are graded into four categories, ranging from "A" for the highest quality obligations to "D" for the lowest.

Issues assigned the highest rating, A, are regarded as having the greatest capacity for timely payment. Issues in this category are delineated with the numbers "1", "2" and "3" to indicate the relative degree of safety. The designation A-1 indicates that the degree of safety regarding timely payment is either overwhelming or very strong. A "+" designation is applied to those issues rated "A-1" which possess extremely strong safety characteristics. Capacity for timely payment on issues with the designation "A-2" is strong. However, the relative degree of safety is not as high as for issues designated A-1. Issues carrying the designation "A-3" have a satisfactory capacity for timely payment. They are, however, somewhat more vulnerable to the adverse effect of changes in circumstances than obligations carrying the higher designations.